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## SUMMARY OF RESULTS

The East Malartic silicified porphyry ore had
a calculated average comparative work index of 21.3 kWh/short ton.

## INTRODUCTION

On August 8, 1966 Mr . J. W. Keyes, Mill Superintendent of East Malartic Mines Limited, Norrie, Quebec, asked the Mineral Processing Division of the Mines Branch to determine the grindability of a sample of silicified porphyry ore.

## Shipment

A 50 lb sample of silicified porphyry ore was received from the Extraction Metallurgy Division of the Mines Branch and the investigation was given the project number MP-OD-6619.

## DETAILS OF INVESTIGATION

A quantity of the East Malartic ore and a reference ore was crushed to -10 mesh and samples of each of these ores were handled according to the Mines Branch procedure ${ }^{(1)}$. The results whici were obtained are shown in Tables 1 and 2. These results were plotted on log-log paper (microns vs percent passing) and from these curves the $80 \%$ passing points for "F" and "P" in microns for the ball mill feeds and products respectively were recorded in Table 3.

Using this information in the equation developed by F. C. Bond(2)

$$
\text { Wi } \frac{10}{\sqrt{\mathrm{P}}}-\frac{10}{\sqrt{\mathrm{~F}}}=\mathrm{Wia} \frac{10}{\sqrt{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}}}}-\frac{10}{\sqrt{\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{a}}}} \text { where }
$$

subscript "a" refers to reference ore, a calculated comparative work index for the East Malartic ore was determined.

TABLE 1
Results of Screen and Infrasizer Tests on Reference Ore

| Particle <br> Size | Feed |  | 15 min |  | 25 min |  | 35 min |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% ret | \% Pass | \% ret | \% Pass | \% ret | \% Pass | \% ret | \% Pasa |
| +10 mesh | 0.5 | 99.5 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| +14 " | 21.6 | 77.9 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| +20 " | 18.0 | 59.9 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| +28 " | 12.3 | 47.6 | 0.3 | 99.7 | - | - | - | - |
| +35 ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 9.8 | 37.8 | 0.3 | 99.4 | - | - | - | - |
| +48 ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 6.7 | 31.1 | 1. 8 | 97.6 | - | - | - | - |
| +65 11 | 6.3 | 24.8 | 11.3 | 86.3 | 0.7 | 99.3 | 0.1 | 99.9 |
| +100 " | 5.2 | 19.6 | 17.8 | 68. 5 | 7.2 | 92.1 | 2.0 | 97.9 |
| +150 " | 3.5 | 16.1 | 12. 4 | 56.1 | 12.6 | 79. 5 | 7.8 | 90.1 |
| +200 " | 3.4 | 12.7 | 13.7. | 42.4 | 16.0 | 63.5 | 14.4 | 75. 7 |
| +325 " | 2.4 | 10.3 | 9.4 | 33.0 | - |  |  | \%. |
| -325" | 10.3 | - | 33.0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| +56microns | - | - | - | - | 4.3 | 59.2 | 6.1 | 69.6 |
| +40 " | - | - | - | - | 12.4 | 46.8 | 14.1 | 55.5 |
| +28 " | - | - | - | - | 8. 9 | 37.9 | 10.8 | 44. 7 |
| +20 " | - | - | - | $-$ | 7.7 | 30.2 | 9.3 | 35. 4 |
| +14 " | - | - | - | - | 6.0 | 24.2 | 7.0 | 28. 4 |
| +10 " | - | - | - | - | 4.6 | 19.6 | 5.5 | 22.9 |
| -10 ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | - | - | - | - | 19.6 | - | 22.9 | - |
| Total | 100.0 | - | 100.0 | - | 100.0 | - | 100.0 | - |

TABLE 2
Results of Screen and Infrasizer Tests on East Malartic Ore

| Particle Size | Feed |  | 15 min |  | 25 min |  | 35 min |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \%ret | \% Pass | \% ret | \% Pass | \% ret | \%Pass | \% ret | \% Pass |
| +10 mesh | 0.9 | 99.1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| +14 " | 22. 8 | 76.3 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| +20 " | 25.8 | 50.5 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| +28 " | 17.0 | 33.5 | 0.3 | 99.7 | - | - | - | - |
| +35 " | 10.2 | 23.3 | 1.2 | 98.5 | - | - | - | - |
| +48 " | 5.9 | 17. 4 | 5. 2 | 93.3 | 0.1 | 99.9 |  |  |
| +65 " | 4. 6 | 12.8 | 18.2 | 75.1 | 2.0 | 97.9 | 0.4 | 99.6 |
| +100 " | 3.4 | 9.4 | 17.7 | 57.4 | 12.6 | 85.3 | 3.9 | 95.7 |
| +150 " | 2. 2 | 7.2 | 12.1 | 45.3 | 13.5 | 71.8 | 10.0 | 85.7 |
| +200 " | 1. 8 | 5.4 | 11.4 | 33.9 | 16.5 | 55. 3 | 15.6 | 70. 1 |
| +325 " | 1.3 | 4.1 | 7.8 | 26.1 | - | - | - | - |
| -325 " | 4. 1 | - | 26.1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| +56 microns | - | - | - | - | 4. 0 | 51.3 | 6.9 | 63.2 |
| +40 " | - | - | - | - | 12.0 | 38. 3 | 13.7 | 49.5 |
| +28 " | - | - | - | - | 8.5 | 30.8 | 10.2 | 39.3 |
| +20 " | - | - | - | - | 6.9 | 23. 9 | 9.0 | 30.3 |
| +14 | - | - | - | - | 5. 8 | 18.1 | 7. 3 | 23.0 |
| +10 " | - | - | - | - | 4.6 | 13. 5 | 5.6 | 17.4 |
| -10 " | - | - | - | - | 13.5 | - | 17.4 | - |
| Total | 100.0 | - | 100.0 | - | 100.0 | - | 100.0 | - |

TABLE 3
$80 \%$ Passing Points of Feeds (F) and Products (P) and Calculated Work Indices

| Sample | Reference Ore <br> Microns | East Malartic Ore <br> Microns | Work Index <br> $\mathrm{kWh} /$ short ton |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Feed | 1225 | 1275 |  |
| 15 min grind | 191 | 230 | 20.2 |
| 25 | " | " | 110 |
| 35 | $" 1$ | 76 | 133 |

## CONCLUSIONS

When compared against a reference ore of known work index ( $19.5 \mathrm{kWh} /$ short ton) the East Malartic silicified porphyry ore had a calculated average work index of $21.3 \mathrm{kWh} /$ short ton.
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