CANADA Declassified Déclassifié Déclassifié Déclassifié Déclassifié Déclassifié

MINES BRANCH INVESTIGATION REPORT IR 59-48

MAGNETIC CONCENTRATION OF IRON ORE FROM A PROPERTY OF THE KELSEY LAKE DEVELOPMENT COMPANY LIMITED, TORONTO, ONTARIO, IN THE CHOICELAND AREA OF SASKATCHEWAN

by

W. S. JENKINS

MINERAL DRESSING AND PROCESS METALLURGY DIVISION

This document was produced by scanning the original publication.

Ce document est le produit d'une numérisation par balayage de la publication originale.

NOTE: THIS REPORT RELATES ESSENTIALLY TO THE SAMPLES AS Received. It shall not, nor any correspondence connected therewith, be used in part or in full as publicity or advertising matter.

C-10

CENTRAL TECHNICAL

GEOLOGICAL FHES

TR 59-48

Ma. 16/71

59

78 59-48 JIN 59-4



Mines Branch Investigation Report IR 59-48

MAGNETIC CONCENTRATION OF IRON ORE FROM A PROPERTY OF THE KELSEY LAKE DEVELOPMENT COMPANY LIMITED, TORONTO, ONTARIO, IN THE CHOICELAND AREA OF SASKATCHEWAN

by

W. S. Jenkins*

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

Analysis of the shipment, total iron 28.22%; acid sol. iron 27.7%; silica 46.9%; titanium dioxide 0.26%; phosphorus 0.058%; sulphur 0.036%.

The concentrates were obtained by stage grinding the concentrates from -14 mesh and -20 mesh ore with magnetic concentration at various grinds. Typical results were as follows:

		Acid Sol. Iron, %	Silica,	Recovery, % Iron	Ratio of Concen- tration, %
(1)	Conc14				
	mesh	39.90	35,10	89.4	1.68:1
(2)	Conc65				
	mesh	63,10	10.27	84.0	2.87:1
(3)	Conc. 90%			,	
	-325 mesh	69.16	2.48	82.8	3.24:1

Additional analyses of Conc. No. 3:

Ŧ

Sulphur, 0.017%; phosphorus, 0.018%; titanium dioxide, none detected; A1₂O₃ < 0.10%; Ca0 < 0.10%; Mg0 < 0.10%.

Percolation tests of -14 + 325 mesh tailing gave a rate of 16.9 in./hr and for -20 + 325 mesh tailing, 13.6 in./hr.

Scientific Officer, Mineral Dressing and Process Metallurgy Division, Mines Branch, Department of Mines and Technical Surveys, Ottawa, Canada.

CONTENTS

	Page
Summary of Results	i
Introduction	1
Shipment Location of the Property Purpose of the Investigation Description of the Property Sampling and Analysis of the Shipment	1 1 1 2
Mineralogical Examination	2
Details of the Tests	3
Test No. 1 - Magnetic Concentration of -14 Mesh Ore Followed by Stage Grinding of the -14 Mesh Concentrate Test No. 2 - Magnetic Concentration of -14 Mesh	3
Test No. 1, of the -14 Mesh Concentrate Screen Analysis of Products of Tests Nos. 1 and 2.	6 8
Test No. 3 - Magnetic Concentration of -14 Mesh Ore Followed by Stage Grinding, Coarser Than in Test No. 1, of the -14 Mesh Concentrate	9
Tests Nos. 4 and 5 - Magnetic Concentration of -20 Mesh Ore Followed by Stage Grinding of the -20 Mesh Concentrate	11
Test No. 6 - Percolation Tests on Non-Magnetic Tailings	15
Conclusions	17

24 23 23

(17 pages, 20 tables, no illus.)

ţ

••

;

۰.

,*****

• -

4

.

INTRODUCTION

Shipmont

On May 6, 1959, a shipment of 125 1b of iron ore was received at the Mines Branch laboratories, Ottawa, from Mr. E.W.S. Ward of A.H. Ross & Associates, Consulting Chemical and Metallurgical Engineers, Suite 811, 62 Richmond Street West, Toronto 1, Ontario.

In a letter dated June 18, 1959, Mr. Ward said, in part, "the sample submitted was a weighted composite of three drill cores from the iron deposit of the Kelsey Lake Development Company Limited". Location of the Property

This iron deposit is located in townships 49 and 50, range 18W2 in the province of Saskatchewan; it is approximately 12 miles south of Choiceland, Saskatchewan.

Purpose of the Investigation

The shipment was made to determine the grades of concentrate from ore ground to various degrees of fineness in order to obtain a suitable commercial grade of concentrate.

Percolation tests were made on tailings from -14 and -20 mesh ore to obtain data for the design of a backfill reclamation system.

In the letter dated June 18, 1959, Mr. Ward said, in part, "that this sample is not the same as that submitted by the Irex Syndicate in 1957. It does, however, represent the same ore body". Description of the Property

No description of the property was given beyond its location in Saskatchewan.

Sampling and Analysis of the Shipment

Table 1

Chemical Analysis of the Head	1 Sample
Total iron	28.22 %
Acid soluble iron	27.70 "
Iron (by potassium bisulphate fusion)	27.34 "
Silica	46.90 "
Titanium dioxide	0•26 "
Phosphorus	0.058"
Sulphur	0,036"

Semi-Quantitative Spectrographic Analysis Head Sample

Major constituents: Si, Fe

Intermediate constituents: Mg, Ca

Minor constituents: A1, Mn

Trace constituents: Ti, Pb, Cu, Ba, Ni

MINERALOGICAL EXAMINATION

No mineralogical examination was made on this shipment. Ore samples, as diamond drill cores, were received from the same property and location on October 22, 1956. A microscopic examination of polished sections prepared from four 1/4 in. fragments of the ore was made and reported as Mineragraphic Laboratory Report No. M-1475-E, November 20, 1956, by E.H. Nickel. This report was included in the Investigation No. MD 3176, March 7, 1957.

DETAILS OF THE TESTS

The following programme was requested for tests Nos. 1 and 2 by Mr. Ward, on April 30, 1959, during his visit to the Mines Branch. The request was confirmed in a letter dated May 4, 1959.

In a letter dated May 14, 1959 Mr. Ward requested further tests, including the percolation tests to be made with -14 and -20 mesh cobber tailings.

Mr. Nard gave the following details for the tests:

- (a) Crush a representative portion of the sample to -14 mesh.
- (b) Concentrate in Davis tube or Crockett wet magnetic separator.
- (c) Grind concentrate from (b) to approximately -65 mesh.
- (d) Concentrate in Davis tube.
- (e) Grind concentrate from (d) to approximately 95% -200 mesh (80% -325 mesh).
- (f) Concentrate in Davis tube.
- (g) Obtain material balance for test; assay heads, all concentrates and all tails for total Fe; assay concentrate from (f) for total Fe, acid soluble Fe, TiO₂, P, S, SiO₂, Al₂O₃, CaO and MgO.

Test No. 1 - Magnetic Concentration of -14 Mesh Ore Followed by Stage Grinding of the -14 Mesh Concentrate.

(a) A representative portion was riffled from the shipment and crushed to -14 mesh.

(b) Magnetic concentration was done by the Crockett wet belt laboratory size separator. The rougher concentrate was cleaned by repassing on the Crockett separator. The cleaner tailing is designated as middling.

(c) The cleaner concentrate was ground in a ball mill to approximately -65 mesh.

(d) A portion of the concentrate (50 g) from (c) was concentrated by the Davis tube, the remainder of (c) was concentrated by the Crockett separator.

(e) The Crockett concentrate from (d) was reground in a ball mill to approximately 90% -325 mesh.

(f) A portion of the reground concentrate (100 g) from(e) was concentrated by the Davis tube.

(N.B. (e) this grind was finer than desired)

Test No. 1 (Concluded)

Table 2

Sub-test No. 1 (b) - Magnetic Concentration by Crockett Separator of -14 Mesh Ore

	Wt.	%		Analysis, 7	ł	Distri	oution, %	Ratio of
Product	In test	In orig• feed	Total Fe	Acid Sol. Fe	^{Si0} 2	In test A.S. Fe	In orig. feed A.S. Fe	concen- tration in orig. feed
Feed [*] Mag. conc. (1) Midds. Tailing	100.0 59.5 4.1 36.4			26.55 39.90 19.80 5.50	35 .1 0	100.0 89.4 3.0 7.6		1.68:1

Table 3

Sub-test No. 2 (d) - Magnetic Concentration by Davis Tube of Concentrate of Sub-test No. 1 ground to -65 Mesh

Feed Mag. conc. Tailing	100.0 58.6 41.4	59•5 34•9 24•6		39.36 63.10 5.75	10.27	100.0 94.0 6.0	89•4 84•0 5•4	2.87:1
-------------------------------	-----------------------	----------------------	--	------------------------	-------	----------------------	---------------------	--------

Table 4

Sub-test No. 3 (f) - Magnetic Concentration by Davis Tube of Concentrate of Sub-test No. 2 ground to 90% -325 Mash

Feed [#] Mag. conc. Tailing	100.0 88.6 11.4	34•9 30•9 4•0	60 . 16	62.18 69.16 7.98	2.48	100.0 98.5 1.5	84.0 82.8 1.2	3.24:1
--------------------------------------------	-----------------------	---------------------	----------------	------------------------	------	----------------------	---------------------	--------

*

, ۱

۱.

Calculated

Sub-test No. 3 Mag. conc. - Additional Analysis

Sulphur	0.017%
Phosphorus	0.018"
Titanium dioxide	none detected 0.10 "
CaO	0.10 "
MgO	0.10 "

N.B. Sub-test No. 3 (f) the concentrate was higher in grade than desired because the feed was ground too fine. The test was repeated in Test No. 3 with a coarser grind.

Test No. 2 - Magnetic Concentration of -14 Mesh Ore Followed by Stage Grinding, Finer Than in Test No. 1, of the -14 Mesh Concentrate

For this test, Mr. Ward requested the following

procedure:

Same as for test No. 1 with the following exceptions:

- (c) Grind concentrate from (b) to approximately -100 mesh.
- (e) Grind concentrate from (d) to approximately 88% -325 mesh.

The method used for test No. 2 was the same as for

test No. 1. The grind was to be finer than in test No. 1.

. .

Test No. 2 (Concluded)

-

.*

Table 5

۳.

Sub-test No. 1 (b) - Magnetic Concentration by Crockett Separator of -14 Mesh Ore

ſ <u></u>	Nt.	, %		Analysis,	10	Distrit	oution, %	Ratio of
Product	In test	In orig. feed	Tota1 Fe	Acid Sol. Fe	Si02	In test A.S. Fe	In orig. feed A.S. Fe	concentration in orig. feed
Feed [‡] Mag. conc. Midds. Tailing	100.C 61.5 2.6 35.9			27.0 39.9 7.60 6.29	35.1	100.0 90.9 0.7 8.4		1.62:1

Table 6

Sub-test No. 2 (d) - Magnetic Concentration by Davis Tube of Concentrate of Sub-test No. 1 ground to -100 Mesh

Feed ^{&} Mag. conc. Tailing	G 61.5 .4 34.7 .6 26.8	38.9 64.8 8.69 5.36	100.0 94.0 6.0	90.5 85.4 5.5	2.88:1
------------------------------------------------	----------------------------	---------------------------	----------------------	---------------------	--------

Table 7

Sub-test No. 3 (f) - Magnetic Concentration by Davis Tube of Concentrate of Sub-test No. 2 ground to 92.8% -325 Mesh

								1
Feed [±] Mag. conc.	100.0 89.8	34•7 31•2	69.74	63。58 69 . 74	2,20	100.0 98.5	85•4 84•2	3.21:1
Tailing	10.2	3.5		9.35		1.5	1.2	

*Calculated

Sub-test No. 3 Mag. conc.

Titanium dioxide - none detected

7

٠.

Test No. 1, Sub-test No. 1

Screen Analysis of -14 Mesh Tailing

Table 8

	Wł.	2 %	Analysis, %	Distri	bution, %
Product	In	In orig.	Acid So.	In test	In orig. feed
	test	feed	Fe	A.S. Fe	A.S. Fe
Feed	100-00	36.45	8,25	100,00	7-55
+ 20 mesh	6.93	2.53	8.54	7.18	0.54
+ 28 "	8.23	3.00	8.34	8.31	0+63
+ 35 "	7,06	2.57	7.37	6.29	0.41
+48 "	5.55	2.02	6.89	4.62	0,35
+ 65 "	5.55	2.02	6.40	4.29	0.33
+100 "	8.23	3.00	6,11	6.09	0#46
+150 "	6.43	2.34	2.82	3.07	0,23
+200 "	10,52	3.83	4.33	7.72	0.58
+325 "	11.11	4.05	4.24	8.00	0,61
-325 "	30,39	11.09	8.32	44.43	3.35

Test No. 1, Sub-test No. 3

Screen Analysis of the Magnetic Concentrate

Table 9

·····					ويتعارب والمحادية والمناكر والمحاد المحادي والمحادث والمحادث والمحادث والمحاد
Conc.*	100.0	30,9	68,90	100.0	82.8
+200 mesh	2.2	0.7	47.18	1.5	1.2
+325 "	13.4	4.1	61.56	12.0	9.9
-325 "	84.4	26.1	70.62	86.5	71.7

Test No. 2, Sub-test No. 3

Screen Analysis of the Magnetic Concentrate

Table 10

Come *	100.0	01.0	00.10	100.0	1 04 0
l conce.	TON®O	J,Le Z	00+40	100.0	04+X
+200 mesh	2.0	0.6	53.38	1.5	1.3
+325 "	11.1	3.5	61.95	9.9	8.4
	86, 9	27.1	70,81	88.5	74.5

* Calculated

Test No. 3 - Magnetic Concentration of -14 Mesh Ore Followed by Stage Grinding, Coarser Than in Test No. 1, of the -14 Mesh Concentrate

٠.,

÷

٠.

۰,

ł*

A coarser grind was requested by Mr. Ward for this test in order to lower the grade of the final concentrate.

Table 11

Sub-test No. 1 (b) - Magnetic Concentration by Crockett Separator of -14 Mesh Ore

	Wt.	9 10	Analysis, %		Distr	ibution, %	Ratio of	
Product	In test	In orig. îe∋d	Fe Si02		In test A.S. Fe	In orig. fæd A.S. Fe	concentration in orig. feed	
Feed [‡] Conc. No. 1 Midds. Tailing	100.0 63.1 2.9 34.0		28.44 39.50 21.60 8.34		100,0 87.8 2.2 10.0		1.6:1	

Table 12

Sub-test No. 2 (d) - Magnetic Concentration by Davis Tube of Concentrate No. 1 ground to 82.5% -200 Mesh

Feed [±] 100.063.136.64100.0Conc. No. 258.236.759.7013.4394.8Tailing No. 241.826.44.585.2	87.8 83.2 4.6 2.72:1
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------

Table 13

<u>Sub-test No. 3</u> (f) - <u>Magnetic Concentration by Davis Tube of Concentrate No. 2</u> ground to 93.8% -200 Mesh

Feed100.036.755.26100.083.2Conc. No. 382.530.365.807.2498.281.83.3:Tailing No. 317.56.45.551.31.4	:1
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----

•

.....

* Calculated

٠

10

L

Test No. 4 - Magnetic Concentration of -20 Mesh Ore Followed by Stage Grinding of the -20 Mesh Concentrate

This test was also carried out at the request of Mr. Ward. The -20 mesh ore was concentrated by a Crockett separator. The concentrate and middling were sampled, then mixed and ground in a ball mill and concentrated by the Jeffrey-Steffensen 3-drum separator. The Jeffrey concentrate and middling were sampled, then mixed and ground in a ball mill; a 50 g portion of the reground concentrate and middling was concentrated by the Davis tube.

Test No. 4 (Concluded)

Table 14

Sub-test No. 1 - Magnetic Concentration by Crockett Separator of -20 Mesh Ore

	Wt. %		A	nalysis	, %	Distri	bution, %	Ratio of
Product	In test	In orig. feed	A.S. Fe	^{TiO} 2	Si0 ₂	In test A.S. Fe	In orig. feed A.S. Fe	concen- tration in orig. feed
Feed ^{it} Mag. conc. Mi.dds.	100.00 52.72 5.58		27.30 43.42 24.53		31.66	100.00 83.87 5.01		1.9:1
^π Combined conc. & midds. No.1	58.30		41.62			88,88		1.73:1
Sand tailing Slime tailing	30.72 10.98		6.92 8.28			7.79 3.33		
Combined tailing	41.70		7,28			11.12		

Table 15

<u>Sub-test No. 2</u> - <u>Magnetic Concentration by the Jeffrey-Steffensen Separator of</u> <u>Combined Concentrate and Middling No. 1</u>

Feed [‡] Mag. conc. Midds.	100.00 50.50 8.42	58.30 29.44 4.91	42.0 66.6 51.2	0.02	6•30 22•96	100,00 80,07 10,26	88.88 71.17 9.12	3.4:1
*Combined conc. & Midds No. 2	58.92	34.35	64.4	-	-	90,33	80, 29	2.9:1
Sand tailing Slime tailing	22.30 18.78	13.00 10.95	11.0 8.57		-	5,84 3,83	5.19 3.40	
Combined tailing	41.08	23,95	9.89	-		9.67	8,59	

Table 16

Sub-test No. 3 - Magnetic Concentration by the Davis Tube of Combined Concentrate and Middling No. 2

Tanapating a second sec			ا ورجعه مرجعه معاصد معادمه معام	وو وبد البد البد البوانين ال				
Feedk	100.0	34.35	64.4			100.0	80,29	•
Mag. conc.	92.8	31,88	68+8	n.d.	3.32	99.2	79.62	3,69;1
Tailing	7.3	2.47	7.5			8.0	0.67	
Contractor of the second secon	ليهيرو سيبيع ومحجو يستجد ال	the second s						

*Calculated

 $n_{e}d_{e}$ = none detected

Screen Tests

Sub-test No. 2, feed 88.2% -200 mesh, 63.8% -325 mesh Sub-test No. 3, feed 98.4% -200 mesh.

Test No. 5 - Magnetic Concentration of -20 Mesh Ore Followed by Stage Grinding of the -20 Mesh Concentrate

This test was made to determine the grades and recovery of iron from slightly coarser grinds than were used in test No. 4.

A 10 lb sample of -20 mesh ore was concentrated by the laboratory size Crockett separator. The concentrate was not cleaned. After sampling the concentrate and tailing, a 2000 g portion of the concentrate was ground in a ball mill and concentrated by the Jeffrey-Steffensen separator.

The products were a concentrate, a middling and a tailing. The concentrate and tailing were sampled, then the concentrate and middling were mixed. A sample of the mixture was riffled out, and a 500 g portion was ground in a ball mill. A 50 g sample of the reground concentrate was concentrated by the Davis tube.

Test No. 5 (Concluded)

Table 17

Sub-test No. 1 - Magnetic Concentration by the Crockett Separator

-

Feed -20 Mesh Ore

Nt., %			An	Analysis, %			Distribution, %		
Product	In	In orig.	4.S.			In test	In orig. feed	concen-	
	tost	feed	- Fe	Si0,	Ti0,	A.S. Fe	A.S. Fo	tration in	
				4	4			orig. feed	
Feed [‡] Mag. conc.(1) Tailing	100.00 59.67 40.33		26.73 40.16 6.85	35.2	~	100.00 89.66 10.34		1,68:1	

Table 18

Sub-test No. 2 - Magnetic Concentration by the Jeffrey-Steffensen Separator

Feed.	Conc.	(1)	Grind	80.4%	-200	Mosh:	54.6%	-325	Mesh
				and the second second					

Feed ^A Mag. conc.(2) Midds.	100.00 53.50 6.10	59.67 31.92 3.64	39,07 65.46 15.82	8.76	0,08	100.00 89.62 2.47	89.66 80.35 2.22	3.1:1
Combined conc. & midds.	59.60	35.56	60.38	13.08	0.12	92.09	82,57	2.81:1
Tailing	40.40	24.11	7.65			7.91	7.09	

Tab10 19

Sub-test No. 3	- Magnetic	Concentration	by the	Davis	Tube S	Soparat or
	the second se					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Feed, Conc. + M	lidds, Su	ub-test No.	.2 Grind	93,8% -	200 Me	sh; 74.2%	-325 Mesh	
Feed ^{&} Mag. conc. Tailing	100.0 90.0 10.0	35.56 32.00 3.56	60,48 66,43 7,05	6.36	0.02	100.00 98.83 1.J.7	82.57 81.60 0.97	3.13:1

* Calculated

Screen Tests

Mag. Conc. (2)		Feed of Sub-test No. 3
+100	0.8 %	0.4 %
+150	3.2 "	0.8 **
+200	15.6 "	5.0 ^µ
+325	25.8 "	19.6 "
-325	54.6 "	74.2 "
	100.C "	100.0 "
200	80.4 "	93,8 "

Test No. 6 - Percolation Tests on Non-Magnetic Tailings

In a letter dated May 14, 1959, Mr. Ward requested that percolation tests be made on the cobber tailings (-14 and -20 mesh tailings) using the procedure developed by Kerr-Addison and described in an article, titled "The Preparation of Hydraulic Backfill at Kerr-Addison Gold Mines Ltd., Virginiatown, Ontario", published in the January 1955, issue of the Canadian Mining Journal.

If the percolation rate through the cobber tailing is less than 4 in. per hr, a second test should be run on tailings from which the -325 mesh has been removed by wet screening.

This information is required for the design of a backfill reclamation system for the proposed concentrator.

The apparatus as described by Mr. Ward for percolation tests consisted of a glass tube 15 in. long, 1 3/8 in. diameter, fitted with a filter cloth bottom. The depth of the column of tailing was 12 in. A 1/2 in. head of water was to be maintained on top of the tailing by filling a litre flask and inverting it at the 1/2 in. mark.

As no 1 3/8 in. glass tubing was available, a piece 15 in. long and 1 5/8 in. in diameter was used. It was fitted with a bottom made of standard filter cloth.

The following percolation measurements were obtained from the -14 mesh tailing, 1.04 in./hr, with the -325 mesh screened out the rate for -14 + 325 mesh tailing, average of 3 tests, 16.9 in./hr.

Percolation measurements on -20 much send tailing was 5.28 in./hr. The tailing contained 18% of -325 mech material. With the -325 mesh screened out, the resulting sands had a percolation rate of 13.6 in./hr.

Table 20

Mosh	Wt. , %	Wt., %	Wt., %
No.	-14 mesh tailing	-20 mesh sand	-20 mesh slime
	and the summer of the state of the	tailing	tailing
+ 20	7.5	0+1	
+ 28	9.7	5.2	
+ 35	8•0	10.2	0,3
+ 48	5.6	9•4	0,5
+ 65	5.2	8 <u>•</u> 6	1.0
+10 0	6.3	9 . 1 .	2•0
+150	7 . 6	10,4	3.8
+200	9.0	11.•7	6•2
+325	14.1	17.3	15.9
-325	27.0	18.0	70.3
	:1.00.0	100"C	100.0

Screen Tests on Tailings

CONCLUSIONS

The results of the tests show that the grade of concentrate can be controlled by grinding the ore to a required mesh size. The company wishes to avoid too fine a grinding and at the same time to obtain the maximum amount of acid soluble iron from the ore.

It is expected that the fineness of the grind of the ore represented by the shipment will have to be determined in practice with commercial machines.

It is apparent that either -14 or -20 mesh cobber tailings should be deslimed before the required percolation rate for backfill material could be obtained.

WSJ :DV

; ,