## OTTAWA

## February 10th, 1942.

# REPORT

.

# of the

## ORE DRESSING AND METALLURGICAL LABORATORIES.

Investigation No. 1159.

Investigation of Disintegration of Manganese Steel Roll Shell.

ፍሎሽ፣ ብዙዬ ፈላላ፣ የሰቶስ አካላት ውሳሌ የቀላይ የምሳት የሳትም የኒያኑ ምርዳ በሪካ የሐዳና ደንባት ያላለና በተመታሪ ቢሆኑ የደረሱ ላይ አካባሯን የደረጉ እንዲተብርያት ናበ ዙ የዚህት የኮሳት ጊዜ እንዲተስታ የሰቶ



BUREAU OF MINES DIVISION OF METALLIC MINERALS ORE DRESSING AND • METALLURGICAL LABORATORIES

DEPARTMENT OF MINES AND RESOURCES MINES AND GEOLOGY BRANCH

OTTAWA Fe

February 10th, 1942.

REPORT

of the

ORE DRESSING AND METALLURCICAL LABORATORIES.

Investigation No. 1159.

Investigation of Disintegration of Manganese Steel Roll Shell.

------

## Origin of Material and Object of Investigation:

On January 31st, 1942, a piece of manganese steel from a roll shell casting that disintegrated completely on quenching was received from Mr. W. S. White, Superintendent, Sorel Steel Foundries, Sorel, Quebec. In his letter of January 29th, Mr. White requested a chemical analysis and also a reason for this unruly performance.

#### - Fage 2 -

#### Chemical Analysis:

The chemical analysis is given below, compared with the A.S.T.M. specification A-128-33:

\$4175\$\$\$0.554###################################	5 0	$\mathrm{Som}_{\mathrm{Som}}$	**************************************	A. S. T. M.
	n 9	Manganesc Steel,	5	Spec. A-128-33,
ANT IN BRIDDING AND DUILD DUILD DUILD	19 19	per cent	6 0	per cent
ALL HURSDARE CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY	9 0	a) da, a da mena zon en mandren en dan en dan en dan en dan en dan en da en dan da da en dan de de d An en da en da en dan en dan en dan en da en	1 10 10 10 10 10	all (a francé partil 122) ( (frants anna basada 12 mais 161) a 27 mais ann an ann an an ann a bh
Carbon	0 8	0,99		1.0 - 1.4
Manganesø		11,38		10.0 Min.
Silicon	•	0,85		575 5
Phosphorus	å	0.056		O.lo Max.
Sulphar	5 0	0,008		0.05 Max.
	и 0			

#### Physical Examination:

A Vickers hardness value of 210 (Brinell 209) was obtained.

# Micro-Examination:

Nothing unusual was found on microscopic examination. The steel was fully austanitic. No carbides were found. There was only a normal amount of oxide and sulphide inclusions. No peculiar phenomenon was found worth photographing.

# Discussion:

All examinations indicate that the furnace practice is good and the quenching temperature is in the correct range. The slightly higher hardness value (210, compared to a normal of 190) indicates, if anything, only a slight amount of cold work very likely caused by the disintegration.

It is possible that this casting contained microscopic cracks before it was quenched. These cracks could have been created either in the casting process or in the heating prior to quenching.

No information is given regarding design. If the casting possesses sharp changes in contour or section, internal stresses will be induced on solidification and it will also be susceptible to both heating and quenching stresses on heattreatment.

(Continued on next page)

- Fage 3 -

(Discussion, cont'd) -

The core may possess too high a hot strength, thereby.creating high internal stresses in the casting.

The casting may be heated too quickly or introduced into too hot a heat-treating furnace.

All these conditions are possible factors that might contribute to the formation of microscopic cracks at the grain boundaries. If the metal possessed many of these cracks before quenching it is quite possible that it could not withstand the shock of quenching and would therefore disintegrate.

#### Conclusions:

The sample submitted possessed the proper analysis and structure, indicating that melting practice was good and the quenching temperature correct. Recommendations:

The following conditions might be investigated as possible sources of trouble:

- 1. Design of casting.
- 2. Hot strength of core and moulding sand.
- 3. Gating system.
- Any other means of minimizing casting stresses such as external chills, knocking out core (if any), etc.
- 5. Rate and condition of heating to quenching temperature.

0000000000 00000 0

HVK:GHB.