This document was produced by scanning the original publication.

Ce document est le produit d'une numérisation par balayage de la publication originale.

### CANADA



### DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY, MINES AND RESOURCES

**OTTAWA** 

**MINES BRANCH INVESTIGATION REPORT IR 71-3** 

LIBERATION AND FLOTATION CHARACTERISTICS OF SILVER-COPPER ORE FROM SMITHERS, B. C. SUBMITTED BY KENNCO EXPLORATIONS (CANADA) LIMITED

# W. ARTHUR WALL AND R. W. BRUCE

by

MINERAL PROCESSING DIVISION

NOTE: THIS REPORT RELATES ESSENTIALLY TO THE SAMPLES AS RECEIVED. THE REPORT AND ANY CORRESPONDENCE CONNECTED THEREWITH SHALL NOT BE USED IN FULL OR IN PART AS PUBLICITY OR ADVERTISING MATTER.

AL TECHNICAL 8/71 GEGLOGICAL FILES

6

COPY NO.

**JANUARY** 1971

### Mines Branch Investigation Report IR 71-3

### LIBERATION AND FLOTATION CHARACTERISTICS OF SILVER-COPPER ORE FROM SMITHERS, B.C. SUBMITTED BY KENNCO EXPLORATIONS (CANADA) LIMITED

by

### W. Arthur Wall\* and R.W. Bruce\*\*

### SUMMARY OF RESULTS

A flotation investigation was carried out to determine the fineness of grind for the liberation of the valuable constituents in the ore and their flotation characteristics.

Flotation tests resulted in a primary concentrate assaying 40.9 oz silver per ton and 3.66 per cent copper, and containing 61.8 per cent of the silver and 70.1 per cent of the copper in the feed (Test 9). Cleaning the rougher concentrate produced a final concentrate assaying 96.72 oz silver per ton and 10.0 per cent copper, but containing only 40.4 per cent of the silver and 52.8 per cent of the copper in the feed.

The results of the flotation testwork coupled with the mineralogical investigation and the size analysis of a sample of the flotation tailing indicate that the above flotation results are essentially as good as can be expected.

\*Research Scientist, and \*\*Head, Non-Ferrous Minerals Section, Mineral Processing Division, Mines Branch, Department of Energy, Mines and Resources, Ottawa, Canada.

- i -

### CONTENTS

- ii -

	Page	
Summary of Results	i	
Introduction	1	•
Location of Property Shipment Purpose of Investigation Sampling and Analysis	1 1 1 1	
Mineralogical Examination	2	
Outline of Investigation	3	
Discussion of Results	3	
Conclusions	4	
Acknowledgements	5	
Appendices	6	
A - Test Data Sheets B - Mineralogical Examination		

....

#### INTRODUCTION

#### Location of Property

The property from which this sample was obtained is called the Sam Goosly Prospect and is located at 54° 11' 15" North Latitude, 126° 16' 15" West Longitude. The property is southeast of Smithers, British Columbia.

#### Shipment

Five sacks of ore weighing 330 pounds were received on November 27, 1969.

### Purpose of Investigation

This deposit of ore reportedly contains some tens of millions of tons of material grading 0.7 per cent copper, 4.0 to 5.0 ounces silver, and 0.04 ounces gold per ton. Kennecott Copper Corporation has conducted considerable research work on this ore at their Salt Lake City Laboratory but they were not able to make a marketable grade of copper concentrate from this material.

The Non-Ferrous Minerals Section of the Mineral Processing Division was asked to carry out some metallurgical research on this ore. It was agreed that the Mineral Processing Division would have a mineralogical study done on this sample, and would carry out a limited investigation of flotation characteristics of the ore.

### Sampling and Analysis

Six hand specimens were selected for microscopic examination. The remainder of the ore was crushed to minus half-inch and split into two equal parts. One portion was crushed to minus 10-mesh size and split into 2000-gram samples. One 2000-gram sample was split into halves and one half submitted for microscopic investigation. The remaining half was pulverized, and one portion submitted for chemical analysis and another for semi-quantitative spectrographic analysis. The chemical analysis of the head sample is shown in Table 1. The semi-quantitative spectrochemical analysis is shown in Table 2.

#### TABLE 1



### TABLE 2

Semi-Quantitative Spectrochemical Analysis*											
Principal Constituent	>1.0%	Al, Fe, Si	· · ·								
Prominent Constituent Minor Constituent	< 1.0% > 0.1% < 0.1%	Cu, Ba, Ti Ag, Bi, Ca, Cr, Ni, Pb, V, Zn	Mg, Mn, Mo,								
* From Internal Report	: MS-AC-69-898	•									

### MINERALOGICAL EXAMINATION\*

The ore is composed of a fine-grained matrix of quartz and mica (sericite) with small amounts of clay, chlorite, barite, and a deep red biotite, which may be titaniferous. Distributed throughout this matrix is a variety of fine-grained ore minerals.

Six copper-bearing minerals were identified in the sample. These include chalcopyrite, tennantite-tetrahedrite, digenite, malachite, and covellite, of which chalcopyrite is the most prevalent.

The chalcopyrite in the ore is generally very fine-grained. It occurs largely as disseminated grains in gangue, intergrowths with pyrite, tennantite-tetrahedrite and arsenopyrite, and as combinations with pyrite,

\*From Mines Branch Investigation Report IR 70-11 by D.R. Owens

magnetite or rutile in gangue. The chalcopyrite ranges in size from less than one to about 300 microns; the majority of these grains are smaller than 60 microns. The chalcopyrite, due to its small size, contains only a few inclusions of the other minerals in the ore.

Significant amounts of tennantite-tetrahedrite are present in the ore. The silver content varies from a low of 0.2 to a high of 3.8 per cent silver. The tennantite-tetrahedrite grains vary in size from 2 to 50 microns.

One grain of gold, approximately 10 microns in size, was found as an inclusion in chalcopyrite.

It is stated in the report that all of the economic minerals in the ore are very fine-grained and will probably be difficult to liberate by normal grinding methods. It was also reported that the presence of a large proportion of fine-grained mica and a smaller amount of clay would probably increase the problems of beneficiating this ore.

### OUTLINE OF INVESTIGATION

A number of flotation tests were carried out on ore ground to various degrees of fineness and with various combinations of reagents. The fineness of grind was varied from 47 per cent to 98 per cent minus 325 mesh in flotation tests in which the pH of the pulp ranged from 3.0 to 10.0 with various combinations of promoters and frothers.

Full details of all tests are shown in the Mines Branch Test Reports in Appendix A.

DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

Fourteen flotation tests were performed in this investigation to determine the effect of grind, pH, flotation conditions, and reagents on grade and recovery of the silver and copper minerals. The flotation tailing of each test was screened to determine the screen analysis of the tailing. The grind varied from 47.0 per cent minus 325 mesh in Test 1 to 98.8 per cent minus 325 mesh in Test 14. The best recoveries were obtained in Test 9 in which the ore was ground to 75.2 per cent minus 325 mesh. Test 9 flotation tailing assayed 1.75 oz silver per ton and 0.10 per cent copper, and contained 26.1 per cent of the silver and 18.9 per cent of the copper in the feed. Test 11, with a grind of 89.8 per cent minus 325 mesh, was carried out with the same flotation conditions and reagents as Test 9 but gave inferior results. Tests 5 to 10 inclusive were carried out on ore ground to the same degree of fineness (75.2 per cent minus 325 mesh). Various combinations of pH and flotation reagents were investigated. The flotation conditions and reagents used in Test 9 resulted in the highest recovery of silver and copper in the concentrate, and a tailing with the lowest amount of silver and copper.

Tests 11 to 14 inclusive were carried out on ore ground to different degrees of fineness from 89.8 to 98.8 per cent minus 325 mesh. Flotation conditions and reagents similar to those used in Test 9 were employed in these tests. The results of these tests were better than those of tests carried out at a coarser grind with the exception of Test 9. It should be pointed out that the silver and copper assays of the cleaned concentrates produced in Tests 11, 12, 13, and 14 were considerably higher than the assays of clean concentrate in Test 9.

A sample of the flotation tailing from Test 4 was submitted to the Mineral Sciences Division for mineralogical examination to determine the mineralogical composition which results in the high silver and copper content. The Mineral Sciences report (MS-70-47) covering this mineralogical examination is attached as Appendix B. This report states, "The copper content is due mainly to the presence of chalcopyrite; the silver to tennantite or tetrahedrite. Both free and combined grains were observed but the proportions of the two types could not be determined because of the extremely fine grain size".

Another portion of Test 4 flotation tailing was sized in a Cyclo-Sizer and the various size fractions assayed for silver and copper. The size distribution, assays, and metal distribution are shown on Test 4 Data Sheet No. 2. It can be seen that there is no concentration of the silver and copper values in any particular size. The silver and copper are disseminated throughout the gangue, and finer grinding will not materially increase the recovery of copper and silver.

### CONCLUSIONS

The investigation of the flotation characteristic showed that the production of a marketable grade of copper concentrate from this ore will be extremely difficult, if not impossible. In Test 9, which gave the highest recoveries of any of the tests conducted, the cleaned concentrate assayed only 3.66 per cent copper and 40.9 oz silver per ton, with recoveries of 70.1 per cent and 61.8 per cent respectively.

The highest grade concentrate obtained in the investigation assayed 10.0 per cent copper and 96.7 oz silver per ton, with recoveries of 52.8 per cent and 40.4 per cent respectively. These results were obtained only after grinding to nearly all minus 400 mesh. The poor grades and recoveries of valuable constituents in the ore were attributed to their extremely fine-grained occurrence. This was confirmed by mineralogical examination of the ore and of a sample of the flotation tailing. Sub-sieve size analyses of the flotation tailing also indicated that the chalcopyrite and tennantite-tetrahedrite were not free but were present in the tailing as finely disseminated middling particles.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to acknowledge the assistance of the following members of the Mineral Sciences Division: Mr. D.R. Owens, who carried out the mineralogical examinations of the ore; Mr. D.P. Palombo, who performed the spectrographic analysis; Messrs. J. Cloutier, R. Craig, C.A. Derry, R. Donahoe, J. Graham, J.C. Hole, P. Lanthier, P.E. Maloughney, and C. Smith, who carried out the chemical analysis.

Ъ.

### APPENDICES

· · ·

### APPENDIX A

Test Data Sheets

S

÷.

Abbreviations used in Data Report Sheets

RM	Rod Mill
CaO	Lime
Z-6	Potassium Amyl Xanthate
404	Aero Promoter 404
DF 250	Dowfroth 250 - Frother
Aero 31	Aerofloat 31 - Promoter
Z-200	Isopropyl Ethyl Thiono Carbamate –
	Promoter
Amo Phos	Mono-ammonium Phosphate - Modifier
317	Sodium Isobutyl Zanthate
Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	Sodium Carbonate
CA	Cresylic Acid
$H_2SO_4$	Sulphuric Acid
3501	Aero Promoter 3501

TEST NO. 1	SAMPL	E:	Kennco	Expl	oratio	on (Cana	da) Lin	nited		······································			DA	TE: Ja	n. 16,	1970 🕔
OBJECT OF TEST:	Preli	imina	ry Gri	nding	and (	Concentr	ation '	Test					СН	ARGE:	2000-g	•
							• •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			TE	STED B	Y: W.A.	W.
OPERATION	1	Time	%	ъΗ		Jniť				Reag	jents,	lb pe	r ton			
		min	Solids			used	CaO	<b>Z-6</b>	404	DF250						
Grinding (58.4%-200	m)	30	67		7x14	RM										1
						·										
Conditioning		15	50	10.2	2000-	g cell	4.0	0.10	0.05	0.04		· · · · · ·				
					<u> </u>		ļ						_			
Flotation	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		25					ļ	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Companying			25	0 5				0.10	0.10							
		<u> </u>		9.5		·······		0.10	0.10			<del></del>				
Cleaning		3			500-2	cell	1									
					1		1						1			
													•			
		, , ,		•				· ·								· · · · ·
PRODUCT		w-	F.			ANAL	YSIS	%				D	ISTR	IBUTIO	N %	
		.%			Ag(1)	Cu(2)					1	Τ	Ag	Cu		
Cu clean conc		2.	.5	12	22.31	2.86			• •			1	0.8	18.1		
Cu cléan tail		4.	.0	2	2.66	2.02-	-			· ·		1	7.6	20.5		
Scavenger conc		3	.9	1	7.40	1.35						1	3.2	13.3		
Kougher tail		89.	•0		3.30	0,212						5	8.4	48.1		
Feed (calcd)		100.	•0		5.15	0.395				· ·		10	0.0	100.0	,	
Cu rougher conc (ca	lcd)	6.	.5	. 2	2.52	2.34			•			2	8.4	38.6		
							Í							· ·		
			1									·	•	<b>*</b> .		• •
															• •	
							1									
					,								••••			
REMARKE Crind 4	7.0 00	r cer	ll t minu		meeh	<u> </u>	l			1	<u></u>	l		1		
(1) From Internal R	eport 1	MS-AC	-70 - 10	.3 J2J )7	1110511	•				•			. • •		· · ·	
(2) From Bondar & C	legg Re	eport	A-10-	70				·								
		-					·								····	

î.

2. .

\$

٦

TEST NO. 2 SAMP	LE: K	ennco 1	Explor	ation	(Canada	) Limi	.ted					DA	TE: Ja	n. 19, 1	.970
OBJECT OF TEST: Regr	ind C	oncent	rate b	efore	Cleanin	g						СН	ARGE:	2000-g	
												TE	STED E	Y: W.A.	W
OPERATION	Time	%		ι ι	Jnit				Rea	igents,	lb p	er ton	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
OFERATION	min	Solids	pn	ι	used	CaO	<b>Z-6</b>	404	DF250	Aero31					ļ
Grinding (58.4%-200m)	30	67		7x14	RM										]
Conditioning	15	50	10.6	2000	<u>-g_cell</u>	4.0	0.10	0.05	0.04	0.05					<u> </u>
				·						· .					.
Flotation		30	10.0				0.10	0.05	<u> </u>	0.05	<b> </b>				
Crind conc	/.5			Pohh	10 Mill	·									
	<u>_4</u> J			reou	IE MIII	<u> </u>	<u> </u>				<u> </u>				1
Cleaning	5		[	500-	g cell			0.025	0.02	1					
															ļ
Recleaning	1			250-	g cell					ļ					
							L		<u></u>						<u> </u>
PRODUCT	W	т			ANAL	YSIS	%				1	DISTR	IBUTIC	N %	
	9	6		$Ag^{(1)}$	$Cu^{(2)}$							Ag	Cu		
Copper clean conc	2	.3	5	3.5	6.08							24.2	35.0		
Cu clean tail (combined)	12	.3		9.37	0.72							22.6	22.3		
Rougher tail	85	•4		3.17	0.20							53.2	42.7		
Feed (calcd)	100	.0		5.09	0.40						1	00.0	100.0		
Rougher conc (calcd)	14	.6	1	6.33	1.57							46.8	57.3		_
								-							
										)					
	-							ļ							
								<u> </u>					<u> </u>		
REMARKS: (1) From Inte	ernal	Report	MS-AC	2-70-1	L07										
(2) From Bond	lar-Cl	egg &	Co. Re	eport	A-13-70										

ι ω ι

٠٠,

TEST NO. 3       SAMPLE: Kennco Exploration (Canada) Limited         OBJECT OF TEST:       Finer Grind													E: Jaı	n. 23,	1970
OBJECT OF TEST: Fin	er Gri	nd		•		· ·	•	•	•		,	CHA	RGE:	2000-g	
	• 		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· ·		<u></u>		· · · · ·				TES	TED B	Y: W.A	•W•
OPERATION	Time min	% Solids	рH	L	Jnit Ised	C=0	2-200	404	Rea	Igents,	Amo		·		<u> </u>
Grinding (98.3%-200m)	60	67		7x14	RM	040	2-200		01230	ACT OF 1	<u>enos</u>				
Conditioning	15	25	0 6	2000		4.0	0.10	0.05		<u>.</u>					
Condicioning			. 9.0	2000	J-g Cell	4.0	0.10	0.05	  .						-
Copper flotation	5	22	7.5	1			· .		0.04			·	-		
Scavenger No. 1	5	22			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		0.10			0.05	······				· · · · · · · ·
Scavenger No. 2	5		7.5	 	· <u>····</u>		0.05			0.05	4.0	· · ·			
				<u> </u>											
	· · · ·				······································	· · · · ·		· · · · · ·	х х				-		
PRODUCT	W	т			ANAL	YSIS	%				DI	STRIE	BUTIO	N %	
				Ag(1)	<u>Cu</u> (2)							g	Cu		
Copper conc Scavenger conc No. 1 Scavenger conc No. 2 Rougher tail	7 4 5 82	.4 .5 .6 .5	3	5.26 9.36 5.87 2.405	3.42 0.58 0.35 0.148						48 7 6 37	3.8 7.9 5.2 7.1	60.1 6.2 4.7 29.0		
Feed (calcd)	100	.0		5.34	0.42						100	0.0 1	00.0		• • • •
														· · ·	
						·									• •
							•••	•	·						-
KEMARKS:     Grind 80.4 per cent minus 325 mesh.       (1) From Internal Report MS-AC-70-112       (2) From Bondar-Clegg & Co. Report A-19-70															

# MINES BRANCH FLOTATION TEST REPORT Sheet 1 of 2

•••

4

TEST NO. 4       SAMPLE: Kennco Exploration (Canada) Limited       DATE: Jan. 29, 1970         OD 1507 05 7507 0400000000000000000000000000																
OBJECT OF TEST: Diff	erent	Flotat	ion Re	eagent	s - Cvc	losize	Float	Tails				CH,	ARGE	: 2000	)-g	
												TE	STED	BY: W	I.A.W	•
	Time	%		Ι ι	Init	Τ			Rea	igents,	lb per	ton				
OPERATION	min	Solids	рп	u	sed	Amo	317	404	DF250	Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	<b>Z-200</b>					
Grinding (100%-200 m)	60	67		7x14	RM											
Conditioning	5	35	7.2	2000-	g cell	4.0										
Flotation No. 1	4	20	7.2				0.10	0.10	0.06				-			
Conditioning	5	20	8.5			<u> </u>				4.0	0.15					
Flotation No. 2	15	20	8.4													
						<u> </u>										
				<u> </u>												
PRODUCT	W	т			ANAL	YSIS	%				D	STR	IBUT	ION 9	%	
	9	6		<sub>Ag</sub> (1)	<sub>Cu</sub> (2)						A	g	Cu			
Float conc No. 1 Float conc No. 2 Rougher tail	5 13 81	.9 .1 .0	42 6 2	.12 .325 .05	3.79 0.41 0.129						54 15 30	••6 •2 •2	58.6 14.1 27.3			
Feed (calcd)	100	.0	5	.47	0.38						LOC	0.0	100.0			
REMARKS: (1) From In (2) From Bo	REMARKS: (1) From Internal Report MS-AC-70-122 (2) From Bondar-Clegg & Co. Ltd. Report A-28-70															

.

1 1

S

-

, **5**, **6**,

# MINES BRANCH FLOTATION TEST REPORT Sheet 2 of 2

TEST NO. 4SAMPLE: Kennco Exploration (Canada) LimitedDATE: Jan. 29, 1970OBJECT OF TEST:CHARGE:														1970	
OBJECT OF TEST:												CHA	RGE:		
												TES	TED BY	<u>':</u>	
OPERATION	Time	% Salida	pН	. <b> </b>	Jnit	.	1	r	Rea	gents,	ib per	ton	-1	· ·	1
		Solias					+								
Cyclosize flotation tail	ings		<u> </u>							·				1	+
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								· · ·						1	1.
						-								1	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·														<u> </u>	
				·  ·			<u>_</u>	<u> </u>	<u>`</u>	<u> </u>				<u>  </u>	
							<u> </u>				· · ·				
										. <u> </u>					+
	. <u></u>	· ·					1								
													·		
BRODUCT	W	Τ			ANA	LYSIS	% (1)		<u> </u>		DI	STRIE	BUTION	%	
	%	6		Ag		Cu		L				Ag		Cu	<u>.</u>
+40.6 micron	4	.4		1.91		0.18*						<b>4.</b> 7		6.2	,
+30.9 "	10	.7		2.105		0.14	1.			·	1:	2.4		11.6	
+22.5 "	22	.0		1.965		0.13	1				23	8.8		22.5	
+15.5 <sup>11</sup>	1 24	.8		1.735		0.12					2	3.7		23.3	х.
Slime	21	.2		1.715	· · · ·	0.13	ł				20	).1		21.7	
											•				
Rougher tail (calcd)	100	.0		1.81		0.129					100	0.0		100.0	
•								Ì	-						
· · ·									-						
. •														, ·	
·.							<u> </u>	L		<u>  </u>				· .	·
REMARKS: Flotation t	REMARKS: Flotation tailings washed in hot water and methyl hydrate before sizing.														
<ul> <li>Calculated</li> <li>(1) From Inter</li> </ul>	nal R	enort P	45-41	c-70-17	'5 and '	98	•		•	¢.					
	nar N	chore t	10 - M	0 10 II											

2 . .

-6

TEST NO. 5 SAM	PLE: K	ennco	Explo	ration	(Canada	a) Limi	ted					DATE	: Apr	il 28,	1970	
OBJECT OF TEST: Flot	ation	at aci	d nul				-					CHAR	GE:	2000-8	5	
					······							TEST	ED B	Y: W.A.	. W.	
OPERATION	Time	%	На	L	Jnit		,	<u> </u>	Rea	gents,	lb per	ton			<del></del>	
	min	Solids		. L	ised	C.A.	$H_2SO_4$	317	404	Ca0	$Na_2CO$	Aero31				
Grinding(97.4%-200m)	60	67		7 x	14 RM										ļ	
Conditioning	2	45		2000	)-g cell	0.12										
Flotation No. 1	3	30	6.6	<b>_</b>												
			···									<u> </u>	-		┼────┼	
Conditioning	2		3.0			0.08	12.0								┼┥	
Flotation No. 2			4.3									<u> </u>			┼───╆	
Conditioning	2				<u> </u>	·		0.05	0.05			<u> </u>			<u>† – – †</u>	
Flotation No. 3	5		4.0	1								1				
Conditioning	5		8.5					0.05	0.05	4.0	12.0	0.04				
Flotation No. 4	4		8.5									L	L	1		
PRODUCT	W.	τŀ			ANAL	YSIS % *					DI	DISTRIBUTION %				
	%	6		Ag		Cu						Ag		Cu		
No. 1 Concentrate		8		22 0%		1 00			1		20			22.2		
No. 2 Concentrate	3	.0		52.26		4.66					30	).4		34.2		
No. 3 Concentrate	2	.8		18.14		1.62					9	9.8		11.2	ļ	
No. 4 Concentrate	1	.5		7.51		0.65						2.2		2.4		
Rougher tailing	87	.9		2.18		0.14					37	7.1		30.0		
Feed (calcd)	100	.0		5.16		0.41					100	0.0		100.0		
															.	
		11														
									<u> </u>							
REMARKS: * From Inte:	m <b>al</b> Re	port M	S-AC-7	70-524	and 535	5.									1	

OBJECT OF TEST:         Pulp washed before flotation         CHARGE: 2000-g TESTED BY: W.A.W.           OPERATION         Time % min Solids         pH         Unit used         C.A.         317         404         Na2C03         Z-200         Image: Constraint of the second	
Definition         Time % min Solids         pH         Unit used         C.A.         317         404         Na2C03         Z-200         Image: Color of the second seco	
OPERATION         Time % min Solids         pH         Unit used         C.A.         317         404         Na2C03         Z-200           Grinding (97.1%-200m)         60         57         7 x/4 RM	
min         Solids         used         C.A.         317         404         Na2C03         Z-200           Grinding (97.1%-200m)         60         57         7 x/4 RM	
Grinding (97.1%-200m) 60 57 7 x/4 RM	
wasning	
Conditions 5 45 6.5 2000-g cell 0.16	
Flotation No. 1 3 30	
Conditioning         1         20         0.10         0.10	
Flotation No. 2 5 20 6.4	
Flotation No. 3 15 20	
WT ANALYSIS % * DISTRIBUTION %	
PRODUCT % Ag Cu Ag Cu	
No. 1 concentrate 2.1 57.56 5.52 15.2 10.9	
No. 2 concentrate         5.7         18.03         1.91         19.8         26.3	
No. 3 concentrate 5.8 16.52 1.36 18.4 19.1	
Rougher tailing 86.4 2.81 0.18 46.6 37.7	
Feed (Calcd) 100.0 5.20 0.41 100.0 100.0	
REMARKS: Ground pulp filtered and washed twice in filter with hot water.	
* From Internal Report MS-AC-70-524 and 535.	

, 00 1

TEST NO. 7 SAME	PLE: K	ennco i	Explor	ation ((	Canada	a) Lim	ited		,			DA	TE: May	20, 19	70
OBJECT OF TEST: Rep	eat of	Test 1	No. 4	plus Reg	grind	of Ro	ugher C	onc.				ĊH,	ARGE:	2000-g	
					-							TES	STED E	3Y: W.A.	W.
ODERATION	Time	%		Unit	:				Rea	igents,	lb per	ton			
OPERATION	min	Solids	pm	use	d	Amo	317	404	DF250	Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	<b>z-</b> 200				
Grind (97,1%-200m)	60	67		7x14 RM	 1	PROS-									
Condition	5	35		2000-g	cell	4.0		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Float No. 1	4	20	6.5				0.10	0.20	0.08						
-															
Condition	5	20	8.4							5.0	0.10		-		
Float No. 2	15	20	8.4					0.10		ļ	0.10	<b></b>			
				5 11 1	25.77										
Grind concentrate	45		· · · · ·	Pebble	Mill		·					<u> </u>			
Clean conc No. 1	5			500-9 0	ell			0.025	0.01			<u> </u>			
Clean conc No. 2	2			250-0 0	011		1	0.025	0.01						
Great conc No. 2				230-g C											
		<u>г</u>				VSIS	•/		I	I <u></u>	וח	STR		)N %	
PRODUCT	%	6	Ασ	oz/ton		C11	NSCu	SCu				<u>с</u>	Cu	NSCu	SCu
				02/001				<u> </u>				<u>D</u>			
Clean conc (1)	2	.8	70	•80 _		7.30	0.48	6.82		1	3	9.9	53.1	22.0	58.8
Clean tail No. 1	10	.6	8	.12		0.48	0 13	0 35			1	7.4	13 3	23 7	11 4
				•••		0.10	0.15				-		10.0	20.7	11.4
Clean tail No. 2	2	.1	20	.82		1.33	0.34	0.99				8.8	7.3	11.9	6.4
		_	-	00		0 1 2	0.07					2 0	06 7		05.4
Kougher tail	84	• 2	L	•99		0.12	0.03	0.09			3	3.9	26.3	42.4	23.4
Feed (calculated)	100	.0	4	.96		0.38	0.06	0.32			10	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
										1					
										ł					
								•							
						1									
REMARKS: *From Inte	rnal R	eport M	IS-AC-	70-564,	568,	592 an	.d 621.	-							•
(1) Sulphur A	ssay =	31.49%	2	-											
Insol	" =	23.37%	/ 												
C0	=	0.047	,												

TEST NO. 8 SAMP	PLE: K	ennco	Explo	ratior	ı (Canad	la) Lim	ited					DA	ГЕ: Ма	y 21, 19	970
OBJECT OF TEST:	forent	Peago	nto									СНА	RGE:	2000-g	·
		Reage									÷	TES	TED B	Y: W.A.	•W•
OPERATION	Time	%	пн	· 1	Jnit				Re	agents,	lb per	ton			
	min	Solids		ι	ised	Amo Phos	CaO	3501	404	DF250	Z-200				
Grind (96.0%-200m)	60	57		7x14	RM										
Condition	5	40	9.0	2000-	g cell	4.0	6.0					ļ			
				- <b> </b>		·		ļ		_		<u> </u>			
Float	5	30	9.0					0.10	0.20	0.06	L	·			
Condition							<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ļ		0.10				
Condicion					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			·		· · · ·	0.10				· · · · · ·
Float	10	30	9.0				+	0.10					_ <u> </u>		
				1			· ·	1							
							1								
												ŀ			
PRODUCT	w-	г 📗			ANA	YSIS	%*			1	DI	STRI	BUTIO	N %	
	%		As	z oz/t	on	Cu					A	le l		Cu	
No. 1 Gu conc	7.0	45		33.68		3.28				•	47	.7.		59.7	
						0.20						•		57.1	
No. 2 Cu conc	5.	76		6.71		0.46	•				7	.3		6.3	ъ.
Rougher tail	86.	79		2.73		0.16					45	.0		34.0	
Feed (calculated)	L00.0	o		5.26		0.41		· · · .			. 100			100.0	
·				Į		•				-					
							.'								
· .		-				•									
· ·								· . ·							8
REMARKS: *From Intern	al Rep	ort MS	5-AC-7	'0 <b>-</b> 564	and 56	<u> </u>		<u></u>			<u>_</u>	<u> </u>		<u></u>	
	•		•				~								
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·					· · ·				۰.					
										• .		•			

L 10

TEST NO. 9	SAMP	LE:	.E: Kennco Exploration (Canada) Limited DATE: June 17, 1970													
OBJECT OF TEST	:												СНА	RGE:	2000-g	
	pH ac	ljustm	ent wi	th NA	2003								TES	TED B	Y: WAW	
OPERATION		Time	%	На	1	Jnit	Reagents, Ib per ton									
		min Solic		F · · ·		used		404	A 31	<u>z-200</u>	DF250					
Grind (97.4%-200	m)	60	67		7x14	R M										
- 11. I					0.000			0.10	0.07							
Condition			40	8.0	2000	g. cell	4.0	0.10	0.04							
Flotation		4	25	8.0						0.10						
riocación				0.0	+	·····				0.10						
Scavenger No. 1		5	25	8.0						0.10	0.06					
Scavenger No. 2		5	25	7.5				0.10								
······································													ļ			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					ļ	·····							ļ			
		1			<u> </u>		<u> </u>				<u> </u>		<u> </u>			<u> </u>
PRODUCT		W o/	[	i.			YSIS :	*		- <u>r</u>			STRI	BUTIO	N %	
					g oz/		Cu %						<u>g</u>		Cu	
N7- 1			<u>.</u>		40 <b>0</b>		2 66						61 8		70 1	
No. 1 conc.		0.			40.9		5.00		Ì						/0.1	
No. 2 conc.		7.	2		5.96		0.45						8.1		7.7	
No. 3 conc.		5.	7		3.69		0.25						4.0		3.3	
Rougher tail		79.	1		1.75		0.10						26.1	<i>.</i>	18.9	[
Feed (calcd.)		100.	0		5.29		0.42					1	0.00		100.0	
			- II													
REMARKS: * Fro	m Tnter	mal P	anorte	MG_ /	~ 70-6	34 and 4	<u> </u>		······	<u></u>						
·· <b>FIO</b>		nar A	-Por co	110 M									-			

- 11

CHARGE: 2000-g           CHARGE: 2000-g           Time         %         PH         Unit         Reagents. Ib per tor         TESTED BY: WAW           OPERATION         Time         %         PH         Unit         Reagents. Ib per tor         Ib per tor           Grind (96.02-200m)         60         57         7. x : 14 RM         4.0         0.20         0.05         Image: Colspan="2">Image: Colspan="2">CHARGE: 2000-g           Condition         2         45         8.5         2000-g cell         0.08         Image: Colspan="2">Image: Colspan="2">CHARGE: 2000-g           Condition         1.5         200-g cell         0.08         Image: Colspan="2">Image: Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Condition           1.5         9.1         Image: Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Condition           1.5         9.1         Image: Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Condition           1.5         9.1         Image: Colspan="2">Colspan="2"           PRODUCT         WT         ANALYSIS * <th>TEST NO. 10</th> <th>SAMP</th> <th>LE:</th> <th>Kennco</th> <th>Exp]</th> <th>lorati</th> <th>on (Cana</th> <th>da) Lin</th> <th>nited</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>DAT</th> <th>E: J</th> <th>uly 8,</th> <th>1970</th>	TEST NO. 10	SAMP	LE:	Kennco	Exp]	lorati	on (Cana	da) Lin	nited					DAT	E: J	uly 8,	1970			
OPERATION         Time         %         pH         Unit         Respents. ib per ton           Grind (96.0%-200m)         60         57         5 x 14 RM         4.0         0.20         0.05	OBJECT OF TEST:	Repe	eat of	Test	9.				•					CHARGE: 2000-g						
OPERATION         Time min Solids         pH solids         Unit used         Reagents. Ib per ton           Grind (96.02-200m)         60         57         7.x:14 RM         4.0         0.20         0.05         1         1         1           Condition         2         45         8.5         2000-g cell         0.08         1         1         1           Float No. 1         3.5         30         8.5         0.03         0.08         1         1         1           Float No. 1         3.5         9.1         0.20         0.03         0.08         1         1         1           Float No. 2         1.5         9.1         0.20         0.03         0.08         1         1         1           PRODUCT         WT         ANALYSIS *         DISTRIBUTION %         1														TESTED BY: WAW						
min Solida         min Solida         used         Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> 404         A31         2-200           Grind (96.0%-200m)         60         57         Z.x.14 RM         4.0         0.20         0.05         1         1           Condition         2         45         8.5         2000-g cell         0.08         1         1           Float No. 1         3.5         30         8.5         0.020         0.03         1         1           Condition         1.5         9.1         0.20         0.03         1         1         1           Float No. 2         1.5         9.1         0.20         0.03         0.08         1         1           PRODUCT         WT         ANALYSIS *         DISTRIBUTION %         1	OPERATION	Time	%	ьΗ		Unit				Rea	gents,	lb per	ton							
Grind (96.0%-200m)       60       57       7.x 14 RM       4.0       0.20       0.05       1 <th1< th="">       1       <th1< th=""></th1<></th1<>			min	Solids			used	$Na_2CO$	404	A31	Z-200									
Condition         2         45         8.5         2000-g cell         0.08         1         1           Float No. 1         3.5         30         8.5         0.03         1 <td>Grind (96.0%-200m)</td> <td></td> <td>60</td> <td colspan="2">0 57</td> <td>7. x</td> <td>14 RM</td> <td>4.0</td> <td>0.20</td> <td>0.05</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Grind (96.0%-200m)		60	0 57		7. x	14 RM	4.0	0.20	0.05										
Condition       2       45       8.5       2000-g cell       0.08       1       1         Float No. 1       3.5       30       8.5       0.03       0.03       0.04       0.04         Condition       1.5       0.20       0.03       0.08       0.04       0.04         Float No. 2       1.5       9.1       0.20       0.03       0.08       0.04         Float No. 2       1.5       9.1       0.20       0.03       0.04       0.04         PRODUCT       WT       ANALYSIS *       DISTRIBUTION %         Mo. 1 conc       7.7       30.88       3.14       47.6       57.4         No. 2 conc       13.3       7.28       0.58       19.4       18.2         Rougher tail       79.0       2.09       0.13       33.0       24.4         Feed (calcd)       100.0       5.00       0.42       100.0       100.0       100.0         REMARKS: * From Internal Report MS-AC-70-720 and 730.       30.8       30.4       100.0       100.0       100.0																				
Float No. 1       3.5       30       8.5       0.03       1       1         Condition       1.5       0.20       0.03       0.08       0       0         Float No. 2       1.5       9.1       0       0       0       0       0         Float No. 2       1.5       9.1       0       0       0       0       0       0         PRODUCT       WT       ANALYSIS *       DISTRIBUTION %         Mo. 1 conc       7.7       30.88       3.14       47.6       57.4         No. 2 conc       13.3       7.28       0.58       19.4       18.2         Rougher tail       79.0       2.09       0.13       33.0       24.4         Feed (calcd)       100.0       5.00       0.42       100.0       100.0         REMARKS: * From Internal Report MS-AC-70-720 and 730.       33.0       24.4	Condition		_2	45	8.5	5 2000	)-g cell	· ·		·	0.08						+			
Float No. 1       3.5       30       8.5       0.03       1       1       1         Condition       1.5       0.20       0.03       0.08       0       0       0         Float No. 2       1.5       9.1       0.20       0.03       0.08       0       0       0         Float No. 2       1.5       9.1       0       0       0       0       0       0         PRODUCT       WT       Analysis *       DISTRIBUTION %         Mo. 1 conc       7.7       30.88       3.14       47.6       57.4         No. 2 conc       13.3       7.28       0.58       19.4       18.2         Rougher tai1       79.0       2.09       0.13       33.0       24.4         Feed (calcd)       100.0       5.00       0.42       100.0       100.0         REMARKS: * From Internal Report MS-AC-70-720 and 730.       33.0       24.4       100.0       100.0															_		-			
Condition         1.5         0.20         0.03         0.08         0 <th0< th=""> <th0< th=""></th0<></th0<>	Float No. 1		3.5	30	8.5	<u>}</u>				0.03										
Schultton       1.5       9.1       0.000       0.000       0.000       0.000         Float No. 2       1.5       9.1       0.000       0.000       0.000       0.000         PRODUCT       WT       ANALYSIS *       DISTRIBUTION %         Mo. 1 conc       7.7       30.88       3.14       47.6       57.4         No. 1 conc       13.3       7.28       0.58       19.4       18.2         Rougher tail       79.0       2.09       0.13       33.0       24.4         Feed (calcd)       100.0       5.00       0.42       100.0       100.0         REMARKS: * From Internal Report MS-AC-70-720 and 730.       33.0       34.2       34.2       34.2	Condition		15						0.20	0.03	0 08									
Float No. 2       1.5       9.1       All of the second					· · · ·				0.20	0.05	0.00									
PRODUCT         WT         ANALYSIS *         DISTRIBUTION %           PRODUCT         WT         ANALYSIS *         DISTRIBUTION %           No. 1 conc         7.7         30.88         3.14         47.6         57.4           No. 2 conc         13.3         7.28         0.58         19.4         18.2           Rougher tail         79.0         2.09         0.13         33.0         24.4           Feed (calcd)         100.0         5.00         0.42         100.0         100.0           RemarkS:         * From Internal Report MS-AC-70-720 and 730.         33.0         24.4         33.0	Float No. 2		1.5		9.1	-														
PRODUCT         WT         ANALYSIS *         DISTRIBUTION %           Mo. 1 cone         7.7         30.88         3.14         47.6         57.4           No. 2 cone         13.3         7.28         0.58         19.4         18.2           Rougher tail         79.0         2.09         0.13         33.0         24.4           Feed (calcd)         100.0         5.00         0.42         100.0         100.0         100.0								1									1			
PRODUCT         WT         ANALYSIS *         DISTRIBUTION %           Mo. 1 conc         7.7         30.88         3.14         47.6         57.4           No. 1 conc         13.3         7.28         0.58         19.4         18.2           Rougher tail         79.0         2.09         0.13         33.0         24.4           Feed (calcd)         100.0         5.00         0.42         100.0         100.0         100.0	·																			
PRODUCT         WT %         ANALYSIS *         DISTRIBUTION %           No. 1 conc         7.7         30.88         3.14         47.6         57.4           No. 2 conc         13.3         7.28         0.58         19.4         18.2           Rougher tail         79.0         2.09         0.13         33.0         24.4           Feed (calcd)         100.0         5.00         0.42         100.0         100.0           RMARKS: * From Internal Report MS-AG-70-720 and 730.         730.         730.         730.         730.			_																	
%         Ag oz/t         Cu%         Ag         Cu           No. 1 conc         7.7         30.88         3.14         47.6         57.4           No. 2 conc         13.3         7.28         0.58         19.4         18.2           Rougher tail         79.0         2.09         0.13         33.0         24.4           Feed (calcd)         100.0         5.00         0.42         100.0         100.0           Rougher tail         79.0         2.09         0.13         33.0         24.4           Feed (calcd)         100.0         5.00         0.42         100.0         100.0           Remarks: * From Internal Report MS-AC-70-720 and 730.         33.0         24.4         100.0         100.0	PRODUCT		W	г			ANAL	YSIS *					DIS	STRIE	BUTIO	N %				
No. 1 conc       7.7       30.88       3.14       47.6       57.4         No. 2 conc       13.3       7.28       0.58       19.4       18.2         Rougher tail       79.0       2.09       0.13       33.0       24.4         Feed (calcd)       100.0       5.00       0.42       100.0       100.0         RemarkS: * From Internal Report MS-AC-70-720 and 730.       730.			%		A	g oz/1		Cu%			·		A	g		Cu				
No. 2 conc       13.3       7.28       0.58       19.4       18.2         Rougher tail       79.0       2.09       0.13       33.0       24.4         Feed (calcd)       100.0       5.00       0.42       100.0       100.0         RemarkS: * From Internal Report MS-AC-70-720 and 730.       730.	No. 1 conc		7	7		30 88		3 14					47	.6		57.4				
No. 2 conc       13.3       7.28       0.58       19.4       18.2         Rougher tail       79.0       2.09       0.13       33.0       24.4         Feed (calcd)       100.0       5.00       0.42       100.0       100.0         RemarkS:       * From Internal Report MS-AC-70-720 and 730.       730.       100.0       100.0	No. I Conc			• /		50.00		3.14					1 - '	••		57.4				
Rougher tail       79.0       2.09       0.13       33.0       24.4         Feed (calcd)       100.0       5.00       0.42       100.0       100.0       100.0         REMARKS:       * From Internal Report MS-AC-70-720 and 730.	No. 2 conc		13	.3		7.28		0.58					19	.4		18.2				
Rodgner tall       79.0       2.09       0.13       33.0       24.4         Feed (calcd)       100.0       5.00       0.42       100.0       100.0         REMARKS:       * From Internal Report MS-AC-70-720 and 730.       730.	Develop tot 1		70			2 00		0 12					22			24.4	. [			
Feed (calcd)       100.0       5.00       0.42       100.0       100.0       100.0         REMARKS:       * From Internal Report MS-AC-70-720 and 730.       Report MS-AC-70-720 and 730.       Report MS-AC-70-720 and 730.       Report MS-AC-70-720 and 730.	Kougner tall		19	••    ••	·	2.09		0.12					55	.0		24.4	· .			
REMARKS: * From Internal Report MS-AC-70-720 and 730.	Feed (calcd)		100	.0		5.00		0.42					100	.0	;	100.0				
REMARKS: * From Internal Report MS-AC-70-720 and 730.																				
REMARKS: * From Internal Report MS-AC-70-720 and 730.						•		1												
REMARKS: * From Internal Report MS-AC-70-720 and 730.																ļ	·  .			
REMARKS: * From Internal Report MS-AC-70-720 and 730.															. 1					
REMARKS: * From Internal Report MS-AC-70-720 and 730.																				
REMARKS: * From Internal Report MS-AC-70-720 and 730.	, . ·							·		-										
REMARKS: * From Internal Report MS-AC-70-720 and 730.											· · ·	-								
- The internal Report H5-AC-/0-/20 and /50.	REMARKS: * From	Thic			L	-70-7	20 and 7	<u> </u>			•			· ·			· ·			
		Turel	.nai Ki	shorr r	19-AC		.o anu 7.	.0.												
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								· .		-			• •	<u></u> *.	· .				

- 12 -

TEST NO. 11 SAMP	LE:	Kennco	Explo	ration (Canad	la) Lin	nited					ATE: Se	ept. 24,	1970
OBJECT OF TEST: Repe	at of	Test	9 at f	iner grind.						C	HARGE:	2000-g	
		<u></u>	1	-			····				ESTED E	3Y: WAW	
OPERATION	Time	%	pН	Unit		1.01		Rea	Ib per to	n 			
	min	Solids		used	$Na_2CO_3$	404	<u>A31</u>	Z-200	DF250				
Grind (1)	75	67		7 x 14 RM		0.10	0.07						_
Condition	15	35	8.0	2000-g cell	4.0	0.10	0.04						
Flotation	4	25	8.0		• •		0.06	0.10	0.02				
Scav float No. 1	5	25	8.0					0.10					
Scav float No. 2	5	25	7.5			0.10							
Clean total conc	2			1000-g cell									
				JOO-g Cell									
ke-fectean	<u></u>			250-g cell									
L	W	, т II		ANAL	YSIS *				<b>I</b>	DIST	RIBUTIC	N %	
PRODUCT %			Ag	oz/ton	Cu%			1		Ag		Cu	
Clean conc	4	.2	6	0,60	6.00					50.3		58.9	
Cleaner tail	5	.1		6.93	0.45					7.0		5.4	
Reclean & Re-reclean tai	1 1	•5	2	7.86	1.89					8.3		6.5	
Rougher conc (calcd)	10	.8	3	0.70	2.81					65.6		70.8	
Rougher tail	89	.2		1.95	0.14					34.4		29.2	
Feed	100	.0		5.05	0.43					100.0		100.0	
													ľ
				<u> </u>				1					
REMARKS: * From Inte	rnal 1	Reports	s MS-A	C-70-899 and	907.	_							
(1) Flotatio	n tai	Ling 8	5.4 pe	r cent minus	400 me	sh.							

.

TEST NO. 12 SAMP	LE:	LE: Kennco Exploration (Canada) Limited DATE: Sept. 25, 1970															
OBJECT OF TEST: Finer grind.											CHAF	RGE:	2000-g				
£'i.	ner gr	ind.	· ··· · · · ·		· ·	· · ·	·				TESTED BY: WAW						
	Time	%	~~	Unit				Rea	igents,	lb per	ton						
	min	Solids		used	Ano Phos	317	404	DF250	$Na_2CO_3$	Z-6	Ca0			<u> </u>			
Grind (1)	75 .	57		7 x 14 RM						· ·							
Condition	15	35	6.5	2000-g cell	4.0	0.10	0.10										
Flotation	4	20	6.9		<u> </u>			0.08		•							
Condition	10	20	8.5						4.0	0.10							
Flotation	3	20	8.5										·				
Condition	5	25	10.3			0.10					8.0	ļ					
Flotation	15	25	9.8		· .	0.10											
								0.01									
Clean combined conc	3		8.0	500-g cell			·	0.01			ļ						
Reclean			7.9	250-g cell					·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			·			
Re-reclean		<u>   </u>	7.9	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			[	<u> </u>		L	<u> </u>		<u> </u>			
PRODUCT	W	T		ANAL	-YSIS "	Y 515 "					STRIB	UTIO	N %				
		0	Ag	oz/ton	Cu%						1g		Cu				
Clean conc	4	.3	6	0.49	6.17					-	51.4		61.8				
No. 1 clean tail	9	.0	ľ	6.86	0.43						2.2		9.1				
No. 2 clean tail	1	.4	1	6.48	1.17	. '					4.6		3.7				
No. 3 clean tail	0	.7	2	8.71	2.33						4.0		3.7				
		,				- ·				· · -							
Rougher conc (calcd)	15	•4	2	3.70	2.18						2.2		/8.3				
Rougher tail	84	.6		1.66	0.11		-				7.8	i i i	21.7				
		••		1.00													
Feed	100	.0		5.05	0.43			· .		10	0.0		100.0				
						•						· · · ·					
					-												
		-															
											.   ·		-				
REMARKS: * From Tata	mal D	anort c	MS_AC	_70_808 and 0									• •				
(1) Flotation	n tail	ing 93.	2 ner	cent minus 4	400 mes	Ь.			• •				· · ·				
	. ctat.		- Por								•	•					

TEST NO. 13       SAMPLE:       Kennco Exploration (Canada) Ltd.       DATE:       Oct. 1, 1970         OBJECT OF TEST:       CHARGE:       2000 -														970	
OBJECT OF TEST:		m - ·		1 64		1						СНА	RGE:	2000-g	
Rep	eat of	Test	11 wit	n Ilnei	r grind	1.						TES	TED B	Y: WAW	
OPERATION	Time	%	nН	Un	it				ton						
	min	Solids		use	ed	Na2003 Z-200 404			<u>A31</u>	DF250					
Grind*	90	57		7 x 14	4 RM										
Condition	15	35	8.5	2000-g	g cell	6.0		0.10	0.03		_				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					·						 			ļ
Flotation	5	25	8.5				0.05			0.02					
Scavenging	15	25	8.5				0.05	0.10							·
Cleaning	2			500-0	<u>cell</u>										
Recleaning	1			250-9	cell										
<u>Neereuring</u>				230 6											
					·										
													1		
	W	г			ANAL	YSIS (	1)			11	D١	STRIE	BUTIO	N %	
	%		Ag	oz/ton		Cu%						Ag		Cu	
Clean conc	4	.7	5	4.58		5.50					54	.2		62.9	
Clean & reclean tail	3	.9	1	3.12		0.80					10	.8		7.5	
Ro conc (calcd)	8	.6	3	5.78		3.37					65	.0		70.4	
Scav conc	1	.9	1	1.57		0.79					2	.7		3.6	
Rougher tail	89	.5		1.60		0.12					30	).3		26.0	
	100			1 70		0 41					100			100 0	
reed (carco)	1100	••		4.13		0.41								100.0	
															[
				<u> </u>	<u> </u>									1	
KEMARKS: * Flotation	taili	ng 96.7	/ per	cent mi	nus 40	0 mesh	•								
	гиат 1	keports.	5 m <b>5</b> –A	0-70-98		70/•									

\*

I

....

TEST NO. 14	SAMP	LE: K	Kennco	Explo	ration	(Canad	a) Lim	ited					DAT	E: Oc	t. 9, 1	.970
OBJECT OF TEST:	Pogr	ind of		ntrati	a befo	re clea	ning						CHA	RGE:	2000-g	
	Regi							· .			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·	TES	TED B	Y: WAW	
ODERATION		Time	%		U	nit				Rea	igents,	lb pe	r ton			
OPERATION		min	Solids	рп	us	sed	Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>2</sub>	Z-200	404	DF 250	A31					
Grind (1)		50	57		7 x 14 RM											
Condition		15	35	7.7	2000-	g_cell	4.0		0.10		0.04					
·										*			^			·
Float No. 1		4	25	8.0				0.10			0.06	•				
Float No. 2		5		8.0				0.10		0.03						
Float No. 3		5		7.5			<u> </u>		0.10							
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						•				· ·
Filter combined co	mc	45			Pobb1	o Mill										
		4.5			TEDDT	e min			· · ·				-			
Clean conc		2			500-9	cell			0.05	0.02						
Reclean conc		1 .			250-g	cell								1	-	
		l w	Τ		<u> </u>	ANAL	YSIS (	2)		• <u>•</u> ••••		D	ISTRIE	BUTIO	N %	
PRODUCT		%	6	A	g oz/t	on	Cu%						Ag		Cu	
Reclean conc		2	.1	9	6.72		10.00						40.4		52.8	
Cleaner tail		11.	.7	-	8.07		0.50						18.8		14.8	
Reclean tail		1.	.5	3	5.43		2.41						10.5		9.0	
Rougher conc (calc	d)	15.	.3	2	2.92		1.99						59.7		76.6	
			-		1 00		0 11			· · .						
Rougher tail		84.	• /		1.80		0.11						50.5		23.4	
Feed (calcd)		100.	.0		5.03		0.40			-		1	0.0		100.0	
							•						1			
																· ·
									-							
												.				•
REMARKS: (1) F1	otatio	n tail	Ling 97	.2 pe	r cent	minus	400 me	sh.								
(2) Fr	om Int	ernal	Report	s MS-	AC-70-	996 and	70-10	04.							· ·	
1			÷ '								*	•				

- 16

### APPENDIX B - 1 -

#### MINERAL SCIENCES DIVISION

#### Mineralogy Section

### INTERNAL REPORT MS-70-47

### <u>TITLE</u>: Mineralogical Examination of a Mill Product obtained from beneficiation tests on a Copper Ore from Kennco Explorations (Canada) Limited.

۹°

SAMPLE: A sample of a mill product, labelled "Float-tailing, Test No. 4", was received from Mr. A. Wall of the Mineral Processing Division on April 4, 1970. The sample, all minus 325 mesh in size, was reported by Mr. Wall to contain 2.04 ounces of silver per ton, and 0.128 per cent copper.

<u>PURPOSE</u>: To determine the reason for the high silver and copper content of the mill product.

<u>RESULTS</u>: The mill product was found to consist of small lumps or aggregates of mineral grains. It was, therefore, sieved through a 200 mesh screen to break up these aggregates into individual grains so that polished sections could be prepared. While screening the mill product it was noticed that, while most of it passed through the screen, about one fourth remained as small hard balls (spherical agglomerates) which showed a distinct colour difference. These two fractions were then examined as separate samples. The minerals were identified by microscopical examination of polished sections and oil immersion mounts, and by X-ray diffractometer and electron microprobe analysis.

Both fractions consist almost entirely of the gangue minerals-quartz and mica. The spherical agglomerate is distinguished from the sieved fraction by its generally finer grain size, lower content of metallic minerals and the apparent absence of analcite (which was detected in the sieved sample).

The metallic minerals in both fractions are composed essentially of magnetite, with much smaller amounts of chalcopyrite, tennantite, covellite, digenite(?), hematite, sphalerite, goethite, pyrite and rutile. The copper content is due mainly to the presence of chalcopyrite; the silver-- to tennantite or tetrahedrite.

Both free and combined grains of the metallic minerals were observed, but the proportions of the two types could not be determined because of the extremely fine grain size - mainly less than 15 microns in diameter.

Tickel Signed: M.A. Owen Mineralogy Section Approved: Section Head

Dated: May 11, 1970.

COPIES TO: 1. Mr. A. Wall, Mineral Processing Division

- 2. D. Owens, Mineral Sciences Division
- 3. Divisional Files
- 4. Section Files
- 5. Reports Secretary Files