CANADA DEPARTMENT OF MINES Hon. Martin Burrell, Minister; R. G. McConnell, Deputy Minister

45662

MINES BRANCH Eugene Haanel, Ph.D., Director

BULLETIN No. 23

# Analyses of Canadian Fuels

IN FIVE PARTS

PART II QUEBEC AND ONTARIO

COMPILED BY Edgar Stansfield, M.Sc., and J. H. H. Nicolls, M.Sc.



MINES BRANCH LIBRARY

OTTAWA J. dz LABROQUERIE TACHÉ PRINTER TO THE KING'S MOST EXCELLENT MAJESTY 1918

No. 480

622106

### EXPLANATORY NOTES.

The samples of fuel from Quebec and Ontario collected previous to 1910 were analysed at McGill University by the staff then engaged in a special "Investigation of the Coals of Canada." Early in 1910, however, this work was transferred to the Division of Fuels and Fuel Testing, Mines Branch, Department of Mines, Ottawa; and all subsequent samples have been tested there.

The expressions "anal." and "calc." at the head of any column indicate whether the figures recorded were obtained directly by analysis, or by calculation. The usual practice was to analyse the fuels after air-drying, although, in some cases, determinations were made on samples either in the condition received, or after being completely dried.

Figures in columns "R" refer to fuels as received; in columns "AD" to air-dried fuels; and in columns "D" to those dried at 105°C.

In making the determinations, the necessary calculations were made to give one more significant figure than is reported. All deduced values were calculated before the rounding-off process took place.

A "Commercial" sample of any grade of fuel is one representative of the corresponding product as shipped from any mine.

The "Mine" and "Prospect" samples were collected by technical officers of either the Federal or Provincial governments; the former term being applied to those procured from deposits already under development. "Prospect" samples are apt to be weathered, and may therefore only give an indication of the composition of the main body of the deposit.

An account of the methods employed for the distillation of petroleum and its products is to be found in the appendix to Part IV of this report.

# CONTENTS.

-

	PAGE.
EXPLANATORY NOTES	2
QUEBEC PEAT BOGS—	
Bog at Les Bergeronnes, Saguenay county	4
Cacouna Dog, remiscouata county	4
Leparc bog, near Cacouna, Temiscouata county	4
Rivière-du-Loup bog, Temiscouata county	4
Rivière Ouelle bog, Kamouraska county	5
Pont Rouge bog, Portneuf county	6
Lanoraie bog, Joliette and Berthier counties	6
L'Assomption bog, near L'Epiphanie, L'Assomption county,	6
St. Hyacinthe bog, St. Hyacinthe and Bagot counties	7 7
Canrobert bog. Rouville county	7
Industrial Peat Co., Ltd., Farnham	8
Farnham bog, Iberville and Missisquoi counties	8
Girard bog, St. John's county	9
Napierville bog, Napierville county St. Isidore bog, La Prairie, Chateauguay and Napierville counties	10
St. Isidore bog, La Prairie, Chateauguay and Napierville counties	10
Holton bog, Chateauguay, Napierville and Huntingdon counties	10
Large Tea Field bog, near Huntingdon, Huntingdon county	10
Small Tea Field bog, near Huntingdon, Huntingdon county	10
	_
ONTARIO PEAT BOGS—	
Government bog, Alfred, Prescott county	11
Moose Creek bog, Stormont county	11
Richmond bog, Carleton county	12
Meath bog, Renfrew county	12
Westmeath bog, Renfrew county	12
Stoco bog, Hastings county	13
Manilla bog, near Mariposa, Victoria county	13
Sundarland hog mean maniposa, victoria county	13
Sunderland bog, Ontario county	13
Marsh Hill bog, Ontario county	15
Amaranth bog, near Crombie, Dufferin county	16
Luther bog, near Grand Valley. Dufferin and Wellington counties	16
Westover bog, Wentworth county	
Cargill bog, Bruce county	
Fort Frances bog, Rainy River county	10
Bogs on T. & N. O. Ry. south of Cochrane	17
MISCELLANEOUS SAMPLES—	
Coal from Albany River, north of Lake Superior	
Briquettes made from organic city refuse	
Typical anthracite as sold in Ontario	18
Oil shale from Kettle Point, Lambton county	
Oil shale from Alvinston, Lambton county	21
Oil shale from Shetland, Lambton county	22
Oil from Russell county	22
Oil from Flesherton, Grey county	23
Natural gas from Plantagenet township, Prescott county	23
Natural gas from near Vankleek Hill, Prescott county	23
Typical commercial gasolines as sold to the Canadian Government	24

41638 - 2

.

3

•

Description.	Peat from Les Bergeron- nes, Saguenay county.	Cacouna bog,* Temiscouata county.	Leparc bog, near Cacouna,* Temiscouata county.	Rivière-	du-Loup	bog,† Ten	niscouata	county.	
Sample No Moisture condition (see note, p. 2) Loss on air-drying%	81 D	208 D	207 D	209 D	210 D	211 D	212 D	213 D	
Proximate analysis:	$3 \cdot 1$ 71 · 5 25 · 4	6.0 65.7 28.3	2 · 7 69 · 5 27 · 8	2.8 69.2 28.0	2·1 69·3 28·6	1.9 70.5 27.6	2 · 1 69 · 2 28 · 7	2·8 67·8 29·4	
Carbon       %         Hydrogen       %         Ash       %         Sulphur       %         Nitrogen       %         Oxygen       %	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.6	0.9	1.0	1.0	0.8	0.9	0.9	
Calorife value:— Calories per gram, gross B. Th. U. per lb., gross Fuel ratio Carbon-Hydrogen ratio	4,630 8,330 0,35	5,290 9,520 0,43	5,000 9,000 0.40	5,060 9,110 0·40	5,040 9,070 0·41	5.000 9,000 0·39	4,960 8,930 0·41	5,020 9,030 0·43	
Kind of sample Taken by									
Date of sampling Remarks		*Bog traversed	by Canadian Go by Temiscouata	vernment railway.	railway.				

.

Description.	Rivière	-du-Lou	couata	Rivière Ouelle bog,† Kam- ouraska county.			
Sample No Moisture condition (see note p. 2). Loss on air-drying%	D	215 D	216 D	217 D	218 D	219 D	220 D
Proximate analysis:	2.9 68.8 28.3	2·3 70·0 27·7	3.9 67.1 29.0	67·2 28·6	68.6 28.6	67.9 28.8	67.6 28.9
Carbon	1.0	0.9	····i 1 · 1	····i 1 · 1	····i·i	1.1	1.1
Calorific value:	9,060 0·41	8,910 0+40	9,180 0-43	9,650 0·43	8,930 0·42	0.42	9,280 0·43
Kind of sample Taken by Date of sampling Remarks	A. Anr Summe *Bog ti	ep, Min er of 19: raversed	12. 1 by Te	miscoua	nta rail Govern	way. iment ra	ailway.

Description.	Pont Rouge bog, Portneuf county.	Lanoraie bog,* Joliette and Berthier counties.			near L'Epiphanie,			
Sample No Moisture condition (see note, p. 2) Loss on air-drying% Proximate analysis:—		204 D	205 D	206 D	524 D	525 D	526 D	
Moisture	2.8 66.7 30.5	9·2 64·4 26·4	66.4	65•0 26•3	66-1 28-7	67 · 6 28 · 9	66.9 28.3	
Hydrogen	0·2 1·6	2.0	2.2	2.0	0·1 1·6	0·1 1·6	0·1 1·7	
Calories per gram, gross B. Th. U. per lb., gross Fuel ratio Carbon-Hydrogen ratio	0.46	4,940 8,900 0·41	9,220	8,810	9,660	9,710	5,370 9,670 0.42	
Kind of sample Taken by Date of sampling Remarks	Prospect. A. Anrep, Summer of 1917.	Summe *Bog t	r of 19 raverse idian P	12 d by	Summe	r of 19	14.	

Quebec Peat Bogs.

· Description.	St. Hya bog, S acinth Bay coun	t. Hy- e and got	Canrobert bog, Rouville county.			
Sample No Moisture condition (see note, p. 2) Loss on air-drying% Proximate analysis:—	D	203 D	937 D	938 D	939 D	940 D
Moisture	$     \begin{array}{r}       6 \cdot 6 \\       62 \cdot 9 \\       30 \cdot 5     \end{array} $	31.0	$68 \cdot 6$ $28 \cdot 4$	66 · 0 30 · 2	66-6 29-3	8·4 63·3 28·3
Carbon		••••	••••	•••••	• • • • • •	••••
Calories per gram, gross B. Th. U. per lb., gross Fuel ratio Carbon-Hydrogen ratio	8,800 0·49	8,940 0·49	5,310 9,560 0·41	9,620 0·46	9,600 0·44	9,320 0·45
Kind of sample Taken by Date of sampling Remarks	A. Anr	ep. M: er of	ines Bra Summe		16.	

# 41638-3

Description.	Indus- trial Peat Co.,Ltd., Farn- ham.	Farnham bog, Iberville and Missisquoi counties.						
Sample No	114	929	930	931	932	933	934	935
Moisture condition (see note, p. 2) Loss on air-drying% Proximate analysis:—	D	D	D	D 	D 	D	D	D
Moisture	28.9	4.7 64.7 30.6	65.9 29.5	30 · 2	4.4 66.1 29.5	5·2 66·6 28·2	67 · 2 28 · 2	66 · 1 29 · 6
Hydrogen         %           Ash         %           Sulphur         %           Nitrogen         %           Oxygen         %	· · · · · · · · · · · ·		 0·2	····· 0·2			····· 0·2	. <b>.</b>
Calorific value: Calories per gram, gross B. Th. U. per lb., gross Fuel ratio Carbon-Hydrogen ratio.	9,770 0·44	5,340 9,620 0·47		5,350 9,630 0·47	5,420 9,760 0·45	9,720	5,540 9,970 0·42	
Kind of sample Taken by Date of sampling Remarks	cial. Opera- tors of bog.	-	ep, Min	es Bran 16.	ch.			

Quebec Peat Bogs.

Description.		Gir	rard bo	g, St. John's county.				
Sample No.	1170	1171	1172	1173	1174	1175	1176	
Moisture condition (see note, p. 2). Loss on air-drying		D 	D 	D 	D 	D 	D 	
Moisture	32.2	$\begin{array}{c} 64 \cdot 0 \\ 30 \cdot 2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 61 \cdot 1 \\ 32 \cdot 7 \end{array}$	53.9 27.5	$58 \cdot 3$ $31 \cdot 4$	61 · 2 29 · 3	62·1 29·9	
Carbon	 0.4 1.6	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 0·6	 0.6	 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 0.6	
Oxygen	5,270 9,480 0·51	9,500		8,150		5,050 9,090 0·48	9,430	
Kind of sample Taken by Date of sampling Remarks	A. Ann	ep, Mir	nes Brar 17.	nch.				

 $41638 - 3\frac{1}{2}$ 

Description.			St.Isidorebog, La Prairie, Chateauguay and Napierville counties.	Prairie, Chateauguay, eauguay Napierville and and ierville Huntingdon		teauguay, Large Tea Field pierville bog; and near Huntingdon, ntingdon Huntingdon		Small Tea Field bog; near Huntingdon, Huntingdon county.	
Sample No Moisture condition (see note, p. 2) Loss on air-drying	941 D	942 D	943 D	528 D	527 D	198 D	199 D	200 D	201 D
Proximate analysis:	$     \begin{array}{r}             11 \cdot 4 \\             61 \cdot 1 \\             27 \cdot 5         \end{array}     $	11 · 4 60 · 1 28 · 5	3.7 66.6 29.7	61.6 31.6	13·4 59·3 27·3	5.6 65.2 29.2	5.0 65.8 29.2	4.7 64.9 30.4	8 · 1 64 · 2 27 · 7
Ash	0·4 2·4	$\begin{array}{c} 0\cdot 4\\ 2\cdot 1\end{array}$	0.4 1.9	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \cdot 4 \\ 2 \cdot 0 \end{array}$	1 · 2 2 · 5	1.6	2.0		2.0
Calorific value: Calories per gram, gross B. Th. U. per lb., gross Fuel ratio Carbon-Hydrogen ratio	4,670 8,400 0.45	4,720 8,490 0·47	5,210 9,380 0.45	4,960 8,920 0·51	4,740 8,530 0·46	5,160 9,290 0·45	5,290 9,530 0+44	4,970 8,940 0·47	5,310 9,550 0·43
Kind of sample Taken by Date of sampling	A. Anrep.	, Mines B of 1916	ranch.	Summer of	1914	Summer	of 1912.		-
Remarks	*Bog trav	versed by	Grand T	`runk railway.					

\_\_\_\_

Description.	Governme Alfr Prescott		Moose Creek bog, Stormont county.				
Sample No	74	562	659	660	661	662	663
Moisture condition (see note, p. 2)	D	D	D	D	D	D	D
Loss on air-drying %		D		D		D	D
Proximate analysis:	1					1	
Moisture%						[	
Ash%	6.0	5.4	9.7	10.8	11.9	9.8	9.9
Volatile matter%		64.4	60.7	59.6	61.5	60.9	60.7
Fixed carbon%	30.9	30-2	29.6	29.6	26.6	29.3	29.4
Ultimate analysis:-	56.0	55-4					
Carbon%	56·0 5·2	5.2	• • • • • •	•••••		•••••	• • • • • •
Hydrogen	5.2	5.4	•••••	{·····		• • • • • •	
Sulphur		0.2	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
Nitrogen		1.5	2.0	2.1	2.2	2.0	2.1
Oxygen		32.3	]		1	]	
Calorific value:			_	1.			
Calories per gram, gross		5,290		4,660	4,740	4,690	4,700
B. Th. U. per lb., gross.	9,460	9,520		8,390	8,540	8,450	8,460
Fuel ratio	0.49	0.47	0.49	0.50	0.43	0.48	0.48
Carbon-Hydrogen ratio	10.7	10.7		••••			• • • • • •
······				ł 			
Kind of sample	Commercial	Commercial	Prospe	ct.			
Taken by		Operators			nes Bra	nch.	
	of bog.	of bog.					
Date of sampling	Season of 1910.	Season of 1911.	Summer of 1915.				
		Lab.sample					
	Mar. 17,	May 3,					
	1911.	1915.					
Remarks	Bog traver	sed by Can	adian F	acific r	ailway.		

.

<b>Ontario</b>	Peat	Bogs.
----------------	------	-------

Description.	Richmond bog,*† Carleton county.			Meath bog,‡ Renfrew county.	Westmeath bog,‡ Renfrew county.			
Sample No Moisture condition (see note, p. 2) Loss on air-drying%	D	454 D	455 D	664 D	655 D	656 D	657 D	658 D
Proximate analysis: Moisture	12.0 59.9 28.1	11.0 60.9 28.1	$ \begin{array}{c} 11 \cdot 2 \\ 60 \cdot 8 \\ 28 \cdot 0 \end{array} $	20·4 56·9 22·7	9.2 59.3 31.5	$ \begin{array}{c} 6 \cdot 8 \\ 6 2 \cdot 0 \\ 3 1 \cdot 2 \end{array} $	4.6 65.5 29.9	9.9 62.7 27.4
Carbon% Hydrogen% Ash% Sulphur% Nitrogen% Oxygen%	2.0	• • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.1 3.0	0·5 1·7	 0.5 1.8	0.5 1.4	0.5 1.7
Calorific value:— Calories per gram, gross B. Th. U. per lb., gross Fuel ratio Carbon-Hydrogen ratio	4,860 8,750 0·47		4,710 8,470 0·46	4,420 7,960 0·40	4,700 8,470 0⋅53		5,270 9,480 0-46	4,850 8,730 0.44
Date of sampling	A. Anr †Sumn	ep, Min ler of 19		ich. ‡Summe nadian N			y.	•

 Ontario	Peat	Bogs.	

Description.		co bog ings co		Manilla* bog, near Mariposa, Victoria county.		Holla Bradfo	nd bog rd. Sime k count	coe and
Sample No Moisture condition (see	456	457	458	446	448	46	47	48
note, p. 2)	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D
Loss on air-drying% Proximate analysis:- Moisture%					·····	•••••	•••••	····
Ash%	14.7	$15 \cdot 4$	17.6	11.3	11.2	19.3	12.2	13.6
Volatile matter%		61·6 23·0	$\begin{array}{c} 60 \cdot 2 \\ 22 \cdot 2 \end{array}$	59-9 28-8	60.5 28.3	59.5 21.2	$63 \cdot 2$ 24 \cdot 6	$63 \cdot 4$ 23 \ 0
Fixed carbon % Ultimate analysis:—	24.7	23.0	22.2	20.0	20.3	21.2	24.0	23.0
Carbon 97								]
Hydrogen								
Ash%						· · · · · ·	• • • • • • •	••••
Sulphur		1.3	2.7	2.1	0.6		2.4	••••
Oxygen	2.2	2.2	2.,	2.1	2.0		<b>2</b> · <b>x</b>	
Calorific value:—								
Calories per gram,								
gross	4,390	4,300	4,340	4,500	4,600	4,230	4,640	4,490
B. Th. U. per lb.,	7 010	7 750	7.810	8,100	8.280	7.610	8,350	8.080
gross Fuel ratio	0.10	7,750 0.37	0.37	0.48	0.47	0.36	0.39	0.36
Carbon-Hydrogen ratio		0.31	0-31	0.40				
curbon mydrogen iucio								
<u></u>					<del>.</del>			<u> </u>
Kind of sample Taken by Date of sampling Remarks	A. Anr *Summ	ep, Mir ier of 19	913.		orthern ra		mer of	1910.

Description.	Hol	lland bo	eg, near	Bradfo counti		coe and	York	
Sample No	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56
Moisture condition (see note, p. 2)% Loss on air-drying% Proximate analysis:	D 	D 	D 	D 	D 	D 	D 	D
Moisture	$     \begin{array}{r}       12 \cdot 2 \\       64 \cdot 3 \\       23 \cdot 5     \end{array} $	$   \begin{array}{r}     17 \cdot 3 \\     59 \cdot 6 \\     23 \cdot 1   \end{array} $	64 · 6 20 · 2	24.3	63.6 26.3	$ \begin{array}{c} 65 \cdot 0 \\ 24 \cdot 5 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 65\cdot4\\ 24\cdot1 \end{array} $	53.0 $18.5$
Carbon	  	  2.5	· · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 2.5	· · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · ·
Calorific value:	4,660 8,390 0·37	4,330 7,790 0·39	4,410 7,950 0·31	4,650 8,380 0·36	4,430 7,980	4,580 8,250	4,730 8,510	3,730 6,720
Kind of sample Taken by Date of sampling Remarks	A. Anre	ep. Mine	es Bran 0.	ch.				

Description.			Marsh	Hill b	og, Ont	ario co	unty.		
Sample No	459	460	461	462	463	464	465	466	467
Moisture condition (see note, p. 2) Loss on air-drying% Proximate analysis:-	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D
Moisture% Ash% Volatile matter % Fixed carbon% Ultimate analysis:- Carbon%	11·1 61·0 27·9	61.5 28.3	61·1 27·4	61.6 27.5	60.0 29.0	61·8 27·4	59.1 23.9	59.4 23.2	62·1 23·7
Hydrogen% Ash% Sulphur% Nitrogen% Oxygen% Calorific value:—	····· 2·0		· · · · · · ·	 		0.8			2.4
Calories per gram, gross B. Th. U. per lb., gross Fuel ratio Carbon-Hydrogen ratio	4,590	8,240	4,430 7,980 0·45	8,150	8,200	8,180	7,800	7,730	
Kind of sample Taken by Date of sampling Remarks	A. Anre Summe	ep, Min r of 191	3.		nk railw	ay.			

Ontario Peat Bogs.

Description.	Amaranth* bog, near Crombie, Dufferin county.	Valle	bog, near y. Dufferi ngton cou	n and	West-* over bog, Went- worth county.	Cargill* bog, Bruce county.	Fort† Frances bog, Rainy River county.
Sample No Moisture condi-		450	451	452	445	447	144
tion (see note, p. 2) Loss on air-dry-	D	D	D	D	D	D	D
ing% Proximate analy- sis: Moisture%		••••		••••			
Ash%		2.7	10.9	18.8	20.3	26.3	8.7
Volatile mat- ter%		67.2	61 · 1	56.8	55.6	51.6	62-4
Fixed carbon.% Ultimate analy- sis:	27.2	30.1	28.0	24 - 4	24.1	22.1	28.9
Carbon% Hydrogen%							
Ash%							
Sulphur% Nitrogen% Oxygen%	$\begin{array}{c} 0.3\\1.7\end{array}$	0.8	$\begin{array}{c} 0\cdot7\\2\cdot4\end{array}$	1.8	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \cdot 3 \\ 2 \cdot 3 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.5\\ 2\cdot 1\end{array}$	1.7
Calorific value:- Calories per gram, gross B. Th. U. per	4,840	5,200	4,550	4,180	4,400	4,110	4,950
lb., gross Fuel ratio Carbon-Hydro- gen ratio	8,710 0·45	9,360 0·45	8,200 0·46	7,530 0·43	7,920 0·43	7,400 0·43	8,910 0·46

Description.	Sa	mples fr	om bog	s on T.	& N. C	). Ry., S	South o	f Cochr	ane.
Description.		No. 1 mileag				o. 2 bog leage 24		No. 3 mileag	
Sample No Moisture condition (see note, p. 2)	1085 D	1086 D	1087 D	1088 D	1089 D	1090 D	1091 D	1092 D	1093 D
Loss on air-drying % Proximate analysis:- Moisture% Ash%	 	· · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			 			· · · · · · ·
Volatile matter. Fixed carbon% Ultimate analysis: Carbon	•••••• •••••		•••••			· · · · · · · ·		•••••	•••••
Hydrogen% Ash% Sulphur%		• • • • • • • •	••••• •••••	· · · · · · · ·	  	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	 <b></b> .	
Nitrogen		5,130		• • • • • • •	· · · · · ·				4.880
B. Th. U. per lb., gross Fuel ratio Carbon-Hydrogen		9,240							
ratio	· · · · · ·	· · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · ·	·····			· • • • • • • •	· · · · · · ·
Location in bog Kind of sample		7-ft. depth.	1	depth.		depth.		3-ft. depth.	6-ft. depth.
Taken by Date of sampling Remarks	Summ	Cole, C er of 19 samples	17.	~ .				ien rece	- ived.

# Miscellaneous Samples.

Description.	Coal Albany North Supe Onta	of Lake rior,	made organ refus tar	uettes e from ic city e with as a ider.		l anthra e Provin		
Sample No	13	06	12	288	3	18	4	26
Moisture condition (see note, p. 2)	R	D	R	D	R	D	R	D
Loss on air-drying.% Results obtained by.	Anal.	Calc.	Anal.	Calc.	Anal.	Calc.	Anal.	Calc.
Proximate analysis:- Moisture% Ash%		3.9 33.4	2·1 19·3	···· 19·7	2·4 11·0	····· 11·3	2.7 11.3	11.6
Volatile matter. % Fixed carbon%	32.7	33·4 62·7	32.9 45.7	19·7 33·6 46·7				
Ultimate analysis:- Carbon%								
Hydrogen% Ash%				• • • • • • • • •			 <b>.</b>	••••
Ash% Sulphur% Nitrogen%		•••••	0.9	0.9	0.7	0.7	1.0	1.0
Oxygen% Calorific value:—								•••••
Calories per gram, gross					1	7,350	7,170	7,370
B. Th. U. per lb., gross Fuel ratio			11,210	11,450	12,930	13,240	12,910	13,270
Fuel ratio Carbon-Hydrogen ratio	1.	85	1.	40				• • • • •
Coking properties	Poor	coke.	Agglom slight	erates		••••		• • • • • •
Specific gravity		· • • • • • •	0.8					
	Receive	d 1918.		 018	1913	-1914	1914	-1915
Size		• • • • • • • • •		••••			E	gg.
No. of samples represented Remarks	*Sample	e taken a	about 15	years p	8 revious l			6 vidual.

Description.		Typical anthracite coal as sold in the Province of Ontario.												
Sample No	6	88	(	590	8	311	8	813	8	812		826	g	001
Moisture condition (see note, p. 2)	R	D	R	D	R	D	R	D	R	D	R	D	R	D
Loss on air-drying%					]									
Results obtained by	Anal.	Calc.	Anal.	Calc.	Anal.	Calc.	Anal.	Calc.	Anal.	Calc.	Anal.	Calc.	Anal.	Calc.
Proximate analysis:—														
Moisture%	3.1		5.2		3.9	••••	3.8		3.8		8.9	····. 8·1	8.9	
Ash%		13.3	18.1	19.8	11.2	11.7	12.4	12.9	12.0	12.5	1.4	8.1	14.0	16.0
Volatile matter% Fixed carbon%												••••		• • • • • •
Ultimate analysis:	••••	••••	• • • • • • •	••••••		• • • • • •		• • • • • •		•••••		• • • • • •		•••••
Carbon%														
Hydrogen%			. <b>.</b>	• • <i>•</i> • • •				<b>. .</b>						
Ash 0%														• • • • • •
Sulphur%	0.9	0.9	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.9					0.8
Nitrogen%	• • • • • •				• • • • • •	• • • • • •		• • • • • •		• • • • • •				• • • • •
Oxygen%	• • • • • •	• • • • • •	• • • • • •	· · · · · ·	• • • • • •	••••		• • • • • •	• • • • • •	•••••		• • • • • •	••••	• • • • • •
Calorific value:														
Calories per gram,	6.980	7 210	6,160	6 500	7,000	7 200	6,850	7 120	6,930	7 200	6,620	7 260	6,180	6,780
B. Th. U. per lb.,		7,210	0,100	0,500	1,000	1,290	0,050	7,120	0,750	7,200	0,020	1,200	0,100	0,700
gross	12.570	12.970	11.090	11.700	12.610	13.120	12.330	12.810	12.470	12.950	11.910	13.070	11,120	12.210
Fuel ratio														
Carbon-Hydrogen														
<b>r</b> atio						• • • • • •		·		• • • • •		• • • • • •		• • • • • •
Coking properties	• • • • • •		• • • • • •	••••	· · · · · ·	• • • • •		• • • • • •	• • • • • •			• • • • • •	••••	• • • • •
			·											
Date	1015	-1916	1015	-1916	1916	-1917	1916	-1917	1916	-1917	1916	-1917	1916	-1917
Size	Furn			seve.	Furn		E		Sto			seve.	Bird	
No. of samples repre-			Diru											
sented		5		1	1	2		9		7		1		1

Miscellaneous Samples.

Description.	Typical anthracite Coal as sold in the Province of Ontario.										
Sample No	12-	19	12	26	12	47	12	32	• 12	36	
Moisture condition (see note, p. 2)	R	D	R	D	R	D	R	D	R	D	
Loss on air-drying% Results obtained by% Proximate analysis:—	Anal.	Calc.	Anal.	Calc.	Anal.	Calc.	Anal.	Calc.	Anal.	Calc.	
Moisture% Ash% Volatile matter%	• 14 • 3						15.4		$12 \cdot 4$		
Fixed carbon% Ultimate anlysis:— Carbon% Hydrogen%											
Ash	0.8	0.9		•••••	1 · 1 · 1	1.1		••••		• • • • • • • • • • •	
Calorific value:	6,870 12,360	7,090 12,760			6,660 11,980	6,830 12,290	   				
Fuel ratio Carbon-Hydrogen ratio Coking properties	· · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · ·	•••••	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · ·	· • • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Date Size No. of samples represented	Furi			-1918 gg 5	Ste	-1918 ove 6		-1918 stnut 6		-1918 nings 7	

# Miscellaneous Samples.

### Miscellaneous Samples from Ontario.

SAMPLES NOS. 963-965.

Oil shales from Kettle Point, Lambton county. No. 963—Upper 3½ feet. No. 964—Lower 1½ feet. No. 965—Separate 3 feet deposit of shale.

Sample.	No. 963.	No. 964.	No. 965.
Moisture.	2.3	2.1	1.8
Ash	84.6	81.7	82.5
Volatile matter	9.0	10.0	10.1
Fixed carbon	4 · 1	6.2	5.6
Nitrogen	0.13	0.14	
Calcrific value, gross-	00.0		
Calories per gram	890	1,180	1,100
B. Th. U. per lb	1,600	2,130	1,980
Specific gravity	2.3	2.4	

The nitrogen content is low, theoretically corresponding to a yield of about 15 lbs. ammonium sulphate per long ton.

Samples taken by M. Y. Williams, Geological Survey, Ottawa, during the summer of 1916.

#### SAMPLE NO. 1151.

Oil shale from Alvinston, Lambton county.

Analysis—	
Analysis— Moisture	1.3
Ash	90·0
Volatile matter	7.5
Fixed carbon	1 · 2
Nitrogen	0.32

This theoretically corresponds to a yield of 34 lbs. ammonium sulphate per long ton.

Yield of oil—3 imperial gallons per long ton.

The oil has a specific gravity of 0.872 at  $15.5^{\circ}$  C. (60° F.). Ammonium sulphate obtained—6 lbs per long ton.

Sample taken by M. Y. Williams, Geological Survey, Ottawa, during the summer of 1917.

### SAMPLE NO. 1152.

Oil shale from Shetland, Lambton county.

Analysis—	
Moisture Ash.	1.1
Volatile matter. Fixed carbon.	8.1
Nitrogen	0.28
Specific gravity	2.6

DESTRUCTIVE DISTILLATION: Similar to last. Yield of oil—4 imperial gallons per long ton. The oil has a specific gravity of 0.891 at  $15.5^{\circ}$ C. (60° F.). Ammonium sulphate obtained—6 lbs. per long ton.

Sample taken by M. Y. Williams, Geological Survey, Ottawa, during the summer of 1917.

### SAMPLE NO. 385.

Oil from Russell county, at a depth of 950 ft.

DISTILLATION TEST: Engler apparatus, continuous method. First drop at 166° C.

Temperature.	Per cent by volume.	Nature of Distillate.
0°—150° C 150°—200° C 200°—250° C 250°—300° C 300°—higher	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \cdot 0 \\ 4 \cdot 0 \\ 17 \cdot 4 \\ 20 \cdot 6 \\ 58 \cdot 0 \end{array} $	Naphtha. Illuminating oils. Lubricating oils, tar, etc.

The sample submitted was so small that only half the usual quantity was used for the distillation test, and therefore the results are not strictly comparable with those from distillations employing the full quantity of oil.

Sample submitted by private individual in September, 1914.

### SAMPLE NO. 631.

Oil from a well at Flesherton, Grey county.

The oil was light yellow in colour, somewhat turbid and possessed no pronounced odour. -

DISTILLATION TEST: Continuous method. First drop at 150° C.

Temperature.	Per cent by volume.
150°—240° C 240°—300° C Residue Loss.	6.0

Sample submitted by Dr. Sproule in October, 1915.

### SAMPLE NO. 714.

Natural gas from a shallow well on lot 24, concession VIII, north of Plantagenet township, Prescott county.

A nalysis— Methane Nitrogen	85.0% 15.0%
Density	0·610
Calorific value, gross: 865 B. Th. U. per cubic foot, of r	noisture
free gas at 60° F. and 30 inches mercury pressu	re.

The gas is practically insoluble in alcohol, and is therefore a dry gas.

Sample submitted by E. D. Ingall, Geological Survey, Ottawa, in April, 1916.

### SAMPLE NO. 1318.

Natural gas from a well near Vankleek Hill, Prescott county.

- Analysis—

Čarbon dioxide	0.8%
Oxygen	0.4%
Methane	66 • 2%
Nitrogen	32.6%

Sample taken by private individual during April, 1918.

### Typical Commercial Gasolines as sold to the Canadian Government.

### SAMPLE NO. 404.

Specific gravity.—At 15.5° C.

0.704.

Distillation Test: Engler apparatus, continuous method. First drop at 58° C.

Temperature.	Per cent by volume.	Total per cent by volume.
$58^{\circ}$ — 70° $70^{\circ}$ — 80° $80^{\circ}$ — 90° $90^{\circ}$ —100° $100^{\circ}$ —110° $110^{\circ}$ —120° $120^{\circ}$ —130° $130^{\circ}$ —140° $140^{\circ}$ —150° $150^{\circ}$ —154° Residue Loss	17.9 18.9 15.4 11.9 7.8 3.9 1.8 0.9	4.5 18.8 36.7 55.6 71.0 82.9 90.7 94.6 96.4 97.3

Sample received November, 1914.

Number of samples tested during 1914-three.

### SAMPLE No. 754.

Specific gravity.—At 15.5° C.

0·721.

Distillation Test: Engler apparatus, continuous method. First drop at 66° C.

Temperature.	Per cent by volume.	Total per cent by volume.
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$5 \cdot 9$ $13 \cdot 6$ $17 \cdot 2$ $17 \cdot 9$ $14 \cdot 6$ $10 \cdot 4$ $6 \cdot 9$ $4 \cdot 1$ $2 \cdot 6$ $1 \cdot 6$ $1 \cdot 0$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.7\\ 6.6\\ 20.2\\ 37.4\\ 55.3\\ 69.9\\ 80.3\\ 87.2\\ 91.3\\ 93.9\\ 95.5\\ 96.5 \end{array}$

Sample received July, 1916. Number of samples tested during 1916—three.

Specific gravity.—At 15.5° C.

Temperature.

### Distillation Test: Engler apparatus, continuous method. First drop at 76° C.

75°—100° C	11.0	11.0
00°—125° C	30.3	41.3
25°—150° C	32.6	73.9
50°—175° C	18.5	92.4
75°—177° C	1.6	94.0
Residue	3.0	1
_OSS	3.0	]
2035	3.0	

Per cent by volume.

Sample received October, 1917. Number of samples tested during 1917—twenty-nine.

### SAMPLE NO. 1266.

Specific gravity.—At. 15.5° C.

Distillation Test: Engler flask, by Dean continuous method. First drop at 50° C.

Temperature.	Per cent by volume.	Total per cent by volume.
50°—125° C 125°—175° C 175°—185° C 185°—195° C Residue. Loss	34-0 1-5 2-0 1-5	59 · 5 93 · 5 95 · 0 97 · 0

Sample received February, 1918. Number of samples tested to March 31st, 1918-four.

 $\mathbf{25}$ 

Total per cent by volume.

0.745.