This document was produced by scanning the original publication.

Ce document est le produit d'une numérisation par balayage de la publication originale.

1

Di K W Downes

UNCLASSIFIED

CANADA

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY, MINES AND RESOURCES

OTTAWA

MINES BRANCH INVESTIGATION REPORT IR 74-18

CERAMIC PROPERTIES OF SEVENTEEN SAMPLES FROM NORTHERN ONTARIO

by

K. E. BELL AND L. K. ZEMGALS

MINERAL PROCESSING DIVISION

NOTE: THIS REPORT RELATES ESSENTIALLY TO THE SAMPLES AS RECEIVED. THE REPORT AND ANY CORRESPONDENCE CONNECTED THEREWITH SHALL NOT BE USED IN FULL OR IN PART AS PUBLICITY OR ADVERTISING MATTER.

9 COPY NO.

MAY 1974

(Unclassified)

Mines Branch Investigation Report IR 74-18 CERAMIC PROPERTIES OF SEVENTEEN SAMPLES FROM NORTHERN ONTARIO

by

K. E. Bell* and L. K. Zemgals**

_ _ _

SYNOPSIS

Seventeen samples, from two properties on the Mattagami River in Northern Ontario, were submitted by Northclay Developments Limited for evaluation of their ceramic potential.

The samples from the Hilder property consisted primarily of whiteburning (kaolinitic) clay and siliceous sand. Beneficiation to remove the coarse sand, minor amounts of iron-bearing minerals, and mica impurities, would probably be required.

One of the samples from the Douglas property proved to be a common red-burning clay of no commercial value; the remainder were refractory, kaolinitic clays containing various amounts of silica, iron and mica impurities. Most of these samples burned to various shades of red, pink or buff, and their usefulness would probably be restricted to the manufacture of lowor medium-heat duty fireclay brick. Four of the samples, however, burned near-white: with proper beneficiation to remove impurities, the quality of these samples might be improved to that of high-heat duty fireclays or ceramic grade china clays.

*Research Scientist, and ** Technician, Ceramic Section, Mineral Processing Division, Mines Branch, Department of Energy, Mines and Resources, Ottawa, Canada.

INTRODUCTION

Seventeen clay and sand samples were submitted to the Mines Branch by Mr. Steven Polon, Northclay Developments Limited, 825 Eglington Avenue, West, Suite 404, Toronto, Ontario, for evaluation of ceramic potential. It was stated that 13 samples were taken from the company's Douglas property and 4 from its Hilder property. Both properties are on the Mattagami River, near the Smoky Falls Hydro Project.

The samples were marked and assigned laboratory numbers as follows:

	Lab No.
Mine No. 1, Pit 1, F.C.	2980
Mine No. 1, Pit 2, F.C.	2981
Mine No. 1, Pit 3, Red	2982
Mine No. 1, Pit 3, Grey	2983
Mine No. 1, Pit 4, F.C.	2984
Mine No. 1, Pit A, F.C.	2985
Mine No. 1, Pit 7, Red and Grey F.C.	2986
Mine No. 1, Pit 7, Brown F.C.	2987
Mine No. 1, Pit 7, Grey F.C.	2988
Mine No. 1, Pit 7, Black F.C.	2989
Mine No. 2, Sand	2990
Mine No. 2, B.C.	2991
Mine No. 2, B.C.	2992
Hilder Property	

Clay	2993
Clay	2994
Sand	2995
Sand	2996

PROCEDURE

The materials were dried and crushed to pass a 16-mesh (Tyler) screen and were tested with dilute hydrochloric acid for calcium carbonate. The ground clays were tempered with water to obtain a stiff-plastic consistency and the amount of water (water of plasticity) was noted. The prepared samples were extruded under vacuum (28 in. of mercury) from a Midvale-Heppenstall laboratory de-airing extrusion press. Test briquettes measuring approximately 1 x 1 x 4 inches were cut from the extruded column, identification numbers and linear shrinkage marks were applied. One freshly formed briquette of each material was submitted to rapid drying conditions at $85^{\circ}C$ ($185^{\circ}F$) and the results observed. The remaining test briquettes were air-dried for about 24 hours and finally dried at $85^{\circ}C$ ($185^{\circ}F$). The drying shrinkage was measured and calculated in per cent of wet length. Test cones were hand-moulded from the extruded material and the pyrometric cone equivalent (PCE) or heat softening point was determined. Duplicate test specimens were then fired in electric kilns at appropriate temperatures. The fired shrinkage, hardness, colour and water absorption after a 24-hour soak in cold water were determined.

RESULTS

The unfired characteristics and a summary of the fired properties shrinkage, absorption, colour and hardness over appropriate temperature ranges and the PCE's are given in Tables 1 and 2.

- 2 -

Clay No.	UNFIRED CHARACTERISTICS	P.C.E.						
			Cone No.	Fired Shrinkage, %	Absorp- tion, %	Colour	Hardness	REMARKS
2993 Rusty, iron stained chunks of clay, Yilder some very heavily contaminated, non- property) calcareous. Good plasticity,	29 (1659 ⁰ C, 3018 ⁰ F)	02	2.7	17.7	Salmon, with dark and buff streaks.	Fairly hard.	Many very small dark specks at cone 13.	
	extrudes well under vacuum, water of plasticity 27.2%. Safe drying drying shrinkage 4.6%.		3	4.9	14.0	Streaked, pale red.	Very hard.	
drying shrinkage 4.6%.	,		6	5.7	12.2	Streaked, light pinkish red.	Steel hard.	
			13	6.5 •	8.8	Buff with brown and cream streaks.	Steel hard.	
2994 (Hilder property)	Rusty, iron stained chunks of clay (somewhat lighter than No. 2993), non-calcareous. Good plasticity,	30 (1665 [°] C, 3029 [°] F)	02	3.2	16.4	Light salmon with darker streaks.	Fairly hard.	Many very small dark specks at cone 13.
	extrudes well under vacuum, water of plasticity 24.5%. Safe drying, drying shrinkage 4.7%.		3	4.4	12.7	Pale red with dark and cream streaks.	Steel hard.	
			6	5.3	10.4	Streaked pale pinkish buff.	Steel hard.	
			13	5.9	7.9	Streaked buff	Steel hard.	
2995 (Hilder property, sand No. 1)	Light grey, rather coarse sand with some whitish clay.							Too sandy for ceramic use.
2996 (Hilder property, sand No. 2)	Similar to No. 2995							Too'sandy for ceramic use.

 TABLE 1

 Ceramic_Properties of Samples from the Hilder Property

.

* $02 = 1101^{\circ}C (2014^{\circ}F); 3 = 1152^{\circ}C (2106^{\circ}F); 6 = 1201^{\circ}C (2194^{\circ}F); 13 = 1321^{\circ}C (2410^{\circ}F).$

.

ω

Ceramic Properties of Samples from the Douglas Property

Clay UNFIRED CHARACTERISTICS No.			FIRED CHARACTERISTICS					
	P.C.E.	Cone No.	Fired Shrinkage, %	Absorp tion, %	Colour	Hardness	REMARKS	
2980 (Mine 1, Pit 1)	Very light grey chunks of non- calcareous clay with a few iron	31 (1683°C,	02 .	1.7	17.0	Off white pinkish shade.	Fairly soft.	Soft-fired speci- mens (Cones O2
	stains, slightly sandy. Good plas- ticity, extrudes well under vacuum,	3061 [°] F)	3	3.0	15.7	White.	Hard.	and 3) developed a fairly heavy
*	water of plasticity 24.7%.		6	4.7	12.1	White.	Very hard.	yellow-green (van-
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Safe drying, drying shrinkage 5.0%.		13	5.3	9.3	White.	Steel hard.	adium) effloresc- ence.
2981	Cream chunks of non-calcareous clay	31	02	3.0	20.2	Light pink.	Fairly soft.	Contains iron-
(Mine 1, Pit 2)	with a few iron stains, very slightly sandy. Good plasticity, tendency to be greasy, extrudes		3	4.9	16.2	Light pinkish buff.	Hard.	bearing particles, which cause a few specks in the
	fairly well under vacuum, some torn		6	6.3	' 13.0	Light buff.	Steel hard.	fired body.
	edges, water of plasticity 29.8%. Safe drying, drying shrinkage 4.6%.		13	7.5	8.6	Cream.	Steel hard.	
2982	A few grey, but mostly heavily iron-	28	02	3.2	17.1	Pale red.	Fairly hard.	Slight whitish
(Mine 1, Pit 3 Red)	stained chunks of clay, non- calcareous. Good plasticity,	(1646°C, 2995°F)	3	4.7	14.0	Light red.	Hard.	scum at cone 13. A few dark specks
	extrudes well under vacuum, water of plasticity 27.1%. Safe drying,	2000 20	6	5.3	13.0	Red with cream streaks.	Very hard.	at the higher firing temper-
	drying shrinkage 4.6%.		13	6.7	9.5	Streaked purplish pink and cream.	Steel hard.	atures.
2983	Hard, varved, dark and light grey	31	02	2.0	21.0	Light cream.	Very soft.	At cone 02 slight,
(Mine 1, Pit 3 Grey)	clay, some thin sandy seams, non- calcareous, very plastic, tendency		3 .	3.0	19.2	н п	Fairly soft.	and at cone 3 rather heavy Va
	to be greasy, extrudes well under		6	3.7	17.3	п и.	Fairly hard.	efflorescence; at
	vacuum, water of plasticity 28.1%. Safe drying, drying shrinkage 4.7%.		13	4.3 .	15.7		Hard.	high temperature a brownish scum.
2984 (Mine 1, Pit 4)	Rusty, non-calcareous clay with some heavily iron-stained chunks. Good plasticity, extrudes well under vacuum, water of plasticity 26.4%.	30 (1665°C, 3029°F)	02	2.3	18.5	Light pinkish buff with red- dish and cream streaks.	Soft.	Light Va efflor- escence at cone 02, becoming heavier at cone 3.
	Safe drying, drying shrinkage 4.3%.		3	3.3	16.1	11 11 11	Fairly hard.	
			· 6	4.0	14.6	и и _. и	Hard.	
			13	4.9	12.8	Light buff with brown streaks and specks.	Very hard.	
2985	Grey, brown and buff chunks of clay,	30	02	1.3	18.1	Off white, pink ish shade.	Soft.	At cone 6 a few
Mine 1, Pit A)	non-calcareous, few stones, some rather large (1/2"), sandy, good plasticity, extrudes very well under		3	2.0	16.9	Very light cream, pinkish cast.	Soft.	rather coarse black specks, many dark, fused specks
	28 in. vacuum, water of plasticity 21.7%. Safe drying, drying shrinkage 3.3%.		6	2.7	15.2	Very light cream.	Fairly soft.	at cone 13.
	č		13	3.0	13.7	Very light cream.	Hard.	
2986 Mine 1, Pit 7,	Light grey iron-stained chunks of clay, non-calcareous, slightly	31	02	2.0	14.8	Pale pinkish buff.	Fairly hard.	Probably contains hematite, causing
Red and Grey)	sandy. Good plasticity, extrudes well under vacuum, water of plas-		3	3.3	12.1	Light buff, pinkish cast.	Very hard.	very small dark specks at cone 13. Fired surfaces
	ticity 22.1%. Safe drying, drying shrinkage 4.8%.		6	4.5	9.6	Light buff.	Steel hard.	lightly crazed.
	-		. 13	4.7	8.0	Light buff.	Steel hard.	·

TABLE 2 (continued)

•

		DOR	FIRED CHARACTERISTICS						
Clay UNFIRED CHARACTERISTICS No.	P.C.E.	Cone No.	Fired Shrinkage %	Absorp- tion %	Colour	Hardness	REMARKS		
2987 (Mine 1, Pit 7,	Light greyish brown, partly iron- stained chunks of clay, non-	31 (1683°C,	02	3.7	19.2	Light pinkish buff	Hard.	Surface hairline cracks in firing.	
Brown)	calcareous, very slightly sandy. Very plastic, tough, greasy,	calcareous, very slightly sandy. Very plastic, tough, greasy.	3061°F)	3	5.7	14.0	11 11 11	Steel hard.	Many small dark and light specks
	difficult to extrude, torn edges, water of plasticity 30.4%. Safe		6	7.3	8.6	11 11 11	Steel hard.	give a finely	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	drying, drying shrinkage 5.2%.		13	8.2	5.9	Light buff.	Steel hard.	mottled appear- ance.	
2988 (Mine 1, Pit 7,	Light grey chunks of clay, non- calcareous, fairly sandy. Good	31	02	1.2	18.6	Almost white.	Soft.	Probably contains hematite.	
· Grey)	plasticity, extrudes well under vacuum, water of plasticity 21.8%.		3	2.0	16.9	White.	Soft	At cones 02 and 3 surfaces are mar-	
	Safe drying, drying shrinkage 4.3%.		6	·2.9	14.6	Off white.	Soft.	red by Va efflor-	
			13	3.7	12.6	Off white.	Hard.	escence. Many tiny specks, ' becoming darker with increased firing temper- ature.	
2989 (Mine 1, Pit 7,	Greenish grey, partly weathered clay, non-calcareous. Good plas-	7 (1215 [°] C,	08	2.0	15.1	Medium reddish brown.	Fairly hard.	Slight whitish scum on edges.	
Black)	ticity, extrudes well under vacuum, water of plasticity 31.7%. Cracks	2219 ⁰ F)	06	3.0	13.0	11 17 17	Steel hard.		
	internally lengthwise and splits open after rapid drying, drying	,	04	6.4	6.1	Medium brownish red.	Steel hard.		
	shrinkage 7.9%		02	8.9	0.0	Dark brownish red.	Vitrified.		
2990 (Mine 2, Sand)	Very light grey sand with some clay, contains a few dark, small stones.						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Too sandy for any ceramic use.	
2991	Light grey chunks of clay, non-	30	02	0.7	17.4	Almost white.	Soft.	At comes 02, 3	
(Mine 2, B.C.)	calcareous, contains some roots and many small stones. Although very sandy, has a good plasticity and	(1665 [°] C, 3029 [°] F)	3 .	1.3	16 .2	Very light cream.	Soft. are m	and 6, surfaces are marred with Va effloresc-	
	extrudes well under vacuum, water		6	2.0	15.0	и п п	Soft.	ence. Many grey	
	of plasticity 20.5%. Safe drying, drying shrinkage 3.3%.		13	2.5	13.5	TT TT TT	Fairly hard.	specks (sand) and a few iron specks.	
2992 Tan chunks of clay, non-calcareous, (Mine 2, B.C.) contains some roots, many small	contains some roots, many small (164	28 (1646°C,	02	0.7	15.1	White, with pinkish cast.	Soft.	Light Va efflor- escence at Cone 3.	
	stones and is very sandy. Has good plasticity, extrudes well under	2995 ⁰ F)	3	1.0	14.0	Light cream.	Fairly soft.	Above Cone 6, surfaces are	
	vacuum, water of plasticity 19.1%.		6	2.0	12.7	Light cream.	Fairly soft.	mottled with grey	
	Safe drying, drying shrinkage 4.0%.		13	2.0	11.6	Light cream.	Hard.	specks (sand), black specks (iron), and grey- ish, fused specks.	

* $08 = 945^{\circ}C (1733^{\circ}F); 06 = 991^{\circ}C (1816^{\circ}F); 04 = 1050^{\circ}C (1922^{\circ}F); 02 = 1101^{\circ}C (2014^{\circ}F); 3 = 1152^{\circ}C (2106^{\circ}F); 6 = 1201^{\circ}C (2194^{\circ}F); 13 = 1321^{\circ}C (2410^{\circ}F).$

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Two of the samples from the Hilder property, No.'s 2995 and 2996, and No. 2990 (Mine 2, sand) from the Douglas property were considered to contain too little clay substance to be of any commercial value for clay products, and were not further evaluated. If beneficiated, however, they might prove a useful source of silica sand for glass-making.

The other two samples from the Hilder property, No.'s 2993 and 2994, are nearly identical, the former being a little more heavily contaminated with iron. Both just qualify as medium-heat duty fire clays, and fire to a fairly dense condition at temperatures normal to the industry. Medium-heat duty firebrick are widely used, e.g., in the backs of boiler settings, removed from the direct flame.

Sample No. 2989, from the Douglas property (Mine 1, Pit 7, black), is a common red-burning clay of moderate fusion point. Its drying properties are extremely poor and it vitrifies too rapidly above cone 06 to be of commercial value for clay products.

Most of the other samples from the Douglas property qualify as medium-heat duty fire clays, having PCE's between cones 29 and 31½, as specified in ASTM Designation: C 27-66, "Standard Classification of Fireclay and High-Alumina Refractory Brick". The refractoriness of Sample No. 2982 (Mine 1, Pit 3 - red) is no doubt reduced below the above limit owing to its high iron content, because of which the red coloration persists in specimens fired as high as cone 13. The other low-PCE sample, No. 2992 (Mine 2, B.C.), is not, however, highly contaminated by iron. The higher-fired specimens show a number of greyish, glassy specks on the surfaces, attributed to fusion of mica fragments: the introduction of alkalies as mica impurities could account for the

- 6 -

reduced PCE, compared with the neighboring sample No. 2991 (Mine 2, B.C.). Both of these samples from Mine 2 could probably be substantially upgraded by washing to remove coarse silica, particulate iron and mica flakes.

Among the remainder of the samples from the Douglas property, four fire to a relatively open body of off-white coloration: No. 2980 (Mine 1, Pit 1), No. 2983 (Mine 1, Pit 3, grey), No. 2985 (Mine 1, Pit A) and No. 2988 (Mine 1, Pit 7, grey). Samples No. 2980 and 2983 have the cleanest appearance, although the latter contains some soluble salts that migrate to the surfaces during drying and subsequently react during firing to form a discolouring scum. Samples No. 2985 and No. 2988 both contain particulate iron-bearing impurities that produce black spots on the fired specimens: the former contains the greater number and the larger impurities. Except for No. 2985, these open-firing samples contain vanadium impurities which cause a yellow-green efflorescence on the fired specimens when wetted and dried. This is of no significance in fireclay brick, which are never wetted in service, nor for dense, impermeable bodies. It could present problems if the clays were used as components of non-vitrified ceramic bodies, e.g., earthenware.

The four remaining samples, No. 2981 (Mine 1, Pit 2), No. 2984 (Mine 1, Pit 4), No. 2986 (Mine 1, Pit 7, red and grey) and No. 2987 (Mine 1, Pit 7, brown), all fire to some shade of pink or buff and their usefulness would probably be restricted to fireclay refractory brick. Sample No. 2984 is relatively open-firing, whereas the other three are plastic, dense-firing fire clays.

KEB/LKZ/am

- 7 -