



## CANADA

## DEPARTMENT OF MINES AND TECHNICAL SURVEYS

## MINES BRANCH MINERAL PROCESSING DIVISION

## INDUSTRIAL WATER RESOURCES OF CANADA

## Supplement to WATER SURVEY REPORT No. 12

## WATER QUALITY AT SOME CANADIAN MILITARY ESTABLISHMENTS, 1959-1962

BY J. F. J. THOMAS

ROGER DUHAMEL, F.R.S.C. QUEEN'S PRINTER AND CONTROLLER OF STATIONERY OTTAWA, 1963



Mines Branch Monograph 872



## CANADA DEPARTMENT OF MINES AND TECHNICAL SURVEYS

MINES BRANCH MINERAL PROCESSING DIVISION

## INDUSTRIAL WATER RESOURCES OF CANADA

## Supplement to WATER SURVEY REPORT No. 12

## WATER QUALITY AT SOME CANADIAN MILITARY ESTABLISHMENTS, 1959-1962

BY J. F. J. THOMAS

Mines Branch Monograph 872

ROGER DUHAMEL, F.R.S.C. QUEEN'S PRINTER AND CONTROLLER OF STATIONERY OTTAWA, 1963 Cat. No. M32-872 Available from the Queen's Printer Ottawa, Canada

#### CONTENTS

#### PAGE

Introduction	3
Survey Procedure	3
Analytical Procedure	3
Discussion	4
Summary	54
Appendix A – Index to Military Establishments	55
Appendix B – List of Published Water Survey Reports	56

#### TABLES

Ι	Water Source, Water Treatment and Sampling Periods at Some Military	Establishments	8
II	Chemical Analyses of Water Quality at Some Military Establishments		10

#### Industrial Water Resources of Canada

#### Chemical Quality of Water Supplies at Some Canadian Military Establishments, 1959 to 1962: Supplement to Water Survey Report No. 12

At the request of the Department of National Defence (Army) a survey of the chemical quality of waters supplied to a large number of army establishments in Canada was initiated in 1956. Water quality is very important to the efficient operation in peace and war of both small and large military camps. Besides meeting health standards, these supply waters must be suitable for a variety of domestic and industrial uses; in a number of establishments they must also meet special requirements for use in hospitals, laboratories and shops.

Waters of poor chemical and physical quality may seriously lower the efficiency of Camp personnel because of problems from taste, odor, discoloration and/or hardness. Serious stability problems (corrosion or scaling) can cause costly equipment failures and replacements resulting in inefficient operation, especially at small isolated stations or camps.

In the 1956-57 survey, reported in Water Survey Report No. 12,1 the chemical quality of waters supplied to 135 establishments was studied. Although some information on seasonal variations in water quality was obtained on most of these waters, further studies on seasonal and yearly variations were continued at the request of the Department of National Defence at 15 establishments over the period 1958 to 1961 inclusive; some data were also obtained at a few camps in 1962. The information obtained during 1958 at these 15 establishments was included as an addendum to Table II in Water Survey Report No. 12. This Supplement details the information obtained at the same 15 camps for the period 1959 to 1962.

As in the original survey (Water Survey Report No. 12), sampling was carried out once yearly at each establishment but during a different season each year, so that some information on seasonal changes in addition to yearly changes in quality was obtained. Special samples were also analysed from several camps in the investigation of problems of water stability, discoloration, taste and odor or to show the effect of new treatment facilities and techniques.

Table I lists the 15 establishments reported in this Supplement and tabulates general information on water sources, treatment, number of samples analysed and period of sampling.

Table II tabulates the chemical analyses of all the waters collected at army camps from 1959 to 1962 inclusive. As in previous reports of this series, information is included in this table on per cent sodium and saturation and stability indices, to assist in interpreting water quality, especially corrosive or incrustating tendencies.

The assistance of Army and civilian personnel at the various establishments, and particularly personnel of the Utilities Section, Directorate of Works, Army Headquarters, Ottawa, in facilitating the carrying out of this survey is gratefully acknowledged. Acknowledgment is also made to R.M. Gale, Scientific Officer, Industrial Waters Section for assistance in the preparation of this report. All analyses reported were carried out by the laboratory staff of the Industrial Waters Section under the direction of W.J. Traversy.

#### SURVEY PROCEDURE

The same program of 'quarterly' sampling begun in 1956 and outlined in Water Survey Report No. 12 was continued, i.e. each year water samples were obtained from all 15 establishments during one season of the year. The sampling dates were changed yearly so that waters were collected over the five-year period at difference seasons of the year. Table I shows the rotation of sample collection over the period 1957 to 1962. In some years samples were not received when requested, and this resulted in waters being collected at the same period each year.

As in previous surveys sample containers were shipped to each establishment prior to the sampling date with the request that representative samples of all untreated and treated waters used by the establishment be collected and forwarded to the Ottawa laboratory. Usually a 2-litre sample was obtained of each water; separate samples of all well waters (150 to 250 ml) were obtained for the determination of total iron and manganese. Samples were collected by camp personnel from pumps, taps, reservoirs or direct from lakes and rivers.

#### ANALYTICAL PROCEDURE

The analytical methods used in this study are essentially those employed in the previous survey (Water Survey Report No. 12); these methods are for the most part standard procedures for the analyses of waters published by the American Public Health Association<sup>2</sup> and by the American Society for Testing and Materials.<sup>3</sup>

Basic methods and the interpretation of analyses are discussed in some detail in Water Survey Report No. 1, published in 1953.4 New methods and techniques developed since 1953 have been adopted as standard procedures

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Reference Appendix B, page 56 <sup>2</sup> Standard Methods for the Examination of Water, Sewage and Industrial Wastes, 11th Edition, 1960. American Public Health Association, Inc.,

<sup>1790</sup> Broadway, New York 19, N.Y. <sup>3</sup> Manual on Industrial Water, A.S.T.M. Special Technical Publication No. 148D, 1959. American Society for Testing Materials, 1916 Race St.,

<sup>4</sup> Industrial Water Resources of Canada, Department of Mines and Technical Surveys, Ottawa. Water Survey Report No. 1: Scope, Procedure and Interpretation of Survey Studies, Mines Branch Report No. 833, 1953.

by the Industrial Waters Section and changes in many methods are continually under way in order to improve the accuracy, precision and speed of analytical work. More recent Water Survey Reports, particularly reports Nos. 10, 12 and 13,<sup>1</sup> discuss in some detail the newer methods used.

#### DISCUSSION

The water quality at each establishment covered in this report is discussed generally but no attempt is made to interpret in detail the data of this report. Graphical presentation of the data at each plant would greatly facilitate a study of changes in quality due to season, year or other factors. Because the data will be used for different purposes by different people it is not considered feasible to attempt to present all the data of this report in graphs that would be useful to all users.

#### Camp Gagetown, N.B.

The two wells at this large establishment have changed markedly in chemical quality since their development about 1955. Special studies carried out on these waters have been reported in several Mines Branch test and investigation reports. Some data from these reports are included in Water Survey Report No. 12 and in this Supplement.

Both wells increased in mineralization up to 1958, particularly in hardness and chloride content. Iron, and especially manganese contents, are high and have varied over the same period. Well No. 2 showed a lower increase in mineralization than did well No. 1 and special studies showed that by pumping well No. 1 continuously to waste, the total mineral content in well No. 2 could be lowered. However, the manganese and iron contents of well No. 2 still remained high.

This report shows little change in well No. 1 quality with season or year. Some increase in total mineralization, especially in chloride, sodium, manganese and hardness, is evident in well No. 2 in 1961. This increase persists, even when well No. 1 is being continually pumped to waste.

Continual use of these wells (well No. 2 to camp use and well No. 1 to waste) is not producing a water satisfactory for general camp use. There is some evidence that well water quality is still deteriorating.

Despite treatment the manganese content of the camp water is still higher than normally permitted and the supply does not meet municipal water quality standards in several ways.

#### McGivney, N.B.

Both well waters at McGivney showed little significant change in quality with season or year although, at times, some decrease in mineralization was found in March and April. Samples collected in August, 1961 showed possibly a slight increase in the iron content in both well waters and a very slight increase in mineralization (sodium bicarbonate) in the PMQ well water. Both waters are low in hardness and mineralization.

#### Camp Bouchard, Quebec

Well No. 1 showed little change until the 1962 spring sample was taken, when mineralization was higher than previously found. Well No. 2 showed a somewhat similar increase in 1960, mostly in sodium, chloride and sulphate content. Wells No. 1 and No. 2 and the mixed well waters showed a high ratio of magnesium to calcium. Well No. 4 showed little change over the period 1958 to 1961.

Wells No. 1 and No. 2 show relatively high contents of fluoride and phosphate; well No. 4 was low in both these constituents.

The mixed well supply after aeration showed insignificant change with season or year. More significant changes could occur owing to varying mixture of well waters. The mixed well water still showed relatively high contents of phosphate and fluoride.

#### Ste Foy, Quebec

The military establishment uses Ste Foy municipal well waters, which showed no significant change in quality since 1958, at which time an increase in total mineralization was noted. These waters were not considered to be of good quality, being very hard and having high sodium, calcium, sulphate, iron and manganese contents. Some indication was noted of seasonal variation, with high mineralization in January and lower mineralization in August, 1961.

#### Camp Valcartier, Quebec

Up to and including 1958, wells No. 1, No. 3 and No. 5 were in use and showed little change in quality; all three wells are of similar quality. Since then mixtures of wells No. 3, No. 27 and No. 28 have been used as the Camp supply. Well No. 3 showed marginal improvement in quality during this period; wells No. 27 and No. 28 showed little change.

The mixed well water supply is considered to be a good quality water, being low in mineralization and soft, although it is relatively high in silica content. Some indication of an increase in mineralization since 1958 was noted in 1961, but it is not significant so far as quality is concerned.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Reference Appendix B, page 56

#### Camp Borden, Ontario

Five wells are available and the mixed supply, therefore, varies with the number of wells in use and the admixture of waters. In 1956 and 1957 wells No. 1, No. 2 and No. 3 were in use; since 1957 wells No. 1, No. 4, No. 5 and possibly No. 2 have been used.

Well No. 1 shows some variability in quality, with a decrease in mineralization, especially hardness salts and sulfates, although some increase in iron and fluoride contents was indicated in 1960 and 1961. Mineralization was highest in mid winter (February).

Well No. 2 is a similar water to that of well No. 1; however, in August 1961 some decrease in calcium and hardness was noted in comparison with April 1957 samples but the iron content remained high.

Wells No. 4 and No. 5 showed some increase in iron content since 1958 and well No. 4 appeared to be influenced seasonally, with mineralization being somewhat lower in the winter.

The mixed well-water supply showed severe iron pick-up owing to corrosion of the distribution system.

#### Camp Hagersville, Ontario

Lake Erie, the main source of supply, showed, as expected, little significant change during the period of study although the final camp water supply did show corrosion of the system, with iron being dissolved by the water.

The well water was very hard, and highly mineralized, with some increase in mineralization noted in mid summer, 1961. These well waters are of very poor quality for camp use, having high iron, sulphate, hardness and total mineralization.

#### Camp Petawawa, Ontario

The main source, the Ottawa River, showed changes with the season but none were significant as to final quality. Mineralization was lowest in early summer (May, June) and highest in mid winter.

The spring water showed little seasonal change except in flow; some increase in hardness and mineralization has occurred since 1957.

The mixed supply likewise showed no changes in quality that could not be accounted for by variations in the mixture of river and spring water. Usually the amount of spring water used was small compared to river water use.

The quality of the final water supply varied markedly because of iron pick-up in the system.

#### Fort Churchill, Manitoba

The raw water, Lake Isabelle, varied widely in quality, often being highly mineralized from March to May and low in mineralization in mid-summer. These variations in mineralization changed with the season and other factors.

The quality of the finished or treated water also varied widely, owing to the variations in the raw water and to changes in treatment.

This water supply is not altogether satisfactory for camp use because of its variable quality. At times, poor quality raw water and insufficient treatment result in unsatisfactory water entering the system.

#### Camp Shilo, Manitoba

A number of wells are available so that variations in the final supply depend to a considerable extent on the relative usage and admixture of wells in the system; however, these wells are all of a similar general character.

Well No. 1, a hard, bicarbonate water, showed some decrease in mineralization in the summer, but iron and manganese contents remained high. Well No. 2 is of similar chemical quality to well No. 1.

Well No. 3, also similar in quality to well No. 1, showed little change in quality with season, except that, since 1958, mineralization was lower in the February-April period and fluoride, iron and manganese contents remained high. Phosphate has increased in this well.

Well, No. 4 is highly mineralized and showed little change effecting its end use, except that phosphate also increased. Iron and manganese were also high in 1961.

Well No. 5 (Disposal Plant well) also showed little change in quality over the years or during the year. It is low in iron and manganese and much softer than the above four wells, although it is still classed as a hard water.

The Golf Course well also showed little change in quality, except for increased phosphate in 1961. It too is high in iron and manganese.

The Rifle Range well is a very hard water showing little change over the survey period except a slight increase in mineralization since 1960. It has a variable iron content (high in the late winter) and a low manganese content.

It is significant that wells No. 1, No. 3 and No. 4 as well as the Golf Course well are high in iron and manganese, while well No. 5 and the Rifle Range well are normally low in manganese and iron.

The mixed well supply is fairly consistent in quality prior to treatment, with the iron content low, but the manganese content becomes high at times. All wells and the final supply are relatively high in silica.

The softened water is quite variable, apparently due to inconsistency in the operation of the ion exchange softeners.

#### Camp Dundurn, Saskatchewan

The North Well water is highly mineralized and very hard, but shows little change with time, except for some increase in phosphate and variable manganese content. Iron content is very high at all times and in consequence, the wells are turbid because of precipitated and colloidal iron oxides.

West Well water is also quite highly mineralized, although considerably lower than North Well water. It also showed high iron and manganese, especially in 1960 and 1961. Some increase in hardness and fluoride was evident in 1961.

Recent treatment (aeration and filtration) of the mixed well waters, shows that although considerable iron is precipitated, both dissolved iron and manganese still remain high after aeration only. Filtration and resultant retention further decreases the iron, turbidity and total mineralization, but the manganese remains high. After such treatment the water is still hard and varied in quality, especially in sodium and bicarbonate.

The final camp water (i.e. after aeration, filtration, and ion exchange softening) usually shows satisfactory iron removal, but the manganese content, is still too high at times. Quality variation in the final water is quite wide, due no doubt to variations in well mixture and ion exchange operation.

#### Camp Wainwright, Alberta

The Battle River water varies very widely in quality. This variation is clearly shown in data reported in Water Survey Report No. 7, - Saskatchewan River Drainage Basin<sup>1</sup> - published in 1956. This river water is very hard and highly mineralized with a high iron content in winter; a better quality water is obtained in late summer and early fall.

Betty Lake shows somewhat the same variations, except that it is usually lower in mineralization and hardness than the river water. Its quality, also, varies with the season and, of course, with the amount of river water added to this small lake. Attention is directed to the high magnesium-to-calcium ratio in this lake water, its low iron and manganese content and, at times, high phosphate content. The latter showed some increase in 1961. The lake water is always very hard but normally much lower in sulphate than the river water.

The final mixed water from these two sources is, because of softening treatment, much lower in mineralization and hardness. The magnesium-to-calcium ratio remains high, as does the phosphate content. The water varies somewhat in final quality depending again upon treatment and season.

#### Camp Chilliwack, British Columbia

Vedder River water, the principal supply, showed no changes over the year or season that are significant to its end use. Mineralization is normally higher in mid-winter (January).

The well water has very high iron and silica content and, since 1958, some manganese. The total hardness and mineralization increased somewhat in 1961 but, the water is still classed as a soft water.

#### Fort Nelson, British Columbia

This well provides a very poor quality water, being very high in iron and total mineralization, especially hardness salts. Manganese is also high and apparently varies with sampling; the fluoride content appears to have increased in 1961. Variations in the well water are noted, mineralization increasing in the winter.

After treatment the water still varies in quality, at times having high contents of iron, and suspended matter and it is still not considered a satisfactory municipal supply. Seasonal variations, as well as treatment and plant operation, cause the variations noted in the final water.

The Muskwa River shows some variation especially in turbidity, the poorest quality water occurring during the fall and early winter. An Investigation Report (IR 60-41), A Survey of Water Quality at Camp Muskwa, Fort Nelson, B.C. shows a year's variation in this river at Fort Nelson.

#### Camp Takhini, Whitehorse, Y.T.

McIntyre Creek showed little significant change in quality with year or season, although mineralization was lower in mid winter (February). The water is classed as a medium-hard to hard water, relatively hard in silica, but considered to be a satisfactory supply for municipal use.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Reference Appendix B, page 56

,

### TABLE I

## Water Source, Water Treatment and Sampling Periods at Some Military Establishments

Military Establishment	Source of Water Supply	Water Treatment		
NEW BRUNSWICK Camp Gagetown	Two deep wells Oromocto River St. John River	Polyphosphate addition None - not used in camp None - not used in camp		
McGivney	Two deep wells	None		
QUEBEC				
Camp Bouchard	Three deep wells	Aeration for H <sub>2</sub> S removal and chlorination		
Ste. Foy	Municipal wells	None		
Camp Valcartier	Three deep wells	None		
ONTARIO Camp Borden	Four deep wells	Chlorination		
Camp Hagersville, near Hagersville	Two deep wells Lake Erie	None Chlorination		
Camp Petawawa	Springs and Ottawa River	Chlorination		
MANITOBA Fort Churchill	Lake Isabelle	Coagulation (lime, alum, soda ash, activated silica); pH adjustment ( $H_2SO_4$ ); activated carbon addition, pressure filtration and chlorination.		
Camp Shilo	Seven deep wells	Ion exchange softening		
SASKATCHEWAN Camp Dundurn, near Dundurn	Two deep wells	None up to and including 1959; since 1960, aeration and filtration for iron removal, ion exchange softening and chlorination.		
ALBERTA Camp Wainwright, near Wainwright	Battle River and Betty Lake	Coagulation (alum), softening (lime), activated carbon addition, gravity filtration and chlorination.		
BRITISH COLUMBIA				
Camp Chilliwack, near Chilliwack	Well Vedder River	Chlorination Chlorination		
Fort Nelson	Deep well Muskwa River	Heating and aeration for iron removal, lime-soda ash softening alum and activated carbon addition and chlorination. None - not used in Establishment		
YUKON TERRITORY				
Camp Takini, near Whitehorse	McIntyre Creek	Chlorination		
15 Establishments				

\* Reported in Water Survey Report No. 12

### TABLE I

#### Water Source, Water Treatment and Sampling Periods at Some Military Establishments

	•	Samplin	ng Periods	<b>}</b>		Number of Samples Reported - 1959 to 1962
Spring, 1957*	Summer, 1958*	Autumn, 1959	Winter, 1960	Summer, 1961	Spring, 1962	inclusive
February June —	June: October 	October 	February: April June June	June: August 		22 1 1
April	July	October	March	August	<u> </u>	6
April	July	October	February	August	April	11
April	May	October	January	August	_	6
April	June	October	February	August	_	12
April	July	October	Feb.: Oct.	Aug. : Nov.	_	14
April	June June	October October	February February	August August	March: April	36
May	May: September	October	February	Aug.: Oct.	Jan.: May	23
March: Nov.	Мау	October	February	May: June Sept.: Nov.	Jan. to May, inclusive	26
April	July	October	February	August	-	19
April	June	October	Monthly from Jan. to Sept., inclusive	August	-	27
Feb.: May	July	October	February	August	_	8
March: April	July	October	January	August	_	6
April: June	July: Oct.	Oct.: Nov.	Jan.: Feb.	Oct.: Nov.	-	14
_	_	November	January	Oct.: Nov.		4
Feb.: May	June	October	February 72	October 83	- 13	5
	1	40	14			1

### TABLE II

## CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF ARMY WATER SUPPLIES

PROVINCE

-----

(In parts per million) NEW BRUNSWICK

DICONDRICAL

	Camp or establishment		CAMP GA	AGETOWN		
No.	Source(s)		Deep	wells		
			Well	No. 1*		
			Raw	water		
	Sampling point	At pump				
1 2	Date of sampling Storage period (days)	Oct. 19/59 25:36	Feb. 8/60 37:93	April 28/60 11	Aug. 22/61 6:7	
3 4 5	Sampling temperature, ${}^{\circ}C$ . Test temperature, ${}^{\circ}C$ .	24.4	26.7		10.6 23.1	
6	Carbon dioxide(CO <sub>2</sub> ), (calculated)	2 8.1	3.5 7.9		2	
, 8 9	Colour Turbidity	5	0		0	
10 11	Suspended matter, dried at 105°C Suspended matter, ignited at 550°C.	•••••				
12 13	Residue on evaporation, dried at 105° C Ignition loss at 550° C	1,151 132	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1,236 180	
14 15 16	Calcium (Ca)	120 10.6	113		2,128	
17 18	Iron (Fe) Total Dissolved	0.06 Trace	0.00	0.01 Trace	0.03	
19 20	Manganese (Mn) Total Dissolved	1.2 0.20	1.2 0.03	1.0	1.2	
21 22 23	Copper (Cu)	0.03	0.07 0.13	•••••	0.07	
24 25	Sodium (Na) Potassium (K)	272 1.4	255		296	
26 27	Ammonium (NH <sub>4</sub> ) Carbonate (CO <sub>3</sub> )	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0		0.0	
28 29	Bicarbonate (HCO <sub>3</sub> ) Sulphate (SO <sub>4</sub> )	182 31.9	172 27.8		217 29.1	
50 31 32	Fluoride (F) Phosphate (PO <sub>4</sub> ) Total	0.3 0.1	0.2	524	546 0.66	
33 34	Dissolved	0.4	0.1		0.34	
35 36	Silica (SiO <sub>2</sub> ), colorimetric Carbonate hardness as CaCO <sub>3</sub>	9.1 149	6.5 142		7.8 178	
37 38 30	Non-carbonate hardness as CaCO <sub>3</sub> Total hardness as CaCO <sub>3</sub>	193 342	172 314	329	161 339	
40 41	Per cent sodium	63 +0.8	64 +0.6	••••••	1,117 65	
42 43	Stability index at test temperature Redox potential (my)	6.5	6,7	••••••	6.1	
44 45	Hydrogen sulphide (H <sub>2</sub> S) Water level at sampling (ft)	80	112	118	88	
	Remarks		* Well not used in system	<u> </u>	l	

#### CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF ARMY WATER SUPPLIES

(In parts per million)

NEW BRUNSWICK

Oct. 19/59 F 25:36 10.6 24.3  2 8.2 5 0  626 	Seb. 8/60 53:87 25.8 5 7.8 0	Well No. 2 Raw wate At pump Apt. 28/60 11	2 r June 22 /61 7:11 11.7	Aug. 14/61 17:23	Aug. 22/61
Oct. 19/59 25:36 10.6 24.3 2 8.2 5 0  626 16.4	Seb. 8/60 53:87 25.8 7.8 0	Raw wate: At pump Apr. 28/60 11	r June 22/61 7:11 11.7	Aug. 14/61 17:23	Aug. 22/61
Oct, 19/59 25:36 10.6 24.3 2 8.2 5 0  626 16.4 	Seb. 8/60 53:87 25.8 7.8 0	At pump Apr. 28/60 11	June 22/61 7:11 11,7	Aug. 14/61 17:23	Aug. 22/61
Oct. 19/59 25:36 10.6 24.3 2 8.2 5 0  626 16.4 	Seb. 8/60 53:87 25.8 5 7.8 0	Apr. 28/60 11	June 22 /61 7:11 11.7	Aug. 14/61 17:23	Aug. 22/61
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	25.8 5 7.8 0		11.7		6:7
2 8.2 5 0  626 	5 7.8 0		23.3	23.4	10.6 23.1
5 0  626 	0		3 8.2	5 7.9	2 8.3
626 16 4	0		0 0	10 0	0
626				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
1,152 1	,179		1,186	1,240	1,343
65.2 6.4 0.03	65.7 6.3 0.00	0.01	61.7 8.8 0.01	64.6 7.3 0.06	72.7 7.2 0.03
Trace 0.60	0.00 0.10	Trace 0.60	0.01 0.60	0.73	0.02 0.78
0.07 0.05 0.0	Trace Trace 0.0			0.04	0.78 0.08 Trace
0.0 160	0.0 165		174	0.0 174	0.0 188
0.0	0.0 0.0		0.05	0.0	1.2 0.0
203 30.1	220 26.3		240 26.6	239 25.5	235 25.0
0.5 0.13	246 0.6 0.09	238	232	0.63 2.2	284 0.74 1.3
0.4	0.0		0.7	1.2	0.2
9.6 166 22.7	6.8 180.5 9.5	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	. 7.5 . 190 0.0	7,1 191 0,0	8.0 193 18.4
189 618	190 626	203	190 650	191 651	211 704
65 +0.7	65 +0.4 70	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	66 +0.8	66 +0.5	66 +0.9
0,0	/.U		0.0	0.0	•••
80	112	118	106		88

#### CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF ARMY WATER SUPPLIES

(In parts per million)

PROVINCE

7

#### NEW BRUNSWICK - (cont'd)

	Camp or establishment		CAMP GAG	GETOWN (cont'd)	
No.	Source(s)	Oromocto River	St. John River	Dee	ep wells*
		Raw	/ water	Fini	shed water
	Sampling point	Near emergency intake	Near proposed intake	At cold tap at end of system	At cold tap at Brad Townsite
$\begin{array}{c}1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\7\\18\\19\\20\\22\\23\\24\\5\\26\\29\\20\\31\\32\\26\\37\\38\\35\\36\\37\\38\\39\\40\\1\end{array}$	Date of sampling Storage period (days) Sampling temperature, <sup>0</sup> C. Test temperature, <sup>0</sup> C. Carbon dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> ),(calculated) pH Colour Turbidity Suspended matter, dried at 105 <sup>0</sup> C. Suspended matter, ignited at 550 <sup>0</sup> C. Residue on evaporation, dried at 105 <sup>0</sup> C. Ignition loss at 550 <sup>0</sup> C. Calcium (Ca) Magnesium (Mg) Iron (Fe) Total Dissolved Manganese (Mn) Total Dissolved Aluminum (Al) Copper (Cu) Zinc (Zn) Sodium (Na) Potassium (Kg) Bicarbonate (HCO <sub>3</sub> ) Sulphate (SO <sub>4</sub> ) Chloride (Cl) Fluoride (F) Phosphate (PO <sub>4</sub> ) Total Dissolved. Nitrate (NO <sub>3</sub> ) Silica (SiO <sub>2</sub> ), colorimetric Carbonate hardness as CaCO <sub>3</sub> Non-carbonate hardness as CaCO <sub>3</sub> Sum of constituents. Per cent sodium	June 1/60 12 23.4 	June 1/60 12 23.3 7.4 50 0.4 116 0.05 Trace 	Oct. 19/59 25:36 15.0 24.3 3.4 1.5 8.3 5 0 	Feb. 8/60 37:93 24.4 2.5 8.1 0 0  1,1.36 51.5 10.7 0.04 0.00 0.30 0.02 0.07 Trace 0.02 160 1.1 0.1 0.1 6.8 163 9.6 173 593 67 40 5
42 43 44 45	Stability index at test temperature Redox potential (mv) Hydrogen sulphide (H <sub>2</sub> S) Water level at sampling (ft)			6.7	7.1

Remarks

\* Well No. 2 or mostly well No. 2

#### CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF ARMY WATER SUPPLIES

(In parts per million)

NEW BRUNSWICK - (cont'd)

		CAMP GAG	GETOWN (cont'd)			
		Dee	p wells*			Na
		Finishe	ed water			
At cold tap at end of system	At Anglican cold water tap	Church Hall hot water tap	At hot tap near end of system	At high cold water tap	school hot water tap	
Apr. 28/60 11	June 22/61 6	June 22/61 6	Aug. 14/61 17:23	Aug. 14/61 6	Aug. 14/61 6	1 2
••••••			23.3		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3 4 5
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			8.1 0 0	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6 7 8 9
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			10 11 12
			1,723 93.9 8.7			14 15 16
0.60	0.60	0.14	0.87	0.45 1,1	0.12	17 18 19
			0.34 0.05 0.0 0.0	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	20 21 22 23
••••••			238 1.2 0.1	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		24 25 26
255			0.0 221 25.5 415	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	27 28 29 30
			0.63 2.9			31 32 33
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.5 7.4 181 88 7	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	34 35 36
199			270 900 65			38 39 40
•••••			+0.8 6.5			41 42 43
118		0.9		0.0	0.0	44
* Well No. 2 or most	ly well No. 2		Sampled after continuous use	Sampled after intermittent use	Sampled after infrequent use	
						ļ

#### CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF ARMY WATER SUPPLIES

PROVINCE

(In parts per million)

NEW BRUNSWICK (cont'd)

	Camp or establishment		CAMP G	AGETOWN (cont'd)	
No.	Source(s)		Deep	wells*	
			Finishe	ed water	
	Sampling point	At PMQ pum cold water tap	ping station hot water tap	At Anglican cold water tap	n Church Hall hot water tap
1 2 3	Date of sampling Storage period (days) Sampling temperature, <sup>o</sup> C.	Aug. 14/61 6	Aug. 14/61 6	Aug. 14/61 6	Aug. 14/61 6
4 5 6	Test temperature, <sup>o</sup> C Oxygen consumed by KMnO <sub>4</sub> Carbon dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> ), (calculated)				
7 8 9	pH Colour Turbidity Supponded matter deied at 105°C	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
10 11 12 13	Suspended matter, gnited at 105 C Residue on evaporation, dried at 105°C Ignition loss at 550°C				
14 15 16	Specific conductance, micromhos a' 25°C Calcium (Ca) Magnesium (Mg)				
17 18 19 20	Iron (Fe) Total Dissolved Manganese (Mn) Total Dissolved	0.04	0.97	0.70	
21 22 23	Aluminum (Al) Copper (Cu) Zinc (Zn)				
24 25 26	Sodium (Na) Potassium (K) Ammonium (NH <sub>4</sub> )		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
27 28 29 30	Bicarbonate (HCO <sub>3</sub> ) Bicarbonate (HCO <sub>3</sub> ) Sulphate (SO <sub>4</sub> ) Chloride (Cl)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
31 32 33	Fluoride (F) Phosphate (PO4) Total Dissolved			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
34 35 36	Nitrate (NO <sub>3</sub> )	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
38 39 40	Total hardness as CaCO <sub>3</sub> Sum of constituents Per cent sodium			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
41 42 43	Saturation index at test temperature Stability index at test temperature Redox potential (mv)				
44 45 	Water level at sampling (ft)		0.0		0.0
	Remarks	Sampled after continuous use	Sampled after heavy and con- tinuous use		

### CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF ARMY WATER SUPPLIES

(In parts per million)

NEW BRUNSWICK (cont'd)

CAMP GAGETOWN			Mc GIVNEY.			
Deep wells*			Deep wells			
		Administration Area we	-11	PMQ Ar	ea well	- No
Finished water		F	aw and finished water			1
At cold tap, Bldg.B-18			At pumps			]
Aug. 22/61 6:7	Oct. 22/59 39:81	Mar. 14/60 29:63	Aug. 29/61 20:28	Oct. 22/59 39:81	Mar. 14/60 29:63	1 2
20.6 23.0	22.9	4.4 25.3	5.6 22.1	22.9	4.4 25.4	3
2 8.3	5.0 3.5 7.3	3.5 7.2	0.1 8 6.9	5.3 3 7 5	3	. 5
0 0	0 4	0	0	0	0	89
						10
876 56.0 1 569			101 29.6	120	100 -	12
85.2 8.4	16.9.	13.5 0.9	15.0	128	16.7	14
0.12 0.05	0.76 0.11	0.04 0.02	0.28	0.06 0.00	0.08	17
0.95 0.95 0.05	0.00	<0.05 0.01	0.00	0.00	≪0.05 0.02	19 20
0.05	0.0	0.03 Trace 0.02	0.0	0.03	0.03 Trace 0.0	21 22 23
220 1.3	2.5 0.3	2.2 0.4	2.5 0.4	3.6 0.5	2.3 0.4	24 25
0.0 229		0.0 36 9	0.0	0.0	0.0	26
25.5 357	10.3	8.1	9.9	10.2	8.4 1.6	28
0.66 1.9	0.0 0.1	0.05 0.0	0.04 <0.1	0.0' 0.02	0.1 Trace	31 32
0.6	1.6 9.7	0.1 8.3	2.3	0.0	0.1	33
188 59•3	34.0 16.0	30.2 7.3	31.7 12.6	50.2 6.1	42.5 4.7	36 37
247 821 66	50.0 70.7	37.5 54.6	44.3 65.1	56.3 81.1	47.2 65.8	38 39
+1.0 6.3	-1.3 9.9	-1.6 10	-1.9 11	-1.0 9.5	-1.1 9.6	40 41 42
••••••			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			43 44
	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Normal		50	45
* Well No. 2 or mostly well No. 2						
-						

#### CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF ARMY WATER SUPPLIES

(In parts per million)

	PROVINCE	N.B. (concl'd)		QUEBEC	
	Camp or establishment	McGIVNEY (concl'd)	САМР В	OUCHARD, near STE.	THERESE
No	Source(s)	Deep wells		Deep wells	
		PMQ Area well		Well No. 1	
		Raw and finished water		Raw water	
	Sampling point	At pumps		At pumps	
$\begin{array}{c}1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\\25\\26\\27\\28\\29\\30\\1\\32\\33\\34\\4\\35\\6\\37\\7\\88\\99\\0\end{array}$	Date of sampling Storage period (days) Sampling temperature, °C. Test temperature, °C. Carbon dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> ),(calculated) pH Carbon dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> ),(calculated) pH Colour Turbidity Suspended matter, dried at 105°C. Suspended matter, ignited at 550°C. Suspended matter, ignited at 550°C. Specific conductance, micromhos ± 25°C. Calcium (Ca) Magnesium (Mg) Iron (Fe) Total Dissolved Aluminum (Al) Copper (Cu) Sodium (Na) Potassium (K) Ammonium (KI <sub>4</sub> ) Carbonate (CO <sub>3</sub> ) Bicarbonate (HCO <sub>3</sub> ) Sulphate (SO <sub>4</sub> ) Chloride (CI) Fluoride (CI) Pho sphate (PO <sub>4</sub> ) Total Dissolved Non-carbonate hardness as CaCO <sub>3</sub> Sum of constituents Per cent sodium	Aug. $29/61$ 20:28 5.6 22.2 0.0 14 6.9 0 0 111 20.0 139 19.2 1.6 0.31 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.02 0.0 0.0 6.1 0.0 0.0 6.1 0.4 0.0 0.0 6.1 0.4 0.0 0.0 6.1 0.4 0.0 0.0 6.1 0.1 0.0 6.1 0.1 0.0 6.1 0.1 0.0 5.3 0.1 5.3 1.5 54.5 82.9 19	Oct. 8/59 13:35 7.2 25.3 2.5 8.3 20 0 	Feb. 10/60 61:96 7.2 25.9 3.5 8.2 25 1 	Aug. 22/61 10:15 7.8 23.0 8.4 2 8.4 25 0 
40 41 42	Saturation index at test temperature Stability index at test temperature	-1.5 9.9	+0.1 8.1	0.0 8.2	0.0 8.4
43 44	Redox potential (mv) Hydrogen sul phide (H <sub>2</sub> S)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	20	20	
45	water level at sampling (It)	Normal	27	27	

Remarks

#### CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF ARMY WATER SUPPLIES

(In parts per million)

QUEBEC

÷....

		Dee	p wells		
Vell No. 1	Well No. 2		Well No. 4	Mixed	wells
aw water		Raw	water	Finish	ed water
At pumps	At 1	oump	At pump	At tap, Bldg. No. 29	At tap, Bldg. No. 39
pril 11/62 7:13 24.4	Oct. 8/59 13:35 7.2 25.2	Feb. 10/60 61:96 7.2 25.6	Feb. 10/60 61:96 7.2 25.4	Oct. 8/59 13:35 	Oct. 7/59 14:36 7.8 25.2
4 8.2 25 0	3.5 8.2 35 1	2 8.5 40 1	3 8.1 5 0.4	3.5 8.2 30 0	3 8.2 30 0
00 4.2 11.7 0.24 0.01 0.01 Trace 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5	492 48.8 845 8.0 10.3 0.04 Trace 0.0 0.0 Trace 0.0 168 11.0 0.3 0.0 385 16.1 75.2 1.2 2.6 	941 7.5 10.2 0.12 0.06 0.05 0.02 0.0 Trace 0.05 190 10.9 0.2 7.6 369 21.0 98.2 0.5 2.3	410.5 61.7 9.3 0.21 0.00 0.05 0.00 0.03 Trace 0.0 11.0 2.6 0.1 0.0 241 19.1 1.8 0.05 0.2 	402 42.0 726 7.2 9.4 0.05 0.00  0.00 0.02 Trace 0.05 142 10.2 0.1 0.0 357 11.6 52.3 1.2 2.5 1.0	350 45.2 721 7.6 9.3 0.14 0.00 0.05 Trace 0.0 144 10.2 0.1 0.1 0.0 354.5 11.9 52.9 1.2 2.5  0.8
8.9 58.7 0.0 58.7 03 81 -0.2 8.6	10 62.3 0.0 62.3 489 83 +0.1 8.0	8.4 60.6 0.0 60.6 547.5 85 +0.4 7.7	14.5 192 0.0 192 239 11 +0.7 6.7	10 56.6 0.0 56.6 421 82 +0.1 8.0	11 57.2 0.0 57.2 423 82 +0.1 8.0
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	48				

#### CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF ARMY WATER SUPPLIES

PROVINCE

(In parts per million)

## QUEBEC (cont<sup>\*</sup>d)

	Camp or establishment	CAMP BOUCHARD, near STE, THERESE (concl'd)		PMQ AREA, STE FOY		
No.	Source(s)	Deep	wells	Ste Foy Municipal	Supply - wells	
		Mixed	wells			
		Finish	ed water	Finish	ed water	
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	Sampling point	At tap, ]	Bldg No. 39	At tap, 2543	Pierre Martin St.	
1	Date of sampling	Feb. 10/60	Aug. 22/61	Oct. 8/59	Jan. 19/60	
2	Storage period (days)	61:96	10:15	13:24	57:107	
3	Sampling temperature, <sup>0</sup> C	8.9	8.3			
4	Test temperature, <sup>0</sup> C	25,3	23.2	25 <b>.2</b>	27.2	
5	Oxygen consumed by KMnO <sub>4</sub>		8.3	3.7		
6	Carbon dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> ), (calculated)	3.5	3	4	2	
7	pH	8,2	8,3	7.9	8.2	
8	Colour	30	25	5	0	
9	Turbidity	0.4	0	4	2	
10	Suspended matter, dried at 105°C			1.8		
11	Suspended matter, ignited at 550°C			0.4		
12	Residue on evaporation, dried at 105°C,		443	561		
13	Ignition loss at 550°C.		48.0	96.8		
14	Specific conductance, micromhos at 25°C.	719.5	688	893.5	1,004	
15	Calcium (Ca)	7.2	4.8	84.8	85.5	
16	Magnesium (Mg)	9.2	10.3	16.4	14.7	
17	Iron (Fe) Total	0.11	0.17	0.79	0.17	
18	Dissolved	0.02	0.05	0.09	0.00	
19	Manganese (Mn) Total	<0.05	0.00	0.64	0.40	
20	Dissolved	0.00	0.00		0.38	
21	Aluminum (Al)	0.0	0.04	0.09	0.08	
22	Copper (Cu)	Trace	Trace	Trace	0.2	
23	Zinc (Zn)	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.05	
24	Sodium (Na)	136	137	64.0	88.0	
25	Potassium (K)	9.7	9.1	1.4	1.3	
26	Ammonium (NH <sub>4</sub> )	0.2				
27	Carbonate (CO <sub>3</sub> )	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
28	Bicarbonate (HCO3)	352	348	178	186	
29	Sulphate (SO <sub>4</sub> )	10.0	10.8	34.4	37.5	
30	Chloride (Cl)	49.1	45.6	174	205	
31	Fluoride (F)	1.0	1.4	0.0	0.0	
32	Phosphate (PO4) Total	2.4	2.5	0.06	Trace	
33	Dissolved		2,2			
34	Nitrate (NO3)	0.4	2.2	0.9	0.2	
35	Silica (SiO <sub>2</sub> ), colorimetric	8,9	11	10	9.0	
36	Carbonate hardness as CaCO <sub>3</sub>	55.7	54.3	146	152	
37	Non-carbonate hardness as CaCO <sub>3</sub>	0.0	0.0	133	122	
38	Total hardness as CaCO3	55.7	54.3	279	274	
39	Sum of constituents	407	406	474	533	
40	Per cent sodium	81	82	33	41	
41	Saturation index at test temperature	0.0	-0.1	+0.5	+0.8	
42	Stability index at test temperature	8.2	8.5	6.9	6.6	
43	Redox potential (mv)			0.2	0.0	
44	Hydrogen sulphide (H <sub>2</sub> S)					
45	Water level at sampling (ft)					
			[		*****	

Remarks

## CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF ARMY WATER SUPPLIES

(In parts per million)

QUEBEC (cont'd)

	PMQ ARE	CAMP VALCART	CAMP VALCARTIER, near QUEBEC			
	Ste Foy Munici	pal Supply - wells		Deep v	vells	No.
				Well	No. 3	
	Finish	ed water		Raw and finished water		
At tap, 2543 Pierre Martin St.	At ta	ap, 274 Jean Lebarge St.		At pu	mp	
Aug. 23/61 9:14	Oct. 8/59 13:24	Jan. 19/60 57:107	Aug. 23/61 9:14	Oct. 22/59 27:33	Feb. 10/60 55:90 7.8	1 2 3
$\begin{array}{c} 23.4 \\ 5.0 \\ 2.5 \\ 8.1 \\ 5 \\ 4 \\ 3.7 \\ 0.0 \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ 895 \\ 73.3 \\ 14.9 \\ 2.2 \\ 0.25 \\ 0.44 \\ 0.38 \\ 0.1 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 80.1 \\ 1.8 \\ \hline \\ 0.0 \\ 80.1 \\ 1.8 \\ \hline \\ 0.0 \\ 80.1 \\ 1.8 \\ \hline \\ 0.0 \\ 161 \\ 0.14 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 2.3 \\ 9.0 \\ 155 \\ 89.2 \\ 244 \\ 476 \\ 41 \\ 40.7 \\ 6.7 \\ \hline \\ $	25.3 3.9 5 7.8 5 2 	27.2 3.5 7.9 0 2 973 82.5 15.1 0.24 0.00 0.40 0.11 0.11 0.11 0.11 0.10 0.01 83.0 1.2 0.0 177 37.3 192 0.0 Trace 0.0 8.7 145 123 268 508 40 +0.5 6.9	$\begin{array}{c} 23.4 \\ 5.4 \\ 3 \\ 8.1 \\ 5 \\ 1.5 \\ \end{array}$	9.2 23.0 3 7.0 10 2 50.8 9.2 59.9 5.5 1.8 0.39 0.04  0.01 0.03 Trace 0.05 1.8 0.4 0.0 0.0 1.8 0.4 0.0 0.0 1.8 0.4 0.0 0.0 1.8 0.4 0.0 0.0 1.8 0.4 0.0 0.0 1.8 0.4 0.0 0.0 1.8 0.4 0.0 0.0 1.8 0.4 0.0 0.0 1.8 0.4 0.0 0.0 1.8 0.4 0.0 0.0 1.8 0.4 0.0 1.8 0.4 0.0 1.8 0.4 0.0 1.8 0.4 0.0 1.8 0.4 0.0 1.8 0.4 0.0 1.8 0.4 0.0 1.8 0.4 0.0 1.8 0.4 0.0 1.8 0.4 0.0 1.8 0.4 0.0 1.8 0.4 0.0 1.8 0.4 0.0 1.8 0.4 0.0 1.8 0.0 0.0 1.8 0.4 0.0 1.8 0.4 0.0 0.0 1.8 0.4 0.0 1.8 0.4 0.0 1.8 0.4 0.0 0.0 1.8 0.4 0.0 0.0 1.8 0.4 0.0 0.0 1.8 0.4 0.0 0.0 1.8 0.4 0.0 0.0 1.8 0.4 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	7.8 24.3 7 6.4 0 0 32.5 2.7 0.7 Trace 0.00 <0.05 0.01 0.0 Trace 0.15 1.3 0.6 0.0 0.0 11.3 2.8 0.7 0.1 0.1 0.0 1.3 2.8 0.7 0.1 0.1 0.0 1.3 2.8 0.7 0.1 0.1 0.0 0.0 1.3 2.8 0.7 0.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 223 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 8 9 40 41 42 44 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45

#### CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF ARMY WATER SUPPLIES

(In parts per million)

PROVINCE

=

#### QUEBEC (cont'd)

	Camp or establishment		CAMP VALCARTI	ER, near QUEBEC (co	nt'd)
No.	Source(s)	Deep wells		Wells	
		Well No. 3		Well No. 27	
			Raw and fin	ished water	
	Sampling point		At pum	1p	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 4 25 26 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 23 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 10 11 12 22 22 24 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22	Date of sampling Storage period (days)	Aug. 22/61 6:7 8.9 22.9 	Oct. 22/59 27:33 9.6 23.0 4 6.7 0 0 0 	Feb. 10/60 55:90 7.8 24.2 5 6.6 0 0 0  55.3 5.5 1.4 0.00 0.00 <0.05 0.02 Trace Trace 0.0 1.5 0.6 0.0 1.5 0.6 0.0 1.3 8	Aug. 22/61 6:7 8.9 22.8 6 6 6 0 0 48.0 20.0 61.7 6.0 1.7 0.01 Trace 0.00 0.02 0.01 0.0 1.9 0.7  0.0 1.4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
28 29 30 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 90 41 42 43 44 5	Sulphate (SO <sub>4</sub> ) Chloride (Cl) Fluoride (Cl) Phosphate (PO <sub>4</sub> ) Total Dissolved Nitrate (NO <sub>3</sub> ) Silica (SiO <sub>2</sub> ), colorimetric Carbonate hardness as CaCO <sub>3</sub> Non-carbonate hardness as CaCO <sub>3</sub> Total hardness as CaCO <sub>3</sub> Sum of constituents Per cent sodium Saturation index at test temperature Stability index at test temperature Redox potential (mv) Hydrogen sulphide (H <sub>2</sub> S) Water level at compliant (ft)	$ \begin{array}{c} 10.2 \\ 3.1 \\ 0.6 \\ 0.02 \\ \lt 0.1 \\ 1.1 \\ 13.5 \\ 8.4 \\ 0.1 \\ 8.5 \\ 28.1 \\ 23 \\ -3.4 \\ 13.5 \\ \end{array} $	12.4 5.6 4.2 0.0 0.01 3.0 13 10.2 9.1 19.3 41.3 14 -2.9 12.5	13.8 3.9 4.0 0.1 0.0 1.0 13 11.3 8.1 19.4 38.0 14 -3.0 13	$ \begin{array}{c} 14.9\\ 4.3\\ 4.2\\ 0.02\\ 0.19\\ 0.18\\ 4.8\\ 14\\ 12.2\\ 9.9\\ 22.1\\ 45.3\\ 15\\ -2.9\\ 12\\ \end{array} $
45	water level at sampling (ft)	42 below floor level		27	36

Remarks

#### CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF ARMY WATER SUPPLIES

(In parts per million)

QUEBEC (concl'd)

		Wel	ls			
	Well No. 28		Mixed wells			
Raw	and finished water		1	Finished water		
	At pump		At tag	58	At tap, Bldg. No. 523	
Oct. 22/59 27:33 9.4 22.9	Feb. 10/60 55:90 7.8 24.0	Aug. 22/61 6:7 8.9 22.9	Oct. 22/59 27:33 7.8 23.0	Feb. 10/60 55:90 7.8 24.2	Aug. 22/61 6:7 8.9 22.9	
5 6.7 0 0	6 6.6 0 0	7 6.6 0 0	4 6.9 0 0.8	7 6.5 0 0	8 6.5 0 0	••
54.8 28.0 56.5 5.2 1.1 0.09 0.01  0.2 0.0 0.07 0.0 2.4 0.7 0.0 14.9 6.1 1.8 0.0 Trace  3.0 13 12.2 5.3 17.5 40.3 22 -2.9 12.5	$\begin{array}{c} & 63.8 \\ & 5.9 \\ & 1.2 \\ & Trace \\ & 0.00 \\ < 0.05 \\ & Trace \\ & 0.07 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0.02 \\ & 2.6 \\ & 1.2 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0.02 \\ & 2.6 \\ & 1.2 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0.02 \\ & 2.6 \\ & 1.2 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0.02 \\ & 2.5 \\ & 1.8 \\ & 0.1 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 2.5 \\ & 1.8 \\ & 0.1 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 2.5 \\ & 1.8 \\ & 0.1 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 2.5 \\ & 1.8 \\ & 0.1 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 2.5 \\ & 1.8 \\ & 0.1 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 2.5 \\ & 1.8 \\ & 0.1 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 2.5 \\ & 1.8 \\ & 0.1 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 2.5 \\ & 1.8 \\ & 0.1 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0$	$\begin{array}{c} 44.0\\ 18.4\\ 59.8\\ 6.1\\ 0.8\\ 0.03\\ 0.02\\ 0.00\\ 0$	61.2 23.6 59.0 6.0 1.7 0.28 0.00 0.03 0.0 0.0 1.8 0.5 0.0 19.7 5.0 3.4 0.0 0.0 19.7 5.0 15.1 15.1 15.1 15.1 15.1 15.1 15.1	$\begin{array}{c} 56.6\\ 5.2\\ 1.3\\ 0.04\\ 0.00\\ < 0.05\\ 0.00\\ 0.05\\ 0.04\\ 0.1\\ 2.3\\ 1.1\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 15.7\\ 7.0\\ 1.7\\ 7.0\\ 1.7\\ 0.1\\ 1.7\\ 0.1\\ 1.7\\ 0.1\\ 1.7\\ 0.1\\ 1.7\\ 3.3\\ 12.9\\ 5.3\\ 18.2\\ 41.7\\ 20\\ -3.0\\ 12.5\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 46.8\\ 24.4\\ 62.6\\ 5.9\\ 1.8\\ 0.08\\ 0.03\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.01\\ 0.1\\ 0.$	
		44	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	]

## CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF ARMY WATER SUPPLIES

PROVINCE

(In parts per million)

ONTARIO

ĺ	Camp or establishment		CAMP B	ORDEN	
No	Source(s)		Deep	wells	
140.			Well	No. 1	
			Raw	water	
	Sampling point		At p	ump	
$\begin{array}{c}1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\17\\18\\9\\21\\22\\34\\25\\26\\27\\8\\29\\30\\31\\233\end{array}$	Date of sampling	Oct. 19/59 30:36 10.0 23.2 2.5 8.1 10 0.5 216 44.8 371 37.8 16.2 0.16 0.01 0.00 0.00 0.04 0.0 16.6 1.1 0.1 0.0 203 5.3 18.5 0.0 0.04	Feb. 8/60 37:87 9.1 27.0 3 8.0 0 0 390 41.0 16.4 0.14 0.00 0.05 0.00 0.05 0.00 0.04 Trace 0.0 14.5 1.1 0.0 0.0 201 4.8 20.1 0.0 Trace	Dec. 2/60 6:11 8.9 22.5 3.2 3.5 7.9 15 0.8 	Aug. 23/61 5:6 9.4 23.1 2 8.2 0 0 188 24.0 334 31.6 14.0 0.26 0.14 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.03 0.01 <0.05 16.7 1.2 0.0 191 2.4 10.6 0.2 <0.1 0.1 0.1 0.2 0.2 <0.1 0.2 0.2 <0.1 0.1 0.2 0.2 <0.1 0.2 0.2 0.2 <0.1 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2
34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42	Nitrate (NO <sub>3</sub> ) Silica (SiO <sub>2</sub> ), colorimetric Carbonate hardness as CaCO <sub>3</sub> Non-carbonate hardness as CaCO <sub>3</sub> Total har dness as CaCO <sub>3</sub> Sum of constituents Per cent sodium Saturation index at test temperature Stability index at test temperature	0.4 16 161 0.0 161 212 18 +0.4 7.3	0.0 16 165 3.6 169 213 16 +0.4 7.2	0.0 16 156 206 19 +0.2 7.5	4.1 16 137 0.0 137 191 21 +0.4 7.4
43 44 45	Redox potential (mv) Hydrogen sulphide (H <sub>4</sub> S) Water level at sampling (ft)	16 ft drawdown	16.2 ft drawdown	34	25

Remarks

### CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF ARMY WATER SUPPLIES

(In parts per million)

ONTARIO

Well No. 2		Well No. 4		Wel	1 No. 5
		Raw	water		
At pump		At pump		At pump	
Aug. 23/61 16:34 7.5 23.1	Oct. 19/59 30:36 8.9 23.2	Feb. 8/60 37:87 8.9 27.0	Aug, 23/61 16:34 9.4 23.0	Oct. 19/59 30:36 8.9 22.0	Feb. 8/60 37:87 9.0 26 8
2,11 	25.2	27.0	22,7 	42.77 	20.0
8.3 5	8.1 10 0.5	8.0 0 3	8.3 5 4 5.9	8.2 10 0.5	8.0 0 2
	204	•••••••••••••••••••••	0.0	·····	
225 59.6 399 52.6 16.6 0.55 0.00	60.8 343 45.9 13.4 0.12 0.01	360 41.2 13.8 0.28 0.00 0.05	225 40.0 354 45.6 15.5 0.87 0.00	243 31.6 410 56.9 15.6 0.20 0.02	409 48.7 15.3 0.22 0.00 0.05
Trace 0.1 0.0 0.0 6.8	0.00 0.06 0.0 0.0 8.3	0.01 0.06 0.0 0.0 6.4	0.07 0.00 0.09 0.0 0.0 7.2	0.01 0.07 0.0 0.0 6.8	Trace 0.06 0.0 0.0 5.8
1.4 0.0 229	1.0 0.1 0.0 217 6 3	1.0 0.0 0.0 196 5.2	1,2 0,0 223 6 8	1.3 0,0 0.0 235 11.6	1.2 0.0 0.0 205
13.5 0.2 <0.1 <0.1	2.4 0.0 0.04	3.4 0.0 Trace	2.2 0.1 <0.1 <0.1	13.1 0.0 0.03	10.3 0.0 0.05
0.8 15 189 11.4	0.2 17 17C 0.0	0.0 15 159 0.0	0.9 16 178 0.0	0.0 15 192 13.7	0.0 15 168 14.9
230 6.8 +0.8 6.7	201 9.5 +0.5 7.1	179 170 8.0 +0.4 7.2	173 191 8.0 +0.8 6.7	236 6,6 +0,7 6,8	208 6.3 +0.5 7.0
38	65 ft dræwdown	30 ft drawdown	45	20 ft drawdown	5 ft drawdown

## CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF ARMY WATER SUPPLIES

(In parts per million)

ONTARIO (cont'd)

PROVINCE

	Camp or establishment		CAMP BORD	)EN (concl'd)	
N	Source(s)		Deep	wells	
No.		Well No. 5	(concl'd)	Mixed we	lls
		Raw w	ater	Finished w	ater
	Sampling point			Hydrant No. 166, corner Shelot and Sask. Blvd.*	Hydrant No. 171, Sask. Blvd.*-
$\begin{array}{c}1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\3\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\22\\23\\24\\25\\6\\27\\28\\90\\31\\2\\33\\4\\5\\36\\37\\8\\9\\40\\1\\4\\23\\44\\45\end{array}$	Date of sampling	Dec. 2/60 6:11 8,9 22.5 2.8 4 7.9 15 3 	Aug. 23,/61 16:34 9.2 23.1 3 8.2 5 2 273 45.6 432 56.7 17.0 0.49 Trace 0.03 0.00 0.09 0.0 0.09 0.0 8.1 1.4  0.0 238 9.6 19.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 1.0 15 196 16.4 212 245 7.6 +0.8 6.6  40	Nov. 10/61 7 7.8 55.2 16.2 224	Nov. 10/61 7 7.5 45.8 15.1 44
	Remarks			*After 1 min flushing	• After 10 min flush- ing
					1

#### CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF ARMY WATER SUPPLIES

(In parts per million)

ONTARIO (cont'd)

#### CAMP HAGERSVILLE, near HAGERSVILLE Lake Erie No. Finished water At tap, Bldg. No. 26A At Camp tap At Camp taps Aug. 18/61 Mar. 20/62 Mar. 27/62\* Oct. 20/59 Feb. 15/60 Apr. 10/62 1 27:33 17**.**8 24:29 57:91 21:35 6:28 7 2 16.1 7.2 34567 . . . . . . . . . 24.7 25.0 23.4 23.9 23.4 24.4 4.3 3 7.8 1.7 .... 3 7.8 . . . . . . . . . . . . . 9 3.5 7.7 7.3 7.5 7.5 20 8 0 10 5 5 5 9 0.4 3 10 . . . í0 11 . . . 12 13 14 15 16 17 203 192 62.0 46.8 304 296 288 316 310 311 39.3 7.7 36.5 7.8 39.0 35.1 38.1 39.5 9.3 8.6 ... 0.14 0.56 0.14 0.48 0.06 0.03 0.06 0.18 18 19 <0.05 0.01 . . . . . 20 21 0.01 Trace Trace 0.06 0.05 0.04 0.0 0.00 22 0.0 0.0 0.02 0.3 23 0.0 . . . 24 25 26 8.0 1.7 0.! 8,8 8.7 7.6 1.0 1.3 1.3 0.15 0.0 0.0 27 28 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 113 105 111 104 113 113 29 31 32 33 34 35 37 38 21.7 22,6 23.6 22.4 26.2 22.5 26.6 24.0 0.25 0.0 0.05 <0.1 0.0 Trace 0.0 . . . . . . . . . 0.2 0.1 0.4 0.1 2.4 0.6 2.2 0.3 92.7 37.3 92.6 91.2 85.7 86.3 93.0 39.0 132 44.2 41.7 37.6 34.2 120.5 130 133 123 137 39 167 157 164 152 . . . . 11 12 12 40 12 -0.1 -0.2 -0.7 41 -0.18.7 42 8.0 8.1 8.0 43 44 45 \* Unfiltered lake water

### CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF ARMY WATER SUPPLIES

PROVINCE

-

(In parts per million)

ONTARIO ( cont'd)

	Camp or establishment	CAMP HAG	ERSVILLE, near HAGE	RSVILLE (concl'd)	CAMP PETAWÀWA, near PETAWAWA
No.	Source(s)		Wells (standby supply)	)	Ottawa River and spring
			No. 3 well		Ottawa River
			Raw and finished water		Raw water
	Sampling point		At pump		Near pump intake
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 1 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 1 12 13 14 15 16 7 18 9 20 1 22 3 24 25 26 27 28 9 30	Date of sampling Storage period (days) Sampling temperature, <sup>0</sup> C. Test temperature, <sup>0</sup> C. Oxygen consumed by KMnO <sub>4</sub> . Carbon dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> ), (calculated)	Oct. 19/59 25:30 10.0 24.7 2 8.2 5 8 13.9 8.8 591 113 817 86.2 31.0 0.96 0.20  Trace 0.1 0.0 0.0 48.5 2.1 0.0 0.0 189 268 5.8	Feb. 15/60 57:91 10.0 24.7 1.5 8.2 0 5 	Aug. 18/61 27:33 11.1 23.2 0.2 6 7.7 5 4 9.4 7.9 737 79.2 969 95.2 36.1 1.3 0.04 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 U.09 0.0 Trace 65.5 2.4 	Oct. 21/59 35:82 5.6 21.7 14.2 4.5 6.8 35 0.8 
31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	Fluoride (F) Phosphate (PO <sub>4</sub> ) Total Dissolved Nitrate (NO <sub>3</sub> ). Silica (SiO <sub>2</sub> ), colorimetric Carbonate hardness as CaCO <sub>3</sub> Non-carbonate hardness as CaCO <sub>3</sub> Total hardness as CaCO <sub>3</sub> Sum of constituents	0.65 0.0  0.2 6.2 155 187 342 542	0.5 Trace 0.1 4.6 138 220 358 570	1.0 0.0 0.00 0.6 6.2 123 263 386 661	0.0 0.04 0.1 4.3 14.6 12.2 26.8 38.6
40 41 42 43 44 45	Per cent sodium Saturation index at test temperature Stability index at test temperature Redox potential (my) Hydrogen sulphide (H <sub>2</sub> S) Water level at sampling	23 +0.8 6.6	22 +0.8 6.6	27 +0.2 7.3	9.9 -2.6 12
.,		••••••		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	LOW

Remarks

#### CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF ARMY WATER SUPPLIES

(In parts per million)

ONTARIO (cont'd)

		Ottawa River	and spring			]
	***			·		N
		Ottawa	River			4
	<u> </u>	Raw wa	ter	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1
	Near pump intake	<b></b>	At approx 200 ft from intake	At pumj	o intake	
Feb. 15/60 57:91	Feb. 8/61 5:7	Aug. 28/61 18:29	Oct. 17/61 17:28	Oct. 17/61 17:28	Dec. 11/61 9:23	1 2
0.6 24.8	23.4	14.4 23.0 2.1	14.4 23.5 10.0	23.5 10.0	3.3 22.7 9.6	3 4 5
1.5 7.3	4.6 6.9	10 6.5	6 6.7	5 6.8	5 6.8	6
70 5	50 10 16.0	35 0.8	40 5	40 1	40 0	8 9 1
	6.8	81,6			77.2	1
63.6 4.2		31.6 62.9		66.0 6.9	31.6 67.7 7.1	1
3.8 0.39	3.6 2.5*	0.17	2.5 0.22	2.4 0.10	2.4 0.23	1
0.10 <0.05	0.25 0.01	0.05 0.02	0.01 0.07	0.01 0.03 0.00	Trace 0.01	1
0.0 0.0	0.14 0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0	2
0.0 1.1	0.1 2.6	0.0	 1.4	1.4	0.0 1.6	2
0.7	0.1	0.1 0.0	0.9 0.2 0.0	0.8 0.3 0.0	0.8 0.2 0.0	222
19.7 10.3	22.1 14.5	18.7 10.3	19.1 12.5	18.9 11.9	19.5 11.6	2
0.5 0.0 0.1	0.13 0.03	0.07 <0.1	1.5	1.7	2.6 0.08 ≼0.1	3
0.1	0.6	<0.1 1.3	0.5	0.6	<0.1 0.7	3
4.5 16.2 9.8	6.9 18.1 19.1	3.7 15.3 10.1	4.0 15.7 11.9	3.9 15.5 11.7	4.3 16.0 11.6	3
26.0 35.1	37.2 56.6	25.4 34.6	27.6 39.7	27.2 38.9	27.6 40.8	3
8.1 -2.2 12	12 -2.3	10 2.9 12	9.6 -2.6 12	9.7 -2.5 12	11 2.5 12	4
					••••••••••••••••	. 4
Low		Low	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Low	4
·····	* Iron pick-up	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			+

#### CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF ARMY WATER SUPPLIES

PROVINCE

#### (In parts per million) ONTARIO (cont'd)

	Camp or establishment		CAMP PETAWAY	WA (cont'd)	
No.	Source(s)		Ottawa Rive	r and spring	
		Ottawa River		Spring	
			Raw	water	
	Sampling point	At old pumphouse	From continuous flow to reservoir	From r	eservoir 1
$\begin{array}{c}1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\0\\1\\1\\1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\0\\1\\1\\2\\2\\2\\2\\2\\2\\6\\7\\8\\9\\0\\3\\1\\2\\3\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\0\\4\\1\end{array}$	Date of sampling	May 18/61 12:21 8.9 24.5 5.8 6.5 45 1 	Oct. 21/59 35:82 5.0 21.7 5.4 1.4 7.4 0 0 211 15.9 8.6 0.04 0.00	Feb. 15/60 57:91 1.1 24.4 0.8 7.6 0 0 220 21.4 5.7 0.03 0.02 <0.05 0.00 0.00 0.04 Trace 0.0 6.2 1.6  1.6  0.0 0  1.1 1.1 24.4  220 21.4 5.7 0.03 0.02 <0.05 0.00 0.00 0.02 <0.05 0.00 0.02  1.6  0.00 0.02  1.6  0.00 0.02  1.6  0.00 0.02  1.6  0.00 0.02  1.6  0.00 0.00 0.02  1.6  0.00 0.00 0.00 0.02  1.6  1.6  1.6  1.5 21.9 29.7 0.0 Trace  1.2 1.4  1.5  1.5  1.9 29.7 0.0 Trace  1.2  1.5  	Aug. 25/61 20:40 8.9 23.0 0.6 14 6.5 5 0  152 60.0 218 14.9 9.7 0.04 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.5 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.00 1.5 0.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 1.5 1.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 1.5 1.5 0.0 1.5 1.5 0.0 1.5 1.5 0.0 1.5 1.5 0.0 1.5 1.5 0.0 1.5 1.5 0.5 0.0 1.5 1.5 0.5 0.0 1.5 1.5 0.5 0.0 1.5 1.5 0.5 0.0 1.5 0.5 0.0 1.5 0.5 0.0 1.5 0.5 0.5 0.0 1.5 1.5 0.5 0.5 0.0 1.5 1.5 0.5 0.0 1.5 1.5 0.5 0.5 0.0 1.5 1.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0
42 43	Stability index at test temperature Redox potential (mv)	13	11	10	-2.5 11.5
44 45	Hydrogen sulphide (H <sub>2</sub> S) Water level at sampling	High		High	••••••

Remarks

#### CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF ARMY WATER SUPPLIES

(In parts per million)

ONTARIO (cont'd)

				CAMP PE		
N	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		liver and spring	Ottawa F		
			Mixed river and spring	1		Spring
			Finished water			Raw water
r	At mixing reservoir	At building No. G-1	At central heating plant tap	ng No. G-1	At buildi	From flow to reservoir
1	Aug. 28/61	Aug. 25/61	May 15/61	Feb. 15/60	Oct. 21/59	Oct. 17/61
2	18:59	20:40	10:23	57:91	35.82	17.28
3	14.4	18.9	-01-5	3.3	80	10.6
4	22.7	23.0	23.7	24.2	21.9	22.6
5	2.0	6.5	-517	2712	12.0	10
6	5	6	3	15		1.0
7	6.8	66	6.8		4	0
8	35	35	0.0	50	20	0.0
0	0.8	55 K		<b>J</b> 0	50	5
. 1		36		4	0.8	0
.]] î		3.0			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
1 1	89.2	69.6				
1 1	34.8	34.0			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
1	74.3	79.2	71.5	89.0	78.7	200
1	7.5	7.3	5.8	8.5	77	15.2
1	2.8	2.8	2.0	3 1	28	12.5
11	0.18	0.36	>	0.40	0.20	9.2
lî	0.03	0.12	0.13	0.13	0.06	0.02
Î	0.02	0.00	0.15	~0.05	0.00	
Ĵ	0.02	0.00	0.00	<0.03	0.00	0.02
2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02
2		U.U2	0.02	0.0	0.0	
2	0.0	0.07	0.05	lface	0.0	0.0
	1 7	0.07	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	0.05	0.0	
2		1.7	1./	1./	1./	/.2
1 2	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.7	1./
	0.1			••••••	0.0	0.0
1 2	10.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2	19.5	15.1	12.4	18.2	15.5	23.2
2	20	11.4	10.8	13.4	12.2	25.5
	0.11	2.2 0.15	0.20	2.3	2.9	25.9
	c0 1	0.13	0.29	0.0	0.0	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
1 2	-0.1	CO 1	[·····	irace	0.04	
	15	10				
2	4.6	1.7	0.4	0.2	0.8	12
2	15.8	4.0 12 A	2.2	0.7	<b>).</b> )	15
2	16 4	175	10.2	14.9	12./	19.0
2	20.2	1/.5	10.1	19.1	18.0	57.2
10	42.0	42.0	20.3	54.0	30.7	76.2
	44.7	43.7	39.2	48.6	44.8	123
	_2.5	-2.0	12	9.5	10	17
	12	-2.8	-2.8	-2.1	-2.7	-2.2
	12	12	12	11	12	11
						• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	Low	Slightly below	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Low	Low	••••••
		normal		204	Lon	••••••

.

#### CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF ARMY WATER SUPPLIES

PROVINCE

#### (In parts per million) ONTARIO (cont'd)

	Camp or establishment		CAMP PETAW	AWA (cont'd)	
No.	Source(s)		Ottawa River	and spring	
1,01			Mixed river a	nd spring*	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			Finished	water	
	Sampling point	At mixing reservoir†	At cold water tap, .RCE Bldg	At hot water tap, RCE Bldg	At mixing reservoir†
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 12 22 23	Date of sampling	Oct. 17/61 17:28 14.3 23.2 9.6 7 6.7 40 2 	Oct. 16/61 29:35 23.0 	Oct. 16/61 21:28 22.8 6 6.7 100 4 	Jan. 25/62 8:11 1,1 22.4 9.9 7 6.7 40 2.5 
23 24 25 26 27 28 29 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 89 40 41 42 43 44 45	Zinc (Zn) Sodium (Na) Potassium (K) Ammonium (NH <sub>4</sub> ) Carbonate (CO <sub>3</sub> ) Bicarbonate (HCO <sub>3</sub> ) Sulphate (SO <sub>4</sub> ) Chloride (Cl) Fluoride (F) Phosphate (PO <sub>4</sub> ) Total Dissolved Nitrate (NO <sub>3</sub> ) Silica (SiO <sub>2</sub> ), colorimetric Carbonate hardness as CaCO <sub>3</sub> Non-carbonate hardness as CaCO <sub>3</sub> Total hardness as CaCO <sub>3</sub> Total hardness as CaCO <sub>3</sub> Sum of constituents Per cent sodium Saturation index at test temperature Redox potential (mv) Hydrogen sulphide (H <sub>2</sub> , S) Water level at sampling	1.9 0.9 0.1 0.0 20.8 12.7 3.5  1.3 5.0 17.1 14.9 32.0 46.4 11 -2.5 12	2.1 0.9 0.2 0.0 16.9 11.7 5.0 1.9 5.0 13.9 19.1 33.0 	1.9 0.9 0.5 0.0 18.9 13.5 5.8  0.6 5.1 15.5 17.0 32.5 48.2 11 -2.6 12	0.0 2.5 0.9 0.2 0.0 20.5 13.9 3.2 0.12 <0.1 <0.1 1.5 6.3 16.8 14.7 31.5 49.3 14 -2.6 12 

Remarks

\* Spring water not always present † Not chlorinated

.

#### CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF ARMY WATER SUPPLIES

(In parts per million)

	) (concl'd)		MAN	ITOBA		
CAMP PETAW	/AWA (concl'd)		FORT	CHURCHILL		T
Ottawa Rive	r and spring		Lake	Isabelle		_
Mixed river and spring*						
Finished	water		Raw	water		_
At Bldg, No	o. G-1		At intake well at tre	eatment plant		
Jan. 25/62 8:11	May 18/62 12:21	Oct. 19/59 32:14	Feb. 9/60 59:93	May 1/61 24:28	June 13/61 13:17	
3.3 22.2	11.1 24.4	1.1 25.8	1.1 24.3	24.1	23.4	•
8.9 9 6.5 35	9.6 4.5 6.4 35	5 7.6 10	11 7.5 15	9 7.9 25	8 7.0 5	••
3 0.0	2	2	0			••
0.0 91.2	57.6			•		
15.2 85.8 7.9 3.3	27.6 53.7 4.7 2.1	359 31.8 9.5	643 58.3 16.8	1,129 113 31.2	137 11.1 3.7	•
0.27 0.11	0.27 0.13	0.08 0.00	0.07	0.11	••••••	•••
0.00 0.00 0.01 0.05	0.02 0.00 0.0 0.03 0.1	0.00 0.00 0.01 0.0	0.00 0.14 Trace 0.08	0.00 0.0 0.0 0.0		•••
2.5 0.9 0.2	1.3 0.7 0.2	25.7 1.5 0.1 0.0	44.6 2.7 0.5 0.0	74.8 5.1 1.5 0.0	8.7 0.9 0.1 0.0	
17.6 14.5 5.8	7.2 10.4 5.5	122 12.0 46.2	226 16.9 80.8	445 21.0 143	45.7 4.5 16.5	
<0.1	0.28	0.03	0.0			•••
<0.1 2.0 6.9 14.4	0.1 0.8 4.7 5.9	0.1 4.0 100	0.3 4.0 186	1.2 2.5 365	0.8 0.4 37.5	• •
19.1 33.5 70.2 13.5	14.4 20.3 33.9 11	I8.4 118 191 32	29.3 215 336 31	44.6 410 610 28	5.5 43.0 69.1 30	
-2.9 12	-3.5 13.	-0.3 8.2	+0.1 7.3	+1.0 5.9	-1.8 11	
Medium	High	51' 5"	49' 11"		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•

31

## CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF ARMY WATER SUPPLIES

PROVINCE

#### (In parts per million) MANITOBA (cont'd)

	Camp or establishment		FORT CHUI	RCHILL (cont'd)	
No.	Source(s)		Lake	e Isabelle	
			Raw	water	
	Sampling point		At intake well at	treatment plant	
1 2 3 4	Date of sampling Storage period (days) Sampling temperature, <sup>o</sup> C. Test temperature, <sup>o</sup> C.	July 31/61 9:11 13.3 23.5	Sept. 26/61 16:27 24.5	Nov. 28/61 15:17 4.4 22.5	Apr. 2/62 9:22 24.4
5 6 7 8 9 10	Carbon dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> ), (calculated) pH Colour Turbidity Suspended matter, dried at 105 <sup>o</sup> C,	5.1 3 7.6 10 0	6 7.4 (7.7)† 5	4 7.7 5 0	16 7.4 (7.2)† 15
11 12 13 14 15 16	Suspended matter, ignited at 550°C Residue on evaporation, dried at 105°C Ignition loss at 550°C Specific conductance, micromhos at 25°C Calcium (Ca)	112 56.0 200 17.4 5.3	251 23.3 7.4	231,5 30.2 8.9	622.5(500) 59.7 17.3
17 18 19 20 21	Iron (Fe) Total Dissolved Manganese (Mn) Total Dissolved Aluminum (Al)	0.04 0.02 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.03			
22 23 24 25 26 27	Copper (Cu) Zinc (Zn) Sodium (Na) Potassium (K) Ammonium (NH <sub>4</sub> ) Carbonate (CO <sub>3</sub> )	0.0 0.0 11.5 1.1 0.3 0.0	13.6 1.0 0.0 0.0	20.4 1.4 0.2 0.0	40.7 2.7 0.7 0.0
28 29 30 31 32	Bicarbonate (HCO <sub>3</sub> ) Sulphate (SO <sub>4</sub> ) Chloride (Cl) Fluoride (F) Phosphate (PO <sub>4</sub> ) Total	69.5 5.3 20.1 0.09 <0.1	93.0 6.7 26.2 (70)	123 6.8 37.2 (80)† 0.06 0.0	244 13.3 73.2 (100)
55 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42	Nitrate (NO <sub>3</sub> ) Silica (SiO <sub>2</sub> ), colorimetric Carbonate hardness as CaCO <sub>3</sub> Non-carbonate hardness as CaCO <sub>3</sub> Total hardness as CaCO <sub>3</sub> Sum of constituents Per cent sodium Saturation index at test temperature Stability index at test temperature	0.0 2.2 0.2 57.0 8.2 65.2 97.4 27 -0.8 9.2	0.0 0.2 76.3 (90) 12.4 (2) 88.7 (92) 124 25 ~0.8 9.0	0.0 1.3 101 (106) 11.3 (14) 112 (120) 167 28 -0.3 8.3	0.3 0.9 200 (220) 20.7 (40) 221 (260) 328 28 0.0 7.4
43 44 45	Redox potential (mv) Hydrogen sulphide (H <sub>2</sub> S) Water level at sampling	51' 3"	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	51' 5"	

Remarks

† Values in brackets are plant tests

## CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF ARMY WATER SUPPLIES

† 1

(In parts per million)

MANITOBA (cont'd)

		FORT CH	URCHILL (cont'd)			
<u> </u>		La	ke Isabelle			No.
Raw water		Finished water				
At intake well at treatment plant	After No. 4 filter	At clearwell	At plant discharge	At cold water tap, central heating plant	At hot water tap, Bldg. L-1	
May 1/62 14:16	Oct. 19/59 32:84	Feb. 9/60 59:93	May 1/61 24:28	May 1/61 24:28	May 1/61 24:28	1 2 3
24.8	12.8 24.8	2.2 24.4	23.7	24.2	24.0	4
20 7.3 (7.1)†	2 7.4 5 0.8	25 7.2 5 0	1 8.2	3 7.8 10	2 7.8	6 7 8 9 10
						112
657 (500) 65.3 18.2	321 24.6 5.2	481 29.2 7.3 0.22	771 15.4 27.6		705 13.6 17.8	. 13 14 15 16 . 17
	0.06	0.00	0.03	0.02	0.12	18
41.0	0.00 0.26 0.0 0.0 25.7	<0.05 0.00 0.14 Trace 0.0 45.5	0.00 0.31 0.01 0.0 91.6	0.00 0.14 0.0 0.0 91.6 5.3	0.00 0.0 0.03 0.0 89.5 5.3	20 21 22 23 24 25
2.7 0.0 260 12.7 74.3 (100)	1.5 0.0 28.8 48.5 48.2	0.3 0.0 26.8 61.5 83.9	0.0 116 62.5 146	0.0 0.0 108 62.3 147 0.3	0.0 58.3 60.2 147	. 26 27 28 29 30 . 31
	. 0.0 Trace	0.0				. 32
0.0 1.4 213 (222) 24.3 (44) 237 (266) 344 27 0.0 7.3	0.0 1.0 23.6 59.2 82.8 169 40 -1.3 10	0.1 1.6 22.0 80.9 103 245 48 -1.5 10	0.8 2.1 95.6 56.4 152 409 55 -0.1 8.4	4.0 1.9 88.4 64.1 152 409 55 -0.5 8.8	0,6 2.3 47.8 59.3 107 365 63 -0.9 9.6	34 35 36 31 31 44 4 4 4 4
						. 4
			After stabilization	Activated carbor being added	1	-

## CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF ARMY WATER SUPPLIES

PROVINCE

--

#### (In parts per million) MANITOBA (cont'd)

	Camp or establishment		FORT CHU	JRCHILL (cont'd)	
No.	Source(s)		Lake	Isabelle	
			Finisl	bed water	
	Sampling point	After filters*	At cold water tap, central heating plant	At hot water tank in treatment plant	At cold water tap, central heating plant
$\begin{array}{c}1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\0\\1\\1\\2\\3\\4\\1\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\0\\1\\1\\2\\2\\2\\2\\2\\2\\2\\2\\2\\2\\2\\2\\2\\2\\2\\2\\2$	Date of sampling Storage period (days) Sampling temperature, °C. Test temperature, °C. Test temperature, °C. Oxygen consumed by KMnO <sub>4</sub> Carbon dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> ), (calculated) pH Colour Turbidity Suspended matter, dried at 105°C. Suspended matter, ignited at 550°C. Residue on evaporation, dried at 105°C. Ignition loss at 550°C. Specific conductance, micromhos at 25°C. Calcium (Ca) Magnesium (Mg) Iron (Fe) Total Dissolved Manganese (Mn) Total Dissolved Aluminum (Al) Copper (Cu) Zinc (Zn) Sodium (Na) Potassium (K) Ammonium (NH <sub>4</sub> ) Carhonate (HCO <sub>3</sub> ) Bicarbonate (HCO <sub>3</sub> ) Sulphate (SO <sub>4</sub> ) Chloride (Cl) Fluoride (F) Phosphate (PO <sub>4</sub> ) Total Dissolved Nitrate (NO <sub>3</sub> ) Silica (SiO <sub>2</sub> ), colorimetric Carbonate hardness as CaCO <sub>3</sub> Non-carbonate hardness as CaCO <sub>3</sub> Sum of constituents Per cent sodium Stability index at test temperature Stability index at test temperature	June 13/61 13:17 23.3 2 7.5 5 	Second relating plant           June 13/61           13:17           23.4           4           7.3           5           139           11.6           3.8           0.9           0.1           0.0           44.7           4.6           18.4           1.6           0.4           36.7           8.1           44.8           71.9           29           -1.5           10	in treatment plant         June 13/61         13:17         23.4         1.5         7.8         10	central heating plant         July 31/61         9:11         16.7         23.2         4.8         3         7.8         5         0
44 45	Hydrogen sulphide (H <sub>2</sub> S) Water level at sampling	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	••••••	
	Rema <b>rks</b>	<sup>†</sup> Values in brackets a * Lime-soda softenin and chlorination on	re plant results g discontinued, filtratio nly.	n	

#### CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF ARMY WATER SUPPLIES

(In parts per million)

MANITOBA (cont'd)

FORT CHURCHILL (cont'd) Lake Isabelle No. Finished water At hot water tank in At cold water tank in central heating plant At filter discharge central warehouse At plant discharge At cold water tap in central heating plant boiler room Sept. 26/61 Feb. 27/62 Sept. 26/61 Sept. 26/61 Nov. 28/61 Jan. 30/62 1 2 16:27 16:27 16:27 15:17 34:41 23:30 17.2 3 4 5 24.5 24.3 . . . . 24.6 22.6 24.1 23.2 . . . . 4.5 4.5 7.5 (7.6)† 0 1 2 7.4 (8.2) 0 6 7 8 9.5 (9.4)† 7.4 9.4 (9.8) 5 5 0 5 5 9 10 ó 11 12 13 14 15 250.5 23.5 7.4 (310) 335 19.5 7.3 336 15.2 (220)240 217 (290) 252 23.5 7.3 6.1 28.5 16 17 16.4 6.5 3.3 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 36.0 13.7 13.5 13.6 20.9 28.5 2.3 0.3 1.1 1.1 1,1 1.5 1.9 0.0 0.0 0.2 0.0 . . . 19.0 0.0 0.0 4.6 0.0 0,0 21.1 31.8 19.9 90.8 89.1 47.2 5.9 43.2 32.2 58,2 6.4 6.6 29.0 (50) 39.2 51.8 (80) 62.1 (80) 29.4 (70) 27.6 0.1 31 32 33 34 35 37 38 30 41 42 . 0.0 . 1.3 1.4 26.1 (30) 52.6 (50) 78.7 (80) 0.5 2.2 0.0 1.7 17.3 0.3 0.2 0**.0** Trace 0.2 70.3 (80) 0.2 73.1 (70) 16.0 (20) 23.9 (30) 41.0 (36) 64.9 (66) 74.5 12.6 (0) 82.9 (80) 67.6 14.2 89.1 (90) 04. 171 54 +0.5 82.9 84.9 88.7 125.5 24.5 115 166 171 125 25 26 34 43 +0.7 -0.7 -1.3 -0.7 -1.48.4 10 10 8.9 8.9 8.1 43 44 45 \*Lime-soda softening discontinued, filtra-tion and chlorination only

## CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF ARMY WATER SUPPLIES

PROVINCE

#### (In parts per million) MANITOBA (cont'd)

	Camp or establishment	FORT CHU	RCHILL (concl'd)	CAM	P SHILO
No.	Source(s)	Lake	Isabelle	W	ells
		Finis	hed water	Raw	water
				Well No. 1,	45' deep
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	Sampling point	At cold water tap, o	central heating plant	At p	1mp
$\begin{array}{c}1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\17\\18\\9\\21\\22\\34\\25\\26\\7\\8\\30\\31\\32\\33\\4\\35\\36\\7\\8\end{array}$	Date of sampling . Storage period (days) . Sampling temperature, <sup>0</sup> C. Test temperature, <sup>0</sup> C. Carbon dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> ), (calculated) . pH. Colour Turbidity Suspended matter, dried at 105 <sup>0</sup> C. Suspended matter, ignited at 550 <sup>0</sup> C. Residue on evaporation, dried at 105 <sup>0</sup> C. Ignition loss at 550 <sup>0</sup> C. Specific conductance, micromhos at 25 <sup>0</sup> C. Calcium (Ca) . Magnesium (Mg) . Iron (Fe) Total . Dissolved . Manganese (Mn) Total . Dissolved . Aluminum (Al) . Copper (Cu) . Zinc (Zn) . Sodium (Na) . Potassium (K) . Ammonium (NH <sub>4</sub> ) . Carbonate (HCO <sub>3</sub> ) . Sulphate (SO <sub>4</sub> ) . Chloride (Cl) . Fluoride (F) . Phosphate (PO <sub>4</sub> ) Total . Dissolved . Nitrate (NO <sub>3</sub> ) . Silica (SiO <sub>2</sub> ), colorimetric . Carbonate hardness as CaCO <sub>3</sub> . Non-carbonate hardness as CaCO <sub>3</sub> . Total hardness as CaCO <sub>3</sub> .	Apr. 2/62 9:22 24.5 0 9.5 (9.0) <sup>†</sup> 5 388.5 (325) 18.0 6.7 43.0 2.7 0.5 6.2 10.4 42.2 73.7 (90) 0.2 2.7 18.9 (20) 53.6 (50) 72.5 (70)	May 1/62 14:16 24.8 0 † 9.1 (8.6) 398 (325) 19.8 6.0 42.5 2.6 3.1 18.9 40.9 77.9 (100) 77.9 (100) 53.6 (46) 74.3 (66)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Oct. } 20/59\\ 31:42\\ 7.2\\ 24.7\\ \end{array}\\ \begin{array}{c} & & & \\ & &$	Feb. 9/60 59:93 7.8 25.2 
39	Sum of constituents	201	205	323	314
40	Per cent sodium	55	54	2.7	2.3
42	Stability index at test temperature	8.3	*0.3	+0.8	+0.8
43	Redox potential (mv)	•••		•••••	
44 45	Hydrogen sulphide (H <sub>2</sub> S) Water level at sampling			43	44
	Remarks	†Values in brackets a	re plant results		

## CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF ARMY WATER SUPPLIES

(In parts per million)

MANITOBA (cont'd)

		Wel	ls	· · · ·	
		Raw w	rater		
Vell No. 1, 45' deep		Vell No. 3, 43' deep		Well N	lo. 4, 42' deep
		At pun	np		
Aug. 18/61 28:39 7.8 22.8	Oct. 20/59 31:42 7.2 24.8	Feb. 9/60 59:93 7.8 26.2	Aug. 18/61 27:33 5.6 23.4	Oct. 20/59 31:42 7.2 24.4	Feb. 9/60 62:97 7.8 26.1
0.8 9 7.7 5	6 7.9 5	7 7.8 5	0.6 6 7.9 5	5 8.0 5	8 7.8 5
0	3 9.8 2.9 331	2	2 	11.1 0.7 337	2
33.6 479 71.6 16.2	44.0 529 89.5 17.0	451 68.8 17.2	38.0 528 85.3 18.1	59.2 551 88.9 17.8	551 87.9 18.0
0.41 0.03 0.29 0.24	0.47 0.04 0.40 0.02	0.25 0.00 0.40 0.00	0.84 0.02 0.25 0.25	0.57 0.04 0.40 0.20	C.25 Trace C0.05 Trace
0.05 0.0 0.0 8.4	0.05 0.0 0.0 2.6	0.08 0.0 0.0 2.6	0.09 0.0 0.0 3.5	0.04 0.0 0.0 4.4	0.02 0.0 0.0 4.4
1.6 0.1 0.0 275	1.6 0.0 <b>0.0</b> 331	1.6 0.0 0.0 275	1.8 0.1 0.0 325	1.7 0.0 0.0 331	1.6 0.0 0.0 333
25.3 5.7 0.2	22.1 2.5 0.0 0.02	20.0 1.7 0.0 0.0	20.0 2.5 0.2 1.0	22.2 6.5 0.0' 0.0	20.3 6.0 0.0 0.1
0.1 2.1 23	0.0 23 272	0.1 24 226	0.35 0.8 24 267	0.0 24 272	0.1 24 274
20.2 245 290 6.9	21.4 293 321 1.9	243 272 2,3	20.7 287 317 2.6	23.4 295 311 3.1	20.3 294 327 3.1
+0.4 6.9	+0.3 6.3	+0.5 6.8	+0.8 6.3	+0.9 6.2	+0.7 6.4
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	41.5	42	42	42	42

#### CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF ARMY WATER SUPPLIES

PROVINCE

T

#### (In parts per million) MANITOBA (cont'd)

7

		•		
-	 		_	

	Camp or establishment		CAMP SH	IILO (cont'd)	
	Source(s)		We	lls	
			Raw	water	
No.		Well No. 4, 42' deep	Golf Course well	Disposal Plant wel	1 No. 5, 16' deep
	Sampling point	А	t pump	At p	ump
$1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1$	Date of sampling	Aug. $18/61$ 27:33 6.7 23.4 0.7 14 7.6 5 0 340 37.2 559 87.1 20.6 1.1 0.02 0.25 0.07 0.09 Trace 0.0 4.9 1.8 0.0 0.0 335 19.2 7.5 0.2 0.59 < 0.1 2.8 24 27.3 302 334 3.4 +0.5 6.6	Aug. 18/61 28:69 6.1 22.8 0.8 7 7.8 5 1 	Oct. 20/59 31:42 8.9 24.4 	Feb. $9/60$ 59:93 6.7 25.0 4 7.9 5 0 356 55.1 12.4 0.07 0.00 <0.05 0.00 <0.05 0.00 0.00 <0.05 0.00 0.00 0.00 2.5 21 174 14.9 189 210 0.8 +0.4 7.1
45 44 45	Hydrogen sulphide (H <sub>2</sub> S) Water level at sampling (ft)	41	40	16	16
		1		1	1

Remarks

## CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF ARMY WATER SUPPLIES

(In parts per million)

MANITOBA (cont'd)

				Wells		
	hed water	Finis		water	Raw	······································
<u></u> м	camp water	Mixed wells -	deep	ge well No. 6, about 16 <sup>1</sup>	Rifle Ran	Disposal Plant well No. 5, 16' deep
	aps	At t		At pump		At pump
1 2 3 44 5 6 7 8	Feb. 9/60 59:93 8.9 25.2 6 7.9 5	Oct. 20/59 31:42 8.9 24.5 	Aug. 18/61 28:39 8.9 22.9 1.0 6.5 7.8 5	Feb. 9/60 59:93 4.4 25.0 3.5 8.0 5	Oct. 20/59 34:42 8.9 26.6 2 8.2 0	Aug. 18/61 79:105 7.8 22.6 0.4 5 7.8 5
	0	0	0.4	0	0	0.4
1          1         1       1         <	515 69.3 21.1 0.04 0.C 0.4 0.03 0.08 Trace 0.0 11.0 2.4 0.0 0.0 322 20.0 2.1 0.0 0.0 322 20.0 2.1 0.0 0.0 322 20.0 2.1 0.0 0.0 322 20.0 2.1 0.0 0.0 322 20.0 2.1 0.0 0.0 322 20.0 2.1 0.0 0.0 322 20.0 0.0 0.0 322 20.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	359 34.4 551 35.3 11.6 0.10 0.04 0.10 Trace 0.04 0.0 76.0 1.9 0.0 76.0 1.9 0.0 76.0 1.9 0.0 331 23.6 7.1 0.0 0.0 24 136 0.0 136 343 54 +0.5 7.0	277 42.4 409 64.4 14.8 Trace Trace 0.01 0.00 0.04 0.0 0.07 1.0 0.7 0.2 0.0 239 10.0 1.0 0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 15 22 196 25.8 222 246 1.0 +0.4 7.0	$\begin{array}{c} 419\\ 66.5\\ 13.7\\ 0.32\\ 0.00\\ <0.05\\ 0.00\\ 0.08\\ Trace\\ 0.4\\ 0.7\\ 0.5\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 213\\ 18.8\\ 1.9\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 213\\ 18.8\\ 1.9\\ 0.0\\ 0.09\\ \end{array}$	257 50.4 388 62.1 13.1 0.09 0.02 0.00 0.00 0.04 0.0 0.25 0.9 0.5 0.0 0.0 223 10.9 1.1 0.0 0.01  14 22 183 25.6 209 235 0.9 6.4	$\begin{array}{c} 232\\ 38.0\\ 355\\ 53.5\\ 14.6\\ 0.11\\ 0.02\\ 0.17\\ 0.05\\ 0.07\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 1.0\\ 1.0\\ 1.0\\ 1.0\\ 1.0\\ 1.0$
••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	16	16	16	18 in.

### CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF ARMY WATER SUPPLIES

(In parts per million)

	PROVINCE	MANITOBA (concl'd)		SASKATCHEWAN	
	Camp or establishment	CAMP SHILO (concl'd)	CAM	IP DUNDURN, near DUN	IDURN
No	Source(s)	Wells		Two wells	
		Mixed wells - camp supply		North well	
		Finished water		Raw water	
	Sampling point	At pump		At pump	
1	Date of sampling	Aug. 18/61	Oct. 13/59	Jan 15/60	Apr. 19/60
2	Storage period (days)	28:39	23:30	10:23	8:16
3	Sampling temperature, <sup>0</sup> C	10.0	6.7	6.7	6.7
4	Test temperature, <sup>0</sup> C	23.0	27.4	25.5	24.4
5	Oxygen consumed by KMnO <sub>4</sub>	1.0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
6	Carbon dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> ), (calculated)	11	10	8	9
7	pH	7.7	7.9	8.0	8.0
8	Colour	5	10	10	25
9	Turbidity	0.4	35	45	35
10	Suspended matter, dried at 105°C		5.2		
11	Suspended matter, ignited at 550°C		4.4		
12	Residue on evaporation, dried at 105°C	357	741		
13	Ignition loss at 550°C.	42.4	105		
14	Specific conductance, micromhos at 25°C	543	1,094	1,111	1,109
15	Calcium (Ca)	24.0	97.1	96.2	96.7
16	Magnesium (Mg)	10.4	45.5	46.2	43.8
17	Iron (Fe) Total	Trace	2.9	4.8	3.3
18	Dissolved	Trace	0.11	0.00	0.03
19	Manganese (Mn) Total	0.02		Trace	0.20
20	Dissolved	0.00	0.02	Trace	0.10
21	Aluminum (Al)	0.02	0.10	í 0.03	0.09
22	Copper (Cu)	0.0	0.0	0.0	Trace
23	Zinc (Zn)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
24	Sodium (Na)	90.2	93.2	91.1	88.0
25	Potassium (K)	1.9	6.1	6.3	6.0
26	Ammonium (NH <sub>4</sub> )	0.1	0.1		
27	Carbonate (CO <sub>3</sub> )	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
28	Bicarbonate (HCO3)	330	541	550	545
29	Sulphate (SO <sub>4</sub> )	19.5	161	167	162
30	Chloride (Cl)	6.5	10.5	10.6	11.3
31	Fluoride (F)	0.12	0.0	0.0	0.0
32	Phosphate (PO4) Total	0.11	0.0′		Trace
33	Dissolved	<b>&lt;0.</b> 1	0.0		
34	Nitrate (NO <sub>3</sub> )	1.8	0.5	2.5	0.6
35	Silica (SiO <sub>2</sub> ), colorimetric	25	21	21	21
36	Carbonate hardness as CaCO3	103	429	430	428
37	Non-carbonate hardness as CaCO3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
38	Total hardness as CaCO3	103	429	430	428
39	Sum of constituents	342	701	712	698
40	Per cent sodium	65	32	31	31
41	Saturation index at test temperature	0.0	+1,1	+1.1	+1.1
42	Stability index at test temperature	7.7	5.7	5.8	5.8
43	Redox .potential (mv)			4	
44	Hydrogen sulphide (H <sub>2</sub> S),		••••••••••	4	
45	Water level at sampling (ft)			30	14
				1	1
		·	I	1	·
	Remarks Drawdown		10	9	6

## CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF ARMY WATER SUPPLIES

(In parts per million)

SASKATCHEWAN

North we July 19/60	11	Raw w	West well ater		·····	]
July 19/60		Raw w	ater			-
July 19/60						
July 19/60		At pun	ар			
8:14	Aug, 21/61 11:16 6.6	Oct. 13/59 23:30 6 7	Jan. 15/60 10:23 6 7	Apr, 19/60 8:16 6.7	July 19/60 8:14 6.7	
26.0	22.9	27.5	25.5	24.5	26.0	
5 8.2 20 17	12 7.9 20 35	6 8.0 5 10	5 8.0 10 17	6 8.0 15 4	4 8.1 7 2	
	5.0 0.0	0.4 0.4				::
	817 113	471 50.8 803		722.5		
91.6 48.2 5.0 0.13	95.7 48.3 5.5 0.66	112 27.8 0.80 0.03	111 29.3 1.5 0.00	112 26.2 1.5 0.02	111 28.5 1.5 0.04 0.70	
0.40 0.01 0.12 Trace 0.05	0.17 0.11 0.19 0.01 0.0	0.31 0.11 0.0 0.0	0.10 0.06 0.0 0.0	0.50 0.04 0.0 0.0	0.70 0.15 0.0' 0.02	
96.5 6.3	92.9 6.1	6.5 2.1	6.9 2.4	6.5 2.2	2.3	
0.0 530 181	0.4 0.0 545 173	0.1 0.0 368 105	0.0 347 110	0.0 347 107	0.0 335 121	
12.9 0.0 0.08	10.5 0.39 <0.1	4.0 0.0 0.02	3.4 0.0	4.4 0.0 Trace	4.4 0.0 0.07	
1.8 22.5 425	<0.1 2.5 22 438	0.0 16 301	2,0 14 285	0.0 16 285	2.0 16.5 275	
0.0 425 722 32.5	0.0 438 721 31	92.6 394 455 3.4	112 397 450 3.6	109 394 446 3.5	116 391 458 3.3	
+1.3 5.6	+1.0 5.9	+1.1 5.8	+1.0 6.0	+1.0 6.0	+1.1 5.9	
15	18	••••		36	32	
		12	11	14		

## CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF ARMY WATER SUPPLIES

PROVINCE

1

# (In parts per million)

SASKATCHEWAN	(cont'd)
--------------	----------

ŧ

	Camp or establishment		CAMP DUNDURN,	near DUNDURN (cont	<b>'</b> d)
	Source(s)	Wells		Mixed wells	
No.		West well	Aerated	only - partly finished	water
		Raw water		parts, 120000	
	Sampling point	At pump		At treatment plant	
1	Date of sampling	Aug. 21/61	Jan. 15/60	Apr. 19/60	July 19/60
2	Storage period (days)	11:16	10:23	8:16	8:14
2	Test temperature <sup>0</sup> C	22.8	25 5	0./	6./
5	Oxygen consumed by KMnO.	4.5	2);)	24.0	20.0
6	Carbon dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> ), (calculated)	6	5.5	7	
7	рН	8.0	8.1	8.0	8.3
8	Colour	5	20	25	15
9	Turbidity	4	13	12	5
10	Suspended matter, dried at 105°C.	3.3		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
11	Suspended matter, ignited at 550°C	0.0	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
12	Institute on evaporation, dried at 105°C	51 2	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
14	Specific conductance, micrombos at 25 <sup>0</sup> C	771	807	·····	
15	Calcium (Ca)	118	103	96.5	11.6
16	Magnesium (Mg)	31.6	34.1	31.0	20.2
17	Iron (Fe) Total	1.9	3.3	3.8	1.6
18	Dissolved	0.17	0.00	0.02	0.15
19	Manganese (Mn) Total	0.57	0.10	0.40	0.60
20	Dissolved	0.40	0.10	0.12	
21	Aluminum (Al)	0.16	0.01	0.10	0,12
22	Copper (Cu)	0.01	0.0	Trace	0.0
23	Zinc(Zn),	0.0	0.0	0.08	0.0
24	Sodium (Na)	7.6	46.8	57.0	6.1
25	Ammonium (NH.)	2.3	4.2	3.7	2.4
27	Carbonate (CO.)	0.0		•••••••••••	••••••
28	Bicarbonate (HCO <sub>2</sub> )	361	640	429	0.0
29	Sulphate (SO <sub>4</sub> )	136	138	130	244 120
30	Chloride (Cl)	4.0	6.6	7.8	3.2
31	Fluoride (F)	0.25	0.0	0.0	0.0
32	Phosphate (PO <sub>4</sub> ) Total	0.58		Trace	0.05
33	Dissolved	<0.1			
34	Nitrate $(NO_3)$	0.3	1.5	0.2	1.8
32	Silica (SiO <sub>2</sub> ), colorimetric	17	18	18	17
27	Variouate hardness as $CaCO_3$	290	361	351	282
38	Total hardness as CaCO.	425	3/.0	22.9	118
30	Sum of constituents	42)	560	374	400
40	Per cent sodium	37		222	404
41	Saturation index at test temperature	+1.0		44 41 0	5.1 +1.2
42	Stability index at test temperature	6.0	5.0	-1.0 6.0	T1.)
43	Redox potential (mv)		2.2	0.0	2.1
44	Hydrogen sulphide (H <sub>2</sub> S)			••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
45	Water level at sampling (ft)	34		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
		5.		••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

Remarks

#### CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF ARMY WATER SUPPLIES

(In parts per million)

SASKATCHEWAN (cont'd)

Final camp area water including							
by-passed filtered water Finished water	Aerated only – Aerated and filtered – partly finished water						
At camp taps	At treatment plant						
Jan. 15/60 Feb. 15/60	Tuly 19/60	Apr. 19/60	Ian. 15/60	Aug. 21/61			
10:23 9:14	12:14	8:16	10:23	11:16			
25.4 25.2	6.7 26 1	6.7 24 2	25 4	8.8			
		2*1,2		4.8			
		4.5	4	6			
	8.5 7	8,2	8.2	8.0			
0 0	Ô	4	2	3			
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			2.5			
				0.0 5/3			
				52			
	710	882	896	790.5			
2.9 4.4	29.2	33.0	36.5	93•7 28.6			
0.02 0.07	0.17	1.6	0.63	1.5			
0.00 0.00	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.19			
0.05 0.05		0.29	0.20	0.46			
Trace 0.03	0.06	0.1	0.04	0.1			
0.0 Trace	0.0	Trace	0.0	0.0			
167 174	6.8	41.5	0.0 47.8	0.25			
0.7 1.4	0.7	3.7	3.8	2.7			
351 356	342	429	438	371			
113 116	120	130	137	136			
	4.1	7.8	6.4	4.5			
	0.05	Trace	U <b>.</b> U	0.25			
				<0.1			
16 16	17	0.4 19	1.5	0.7			
39.4 45.6	280	352	359	304.5			
	117	54.2	43.1	47.4			
489 504	460	406 554	402	352			
90 89	3.5	18	20	21			
+0.1 -0.3	+1.3	+1.3	+1.2	+0.9			
	<i>J•1</i>	<b></b>	2.8	6.2			
		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
*Aerated, filtered 900 gallons of							
and softened filtered water							
l passed per ho							

## CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF ARMY WATER SUPPLIES

PROVINCE

.

#### (In parts per million) SASKATCHEWAN (cont'd)

	Camp or establishment		CAMP DUNDUR	N, near DUNDURN (co	ont'd)
	Source(s)		Mixe	ed wells	
No.		Final	camp area water inclu	ling by-passed filtered	water*
			Finis	hed water	
	Sampling point		At ca	amp taps	
$\begin{array}{c}1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\22\\23\\4\\25\\6\\7\\8\\9\\0\\1\\2\\2\\2\\7\\8\\9\\0\\1\\2\\2\\3\\3\\4\\5\\3\\6\\7\\8\\9\\0\\1\\4\\2\\4\\4\\4\\4\\4\\4\\4\\4\\4\\4\\4\\4\\4\\4\\4\\4\\4$	Date of sampling . Storage period (days) Sampling temperature, °C. Test temperature, °C. Carbon dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> ), ( calculated) pH Colour . Turbidity . Suspended matter, dried at 105°C. Suspended matter, ignited at 550°C. Residue on evaporation, dried at 105°C. Specific conductance, micromhos at 25°C. Calcium (Ca) Magnesium (Mg) Iron (Fe) Total Dissolved Auminum (Al) Copper (Cu) Zinc (Zn) Sodium (Na) Potassium (K) Ammonium (NH <sub>4</sub> ) Carbonate (CO <sub>3</sub> ) Sulphate (SO <sub>4</sub> ) Chloride (Cl) Fluoride (F) Phosphate (PO <sub>4</sub> ) Total Dissolved Non-carbonate hardness as CaCO <sub>3</sub> Silica (SiO <sub>2</sub> ), (colorimetric Carbonate hardness as CaCO <sub>3</sub> Sum of constituents Per cent sodium Sulphate (SO <sub>4</sub> ) Sulpite (SO <sub>4</sub> ) Sulpite (SO <sub>4</sub> ) Sulpite (SO <sub>4</sub> ) Silica (SiO <sub>2</sub> ), (colorimetric Carbonate hardness as CaCO <sub>3</sub> Sun of constituents Per cent sodium Saturation index at test temperature Stability index at test temperature Stability index at test temperature Sulpite (F) Sulpite (F) Sulpite (F) Sulpite (SO <sub>4</sub> ) Sulpite (SO <sub>4</sub> )	Mar. 15/60 13:48 6.7 26.7 6 8.0 0 0 	Apr. 19/60 8:16 6.7 25.0 8 7.9 10 0 	$\begin{array}{c} \text{May 16/60} \\ 14:17 \\ 6.7 \\ 24.6 \\ \end{array} \\ \hline 7 \\ 7.9 \\ 5 \\ 0 \\ \hline 7 \\ 7.9 \\ 5 \\ 0 \\ \hline 764 \\ 20.6 \\ 35.9 \\ 0.06 \\ 0.01 \\ 0.30 \\ 0.20 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.20 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.20 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.20 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.20 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.20 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.20 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.20 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.20 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.21 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.21 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.20 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.20 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.20 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.20 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.20 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.20 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.20 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.20 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.20 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.20 \\ 0.0 \\ 0$	June 13/60 8:10 6.7  11 7.7 15 0  800 11.4 3.3 0.06 0.02 0.15 0.10 0.0 0.02 0.15 0.10 0.0 0.07 167 0.7 0.1 0.0 346 116 5.9 0.0 0.08  0.09  0.00 0.00
45 	Water level at sampling (ft)	••••••			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	Remarks	* Aerated, filtered a	and softened		

.

## CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF ARMY WATER SUPPLIES

(In parts per million)

SASKATCHEWAN (concl'd)

#### ALBERTA

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	CAMP WAINWRIGHT, near WAINWRIGHT				
	Mixed	Battl	e Rivet			
Fina	l camp area water inclu	ding by-passed filtered	water*	Raw	watet	No
	Finish	ed water				
	At ca	mp taps		At plan	t intake	
July 19/60 8:14 6.7 26.0 4 8.1 7 0.5 767 12.5 4.0 0.02 0.01 0.01 0.03 Trace 0.1 171 1.1 0.0 0.03 Trace 0.1 171 1.1 5.2 0.6 0.00 354 121 5.2 0.6 0.06 0.06 0.06 0.06 0.06 0.06 0.0	Aug. 16/60 8:27 6.7 23.4 6 8.0 5 0.8 812 12.7 3.2 0.06 0.03 0.10 0.10 0.10 0.10 0.10 0.10 0.10	Sept. 13/60 15:16 6.7 22.7 4.1 7 7.9 15 0 	Aug. 21/61 11:16 10 22.9 4.8 10 7.8 5 0 	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Oct. 20/59} \\ 36:83 \\ 3.6 \\ 21.8 \\ 9.4 \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\$	Feb. 9/60 $58:92$ $1.1$ $25.4$ $10$ $8.0$ $15$ $5$ $1.153$ $106$ $43.7$ $0.48$ $0.00$ $<0.05$ $0.00$ $0.22$ $Trace$ $0.05$ $100$ $8.6$ $0.0$ $655$ $128$ $8.8$ $0.2$ $0.0$ $655$ $128$ $8.8$ $0.2$ $0.0$ $645$ $735$ $32$ $+1.3$ $5.4$	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11

## CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF ARMY WATER SUPPLIES

(In parts per million)

### ALBERTA (cont'd)

	Camp or establishment		CAMP WAINWRIGH	T, near WAINWRIGHT	(cont'd)
	Source(s)		Betty Lake		Battle River and Betty Lake
No.					Camp area supply
			Raw water		Finished water
	Sampling point		At plant intake		At Camp taps
1 2	Date of sampling Storage period (days)	Oct. 20/59 36:83	Feb. 8/60 59:93	Aug. 21/61 24:30	Oct. 20/59 36:83
3	Sampling temperature, <sup>0</sup> C	3.6	3.4	20.0	
4	Aver consumed by KMpO	14 0	20.4	23.4	21.8
6	Carbon dioxide (CO <sub>4</sub> ), (calculated)	6	11	0,1	8,2
7	pH	8,0	7.9	8.5	9.4
8	Colour	10	15	20	0
9.	Turbidity	6	2	2	2
10	Suspended matter, dried at 105°C	••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••
12	Residue on evaporation, dried at 105°C.	••••••			•••••
13	Ignition loss at 550°C.			128	
14	Specific conductance, micromhos at 25° C	713	952	685	511.5
15	Calcium (Ca)	18.1	24.9	21.6	9.3
16	Magnesium (Mg)	53.0	71.4	46.8	14.5
1/	Iron (Fe) Total	0.11	0.05	0.16	0.00
10	Dissolved	0.00	0.00	Trace	0.00
20	Dissolved	0.00	0.05	0.00	
21	Aluminum (Al)	0.07	0.22	0.09	0.00
22	Copper (Cu)	0.0	Trace	Trace	Trace
23	Zinc (Zn)	0.0	0,0	0,0	0.0
24	Sodium (Na)	60.9	81.6	63.2	62.0
25	Potassium (K)	10.5	13.8	8.5	11.0
20	$\begin{bmatrix} \text{Ammonium} (\text{NH}_4) & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \text{Carbonate} (CO) \end{bmatrix}$	0.0		11 6	0.4
28	Bicarbonate (HCO.)	431-5	590	280	20.4
29	Sulphate (SO4)	39.6	48.7	41.4	68.0
30	Chloride (Cl)	8.9	11.5	7.3	59.2
31	Fluoride (F)	0.0	0.2	0.47	0.0
32	Phosphate (PO <sub>4</sub> ) Total	0.19	0.0	0.6	Trace
22	Dissolved			<b>40,1</b>	
24	Silica (SiO.) colorimetric	2.6	0.3	2.8	0.1
36	Carbonate hardness as CaCO	263	356	247	5.0 75.8
37	Non-carbonate hardness as CaCO <sub>3</sub>	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.0
38	Total hardness as CaCO3	263	356	247	82.8
39	Sum of constituents	407	548	405	273
40	Per cent sodium	32	32	35	58
41	Saturation index at test temperature	+0.3	+0.5	+0.8	+0.7
42	Redox potential (my)	/ •4	0.9	6.9	8.0
44	Hydrogen sulphide (H <sub>2</sub> S)			•••••••	
45	Water level at sampling (ft)		12	12	
		1			1

Remarks

PROVINCE

#### CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF ARMY WATER SUPPLIES

(In parts per million)

ALBERTA (concl'd)

#### BRITISH COLUMBIA

CAMP WAINWRIGHT, near WAINWRIGHT

FORT NELSON - Mileage 295, ALASKA HIGHWAY

Battle River and Betty Lake

Well

Camp area supply

Camp area supply		-				No
Finished water			Rav	w water		
At plant intake	At Camp taps	At well j	pump	At plant	At well pump	
Feb 9/60	Aug. 21/61	Oct. 8/59*	Nov 13/59	Nov. 14/59	Ian. 8/60	1,
59.02	24.20	25.25	20.73	19.72	17.25	12
2 2	15.6	7.8	67	5.0	5.6	3
25 4	23 4	25.2	26.0	25.9	25.6	4
2).4	23.4	23.2	20.0	25.5	29.0	5
8	2.0	11	22	12	70	6
ลัก	10.1	7.8	7.5	7.8	7.0	7
15	5	5	10	10	0	8
2	0.5	High**	High**	High**	High **	9
			8			10
						11
	267					12
	42.0					13
948	441	1,181	1,218	1,188	1,337	14
24.7	2.1	223	225	221	250	15
71.6	8.6	37.6	50.9	46.1	52.0	16
0.05	0.05	57	48	46	55	17
0.00	Trace	0.00	Trace	0.02	0.00	18
<0.05	0.00	0.41	0.50	0.40	0.80	19
0.00	0.00	0.29	Trace	Trace	0.20	20
0.22	0.08	0,17	0.08	0.05	0.14	21
Trace	Trace					22
0.0	0.0					23
80.0	68.2	5.5	5.7	5.6	6.3	24
13.4	9.6	2.1	2.2	2.2	2.6	25
	. 0.3					26
0.0	13.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	27
590	121	454	483	471	529	28
48.2	57.5	330	324	319	370	29
10.6	11.5	2.8	0.8	1.2	1.8	30
0.2	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	31
0.0	<0.1		0.04			32
	<0.1					33
0.3	0.5	0.8	1.8	0.2	5.0	34
5.7	15	7.0	8,1	7.7	/.8	33
356	40.7	373	396	386	434	20
0.0	0.0	339	3/3	304	403	2/
356	40.7	712	769	/40	.83/	20
546	246	833	856	835	956	22
32	73.5	1.6	1.6	1.0	1.0	40
+0.6	+1.0	+1.2	+0.9	+1.2	+0.5	41
6.8	8.1	5.4	>./	2.4	0.0	44
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
12	•					45
		*After 24 hours pu	imping			

#### CREMICAL ANALYSES OF ARMY WATER SUPPLIES

PROVINCE

X

#### (In parts per million) BRITISH COLUMBIA (cont'd)

	Camp or establishment	FORT	NELSON - Mileage	295, Alaska Highway (c	cont'd)
No.	Source(s)		We	21	
			Raw w	ater	
	Sampling point	At plant	······································	At well pump	
$\begin{array}{c}1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\9\\20\\1\\22\\23\\24\\5\\27\\28\\9\\31\\2\\33\\45\\37\\8\\9\\40\\1\\42\\4\\44\\44\\44\\44\\44\\44\\44\\44\\44\\44\\44\\4$	Date of sampling Storage period (days) Sampling temperature, °C. Test temperature, °C. Carbon dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> ),(calculated) pH Colour Turbidity Suspended matter, dried at 105°C. Suspended matter, ignited at 550°C. Residue on evaporation, dried at 105°C. Ignition loss at 550°C. Specific conductance, micromhos at 25°C. Calcium (Ca) Magnesium (Mg) Iron (Fe) Total Dissolved Manganese (Mn) Total Dissolved Aluminum (Al) Copper (Cu) Zinc (Zn) Sodium'(Na) Potassium(K). Ammonium (NH <sub>4</sub> ). Carbonate (HCO <sub>3</sub> ). Sulphate (SO <sub>4</sub> ) Chloride (CI) Fluoride (F) Phosphate (PO <sub>4</sub> ) Total Dissolved Nitrate (NO <sub>3</sub> ) Silica (SiO <sub>2</sub> ), colorimetric Carbonate hardness as CaCO <sub>3</sub> Silica (SiO <sub>2</sub> ), colorimetric Carbonate hardness as CaCO <sub>3</sub> Sulphate (SO <sub>4</sub> ) Sulphate (SO <sub>4</sub> ) Silica (SiO <sub>2</sub> ), colorimetric Carbonate hardness as CaCO <sub>3</sub> Silica (SiO <sub>2</sub> ), colorimetric Carbonate hardness as CaCO <sub>3</sub> Sun of constituents. Per cent sodium Saturation index at test temperature Stability index at test temperature Stability index at test temperature Redox potential (my) Hydrogen sulphide (H <sub>2</sub> S)	Jan 9/60 16:24 6.7 25.5 40 7.3 0 H1gh†  1,323 247 49.0 52 0.80 0.2  6.2 2.6 0.0 518 372 1.0  3.2 6.9 425 392 817 994.5 1.6 +0.7 5.9	Feb. 12/60 60:94 5.6 25.2 42 7.3 10 Hight  1,378 269 44.5 High Trace 0.80 0.20 0.02 Trace 0.0 6.1 2.4  0.0 546 392 0.9 0.1 0.2  546 392 0.9 0.1 0.2  546 392 0.9 0.1 0.2  546 392 0.9 0.1 0.2  546 392 0.9 0.1 0.2  546 392 0.9 0.1 0.2  546 392 0.9 0.1 0.2  546 392 0.9 0.1 0.2  546 392 0.9 0.1 0.2  546 392 0.9 0.1 0.2  546 392 0.9 0.1 0.2 0.1 0.2  546 392 0.9 0.1 0.2 0.1 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5	Oct. $16/61$ 22:29 5.6 21.7 20.0 115 7.0 5 High†  1,251 120 1,646 310 73.3 67 0.22 0.94 0.31 0.22 0.03 <0.11 <0.11 6.0 8.8 541 535 1.076 1.265 1.4 +0.6 5.8	Nov. $7/61$ 13:19 5.0 23.1 4.0 31 7.5 5 Hight  1,191 96.0 1,419 293 57.9 63 0.00 0.63 0.43 0.03 0.0 0.0 6.9 2.6  0.0 597 455 0.2 0.68 <0.1 <0.1 0.68 <0.1 <0.1 0.68 <0.1 <0.1 1.5 +1.0 5.5 
44 45	Hydrogen sulphide (H <sub>2</sub> S), Water level at sampling (ft),				
	Remarks	† Due to iron oxides			1

## CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF ARMY WATER SUPPLIES

(In parts per million)

BRITISH COLUMBIA (cont'd)

			ell		
		Finish	ed water		
Överflow	from softener		At	plant tap	
Oct. 8/59 25:35 23.9 25.0	Nov. 14/59 19:71 23.3 25.7	Jan. 9/60 16:24 24.4 25.5	Feb. 12/60 60:94 24.4 25.0	Oct. 16/61 22:29 14.4 26.5	Nov, 7/61 13 15.0 23.7
1 7.9 5 0	3 7.0 5	10.0 0	1 7.3 5 2	7.5	7.7
750 106 30.7 1.5 0.00 0.14 0.02 0.38	69I 97.7 25.7 2.7 Trace 0.02 0.00	899 171 0.0 0.69 0.00 0.00	823.5 128 26.1 0.12 0.01 <b>&lt;</b> 0.05 0.00 0.05	1,304 0.24 0,10	1,104 0.31 0.05
6.3 2.1	6.6 2.3	7.0 2.7	Trace 0.0 6.7 2.4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
0.0 61.0 338 8.0 0.0	0.0 21.8 321 2.9	30.4 0.0 364 3.9 0.1	0.0 12.9 404 4.4 0.2 0.0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
0.1 2.0 50.0 341 391 523 3.3 +0.1	0.1 1.8 17.9 331 349 469 3.9 +1.3	0.4 4.4 53.6 374 428 585 3.4 +2.4	2.0 2.6 10.6 416 427 583 3.3 -1.1	782	636
7.7 	6.4	5,2	9.3		

## CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF ARMY WATER SUPPLIES

PROVINCE

T

### (In parts per million) BRITISH COLUMBIA (cont'd)

	Camp or establishment	FC	ORT NELSON - Mileage	295, Alaska Highway	(concl'd)
No.	Source(s)		Muskw	a River	
			Raw w	ater	
	Sampling point	800 ft south of Muskwa Bridge	100 ft south of Muskwa Bridge		4
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 1 12 13 14 5 6 17 18 19 20 1 22 3 4 5 26 7 8 9 10 1 12 13 14 5 16 17 18 19 20 1 22 3 24 5 26 27 28 9 30 1 32 3 3 3 3 5 3 6 3 7 8 8 9 40 4 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Date of sampling Storage period (days). Sampling temperature, <sup>0</sup> C. Test temperature, <sup>0</sup> C. Oxygen consumed by KMnO <sub>4</sub> Carbon dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> ), (calculated) pH Colour Turbidity Suspended matter, dried at 105 <sup>0</sup> C. Suspended matter, ignited at 550 <sup>0</sup> C. Residue on evaporation, dried at 105 <sup>0</sup> C. Specific conductance, micromhos at 25 <sup>0</sup> C. Calcium (Ca) Magnesium (Mg) Iron (Fe) Total Dissolved Manganese (Mn) Total Dissolved Sodium (Na) Potassium (K) Ammonium (KH <sub>4</sub> ) Carbonate (CO <sub>2</sub> ) Bicarbonate (HCO <sub>3</sub> ) Sulphate (SO <sub>4</sub> ) Chloride (C1) Fluoride (C1) Silica (SIO <sub>2</sub> ), colorimetric Carbonate hardness as CaCO <sub>3</sub> Non-carbonate hardness as CaCO <sub>3</sub> Sum of constituents Per cent sodium Saturation index at test temperature Sothin index at test temperature	Nov. 16/59 17:70 0.6 25.9 8.4 3.5 8.0 25 4 	Jan, 11/60 10:22 1.1 24.8 6 7.8 0 5 	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Oct. 16/61}\\ 22:29\\ 0.0\\ 21.6\\ 3.0\\ 2\\ 8.2\\ 5\\ 10\\ 12.9\\ 8.2\\ 256\\ 26.4\\ 393\\ 55.1\\ 16.4\\ 0.32\\ 0.09\\ 0.05\\ 0.00\\ 0.11\\ 16.4\\ 0.32\\ 0.09\\ 0.05\\ 0.00\\ 0.11\\ 16.4\\ 0.32\\ 0.09\\ 0.05\\ 0.00\\ 0.11\\ 0.0\\ 183\\ 60.9\\ 1.5\\ 0.15\\ <0.1\\ <0.0\\ 183\\ 60.9\\ 1.5\\ 0.15\\ <0.1\\ <0.0\\ 183\\ 60.9\\ 1.5\\ 0.15\\ <0.1\\ <0.0\\ 183\\ 60.9\\ 1.5\\ 0.15\\ <0.1\\ <0.0\\ 183\\ 60.9\\ 1.5\\ 0.15\\ <0.1\\ <0.0\\ 183\\ 60.9\\ 1.5\\ 0.15\\ <0.1\\ <0.0\\ 2.9\\ 150\\ 55\\ 205\\ 231\\ 3.2\\ +0.6\\ \end{array}$	Nov. 7/61 13:19 0.0 23.0 2.4 2.5 8.2 5 14 11.1 6.8 324 44.8 485.5 70.7 21.5 0.31 0.00 0.03  0.02 0.0 0.0 0.0 4.1 0.9 0.1 0.0 233 77.1 0.9 0.22 $\lt$ 0.1 $\lt$ 0.9 0.22 $\lt$ 0.1 $\lor$ 0.1 0.6 3.8 191 73.8 265 295 3.2 +0.5 3.2
42 43 44 45	Stability index at test temperature Redox potential (mv) Hydrogen sulphide (H <sub>2</sub> S) Water level at sampling (ft)	6.6 	6.8  Low	7.0 Low	6.4 Low

Remarks

### CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF ARMY WATER SUPPLIES

(In parts per million)

BRITISH COLUMBIA (concl'd)

1-1-----

	·	CAMP CHILLIWA	ACK, near CHILLIWACE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	Vedder River		Wel	l, Wet Bridging Area		No.
		Raw and fin	ished water			
	At pumphouse			At well		
Oct. 13/59 23:30 8.9 27.4 4.4 2 7.4 10 2 40.8 7.6 70.8 10.1 1.0 0.13 0.00  Trace 0.04 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	Jan. 28/60 48:98 4.4 27.1 3 7.4 5 0.8 	Aug. 22/61 27:35 15.6 22.3 0.3 8 6.8 0 0.8 64.4 17.2 69.1 9.5 1.1 0.00 0.02 0.0 23.1 5.1 28.2 38.6 7.0 -2.2 11 4.17	Oct. 13/59 23:30 10.0 27.4 11 6.8 0 6 13.3 13.0 74.0 19.6 99.7 11.4 3.2 6.8 1.6 0.19 Trace 0.0 0.05 1.7 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.05 1.7 0.5 0.0 0.0 43.6 6.6 3.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 43.6 6.6 3.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 15 35.8 5.8 5.8 41.6 64.9 7.5 -1.9 11	Jan. 28/60 48:98 10.0 27.1 4 7.2 5 14 	Aug. 22/61 27:35 12.8 22.3 1.0 25 6.7 20 58 21.4 16.6 103 12.0 123 16.9 3.9 7.2 0.00 0.14 0.11 0.07 0.0 1.0 2.1 0.7 0.0 0.0 1.0 2.1 1.4 1.4 1.4 0.04 $\lt$ 0.1 $\checkmark$ 0.1 1.3 14 58.1 0.0 58.1 77.7 7.0 -1.7 10 	$\begin{array}{c} 1\\ 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 117\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ 25\\ 26\\ 27\\ 28\\ 29\\ 30\\ 31\\ 32\\ 34\\ 35\\ 36\\ 31\\ 32\\ 35\\ 36\\ 36\\ 36\\ 35\\ 36\\ 36\\ 36\\ 36\\ 36\\ 36\\ 36\\ 36\\ 36\\ 36$

## CREMICAL ANALYSES OF ARMY WATER SUPPLIES

7

PROVINCE

#### (In parts per million) YUKON TERRITORY

	Camp or establishment		CAMP TAKINI, n	ear WHITEHORSE	
No.	Source(s)		McIntyr	e Creek	
			Raw water		Finished water
	Sampling point		At pump intake		At tap in system
$1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 2 \\ 11 \\ 11 \\ 11 \\ 11 \\ 11 $	Date of sampling . Storage period (days) Sampling temperature, °C. Test temperature, °C. Oxygen consumed by KMnO <sub>4</sub> Carbon dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> ), (calculated) pH Colour Turbidity Suspended matter, dried at 105°C Suspended matter, ignited at 550°C. Residue on evaporation, dried at 105°C Specific conductance, micromhos at 25°C. Calcium (Ca) Magnesium (Mg) Iron (Fe) Total Dissolved Mangane se (Mn) Total Sodium (Na) Potassi um (K) Ammonium (NH <sub>4</sub> ) Carbonate (HCO <sub>3</sub> ) Sulphate (SO <sub>4</sub> ) Chloride (Cl) Fluoride (F) Phosphate (PO <sub>4</sub> ) Total Dissolved Nitrate (NO <sub>3</sub> ) Silica (SiO <sub>2</sub> ), colorimetric Carbonate hardness as CaCO <sub>3</sub> Non-carbonate hardness as CaCO <sub>3</sub> Non-carbonate hardness as CaCO <sub>3</sub> Sum of constituents Per cent sodium (my Saturation index at test temperature Stability index at test temperature Redox potential (my) Hydrogen sulphide (H <sub>2</sub> S)	Oct. 27/59 34:76 1.7 22.9 7.9 10 1 	Feb. 8/60 62:97 0.28 25.9 25.9 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Oct. 12/61} \\ 26:33 \\ 5.0 \\ 21.5 \\ 4.6 \\ 8 \\ 7.5 \\ 15 \\ 3 \\ 14.5 \\ 8.0 \\ 165 \\ 32.0 \\ 247 \\ 36.4 \\ 8.9 \\ 0.17 \\ \text{Trace} \\ 0.02 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.0$	Oct. 27/59 34:76 2.2 22.9 7.8 2 8.1 10 1 

Remarks

#### CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF ARMY WATER SUPFLIES

(In parts per million)

YUKON TERRITORY (concl'd)

CAMP TAKINI, near WHITEHORSE, (concl'd)	 ļ
McIntyre Creek	No.
Finished water	
At riser. to reservoir	
Feb. 8/60 62:97 1.1	1 2 3
3 7.8	5678
3 	9 10 11
245 34.7	13 14 15
8.3 0.18 0.00 <0.05	10 17 18 19
0.00 0.03 0.0 0.0	20
3.1 0.9	24 25 26 27
144 10.2 0.8	28 29 30 31
0.1 0.2 	32
118 3.1 121	30
5.2 0.0 7.8	4
Medium to low	4

#### SUMMARY

The data of this report supplement those given in Water Survey Report No. 12 on water quality at 15 army establishments across Canada. These establishments are those where water supplies are classed as unsatisfactory or borderline, and where problems in use are encountered. This survey was continued at these locations mainly to obtain information to assist in future treatment to improve quality and to overcome problems in use.

A number of these camp waters show wide variations in quality either because of changing source, variability of source, changing treatment, or inadequate or variable treatment.

Few of the locations are supplied with a water meeting all chemical quality requirements for a municipal supply. A brief summary of some of the variables and a general discussion of the quality of water at each establishment is presented but, because of the many factors causing these variations including mixed supplies, varying initial quality, limited sampling frequency, variable treatment, etc. it is not feasible to attempt an interpretation of these data in detail.

It is, however, believed that sufficient data are now available on these waters to permit more detailed assessment of their role in problems of use at each location, and in future treatment requirements.

Increasing deterioration, especially increased phosphate content at some locations is noted. In some cases this resulted from the addition of phosphate to the wells in an attempt to control iron precipitation and/or corrosion.

Although this survey has been discontinued, it is believed advisable to continue periodic checks on those well sources which in 1961 appeared to be showing some deterioration in quality.

#### APPENDIX A

#### Index to Military Establishments

	Data Page*	Analysis Page
New Brunswick		
Camp Gagetown	8 8	10 15
Quebec		
Camp Bouchard Ste Foy Camp Valcartier	8 8 8	16 18 19
Ontario		
Camp Borden Camp Hagersville Camp Petawawa	8 8 8	22 25 26
Manitoba		
Fort Churchill Camp Shilo	8 8	31 36
Saskatchewan		
Camp Dundum	8	40
Alberta		
Camp Wainwright	8	45
British Columbia		
Camp Chilliwack Fort Nelson (Mile 295, Alaska Highway)	8 8	51 47
Yukon Territory		
Camp Takhini, near Whitehorse	8	52

\* See Table I, page 8

------

1

#### APPENDIX B

#### List of Published Water Survey Reports

Mines Branch Report No. 819, "Industrial Waters of Canada", a report on investigations covering the period 1934 to 1943, was the last general report published and is now out of print. Since then the Branch has published various reports on chemical quality, specific to certain drainage areas or river basins, as follows:

Report No. 833	- Industrial Water Resources of Canada - Water Survey Report No. 1, The Aim, Scope and Method of Investigations, by J.F.J. Thomas, 1953 (\$1.00)
Report No. 834	- Industrial Water Resources of Canada - Water Survey Report No. 2, Chemical Quality of Surface and Civic Water Supplies, Ottawa River Drainage Basin, 1947-1948, by J.F.J. Thomas, 1952 (\$1.00)
Report No. 837	- Industrial Water Resources of Canada – Water Survey Report No. 3, Upper St. Lawrence River – Central Great Lakes Drainage Basin, by J.F.J. Thomas, 1954 (\$2.00)
Report No. 838	- Industrial Water Resources of Canada – Water Survey Report No. 4, Columbia River Drainage Basin, 1949-1950, by J.F.J. Thomas, 1953 (\$1.00)
Report No. 839	- Industrial Water Resources of Canada - Water Survey Report No. 5, Skeena River, Vancouver Island, and Coastal Areas of British Columbia, 1949-1951, by J.F.J. Thomas, 1953 (\$1.00)
Report No. 842	- Industrial Water Resources of Canada – Water Survey Report No. 6, Fraser River Drainage Basin, 1950-1951, by J.F.J. Thomas, 1954 (\$1.00)
Report No. 849	- Industrial Water Resources of Canada - Water Survey Report No. 7, Saskatchewan River Drainage Basin, 1951-1952, by J.F.J. Thomas, 1956 (\$1.00)
Report No. 856	- Industrial Water Resources of Canada – Water Survey Report No. 8, Mackenzie River and Yukon River Drainage Basins, 1952-1953, by J.F.J. Thomas, 1957 (\$1.30)
Report No. 858	- Industrial Water Resources of Canada - Water Survey Report No. 9, Churchill River and Mississippi River Drainage Basins, 1952-1954, by J.F.J. Thomas, 1958, (75 cents)
Report No. 861	- Industrial Water Resources of Canada – Water Survey Report No. 10, Nelson River Drainage Basin in Canada, 1953-1956, by J.F.J. Thomas, 1959 (\$1.30)
Report No. 864	- Industrial Water Resources of Canada – Water Survey Report No. 11, The Atlantic Provinces, and The Saint John River Drainage Basin in Canada, 1954-1956, by J.F.J. Thomas, 1959 (\$1.65)
Report No. 865	- Industrial Water Resources of Canada - Water Survey Report No. 12, Water Quality at Some Canadian Military Establishments, 1956-1957, by J.F.J. Thomas, 1959 (\$1.65)
Report No. 869	- Industrial Water Resources of Canada – Water Survey Report No. 13, The Lower St. Lawrence River Drainage Basin in Canada, 1955-1960, by J.F.J. Thomas, 1962 (\$2.50)
Report No. 870	- Industrial Water Resources of Canada - Water Survey Report No. 14, The Upper Great Lakes Drainage Basin in Canada, 1957-1962, by J.F.J. Thomas (in preparation)
Memorandum	
Series No. 132	- Interim Report on Hardness of Major Canadian Water Supplies, by J.F.J. Thomas, 1956 (35 cents)

Any of the above mentioned publications are obtainable from The Queen's Printer, Ottawa or the Publications Distribution Office, Department of Mines and Technical Surveys, Ottawa, Ontario. 622(21(06) 872,c.2 C212

Canada mines branch monograph 872, industrial water resources, no. 12, supplement, 1963, c. 2.

LOWE-MARTIN CO.- 67-4026

.