Energy, Mines and Resources Canada
Énergie, Mines et Ressources Canada

CANADA CENTRE FOR MINERAL AND ENERGY TECHNOLOGY
(Former Mines Branch)

ZINC PROMOTED Mo-Al HYDRODESULPHURIZATION CATALYSTS

M. TERNAN, R.J. WILLIAMS, and B.I. PARSONS

CANADIAN FOSSIL FUEL RESEARCH LABORATORY
CATALYSIS RESEARCH SECTION

JULY 1975

FOR SUBMISSION TO JOURNAL OF CATALYSIS

NATIONAL ENERGY RESEARCH PROGRAM

ENERGY RESEARCH LABORATORIES
REPORT ERP/ERL 75-91(J)

ZINC PROMOTED Mo-Al HYDRODESULPHURIZATION CATALYSTS

M. Ternan, R.J. Williams, and B.I. Parsons
Energy Research Laboratories
Department of Energy, Mines and Resources
Ottawa, Ontario, K1A 0G1, Canada

Catalytic hydrodesulphurization processes are used in virtually every modern petroleum refinery. Other hydrotreating processes such as hydrocracking can be used to convert heavy oils and bitumens into synthetic crude oil. Recent work in our laboratory (1) is related to both areas of application. Commercial catalysts for hydrotreating and hydrorefining are usually Co-Mo or Ni-Mo on alumina. Some combinations of Ni-W are also used. Recently de Beer and co-workers (2,3) have evaluated Zn-Mo on alumina catalysts using thiophene at atmospheric pressure (1.013×10^5 N/n^2). This note describes experiments which were performed to extend the evaluation of Zn-Mo on alumina catalysts to high pressures and to an industrial type feedstock. The heavy gas oil used in these studies was the 345-525°C portion of the product obtained by thermally hydrocracking Athabasca bitumen. Typical compounds in this gas oil contain from three to six condensed aromatic rings rather than the single ring thiophene used by de Beer and co-workers. Properties of the feedstock are listed in Table 1.

The catalysts were prepared by adding appropriate amounts of distilled water, trace quantities of nitric acid, and aqueous solutions of ammonium paramolybdate and of zinc nitrate to alpha alumina monohydrate powder (Continental Oil Company, 80 wt% Catapal N, 20 wt% Catapal SB) in a mix muller. A detailed description of the catalyst preparation procedure is available elsewhere (4). The powder was mulled, dried at 120°C, calcined at 500°C and pressed into cylindrical pellets (L = D = 3.18 mm). The final form of the catalyst consisted of zinc and molybdenum oxides on γ-alumina. The catalyst pellets were evaluated in a bench-scale fixed bed reactor having a volume of 155 ml and a length to diameter ratio of 12. The reactor was filled sequentially from the bottom with 75 mm of berl saddles, 200 mm of catalyst pellets and 25 mm of berl saddles. The heavy gas oil, mixed with hydrogen (purity = 99.9 wt%) flowed continuously into the bottom of the reactor and up through the catalyst bed. The product leaving the top of the reactor flowed to receiver vessels where the liquid and vapour were separated. Each experiment was performed at a pressure of 1.39×10^7 N/m^2 (2000 psig), a liquid volumetric space velocity of 0.556 ks^{-1} (2.0 hr^{-1}) based on the reactor volume occupied by the catalyst pellets, and a hydrogen flow rate of 0.0718 l/s at STP (5000 cf/bbl). When an experiment at one temperature was completed the reactor temperature was changed and the next experiment started using the same charge of catalyst. The reaction system was maintained at steady state conditions for 3.6 ks (1 hour) prior to, and for 7.2 ks (2 hours) during the period in which the sample of liquid product was collected. The amount of sulphur in the product sample was determined using an X-Ray fluorescence technique. A description of the experimental equipment and procedures used for catalyst evaluation has been given previously (1e).

Table 2 shows the results obtained during a typical series of experiments performed on a single charge of catalyst pellets. The purpose of the first experiment at 400°C was to stabilize and presulphide the catalyst. Gas oil and hydrogen contacted the catalyst for 25.2 ks (7 hours) prior to the beginning of the first 420°C experiment (No.2). The similarity of the results for both experiments at 420°C (No.2 and No.7) indicated that no appreciable change in catalyst activity occurred during the operating period. The catalyst was in the presence of hydrocarbon for 25.2 ks (7 hours) prior to experiment 2 and for 79.2 ks (22 hours) prior to experiment 7. This indicates that the initial 25.2 ks period was adequate to convert the oxide form of the catalyst into its sulphided working state.

The results in Figure 1 show how sulphur removal varied with the Zn/Mo ratio in the catalyst. Slight promotional and poisoning effects might be attributed to the catalysts which initially contained 12 wt% and 9 wt% MoO_3 respectively. Whatever the trend it is clear that variations in zinc content only changed the weight percent sulphur removed from the gas oil by a few percent at the most. In contrast, previous work in our laboratory (4) using the same feedstock and processing conditions showed that the addition of cobalt to molybdenum on alumina catalysts increased the sulphur removed from the gas oil by an additional 33 wt%. This comparison indicates that the promotional effect of zinc is at least an order of magnitude smaller than cobalt.

These findings are in agreement with some of the results obtained by de Beer and co-workers (3) but contradict others (2). In the first paper by de Beer and co-workers (2) catalytic activity was shown to vary with metal/molybdenum ratio for cobalt, manganese, nickel and zinc. Although not stated explicitly it was implied that the measurements were made after the thiophene had contacted the catalyst for 6 ks (100 min.) and that this represented a steady state period. They found that at optimum metal/molybdenum ratios, all of the metals were good promoters, and that zinc was the best. DeBeer's data (2) have been given additional exposure by their presentation in two recent reviews of hydrodesulphurization (5,6). Our data (as shown in Figure 1) do not agree in any way with those presented by deBeer et al (2) in their first paper. In a second paper by deBeer and co-workers (3) an extensive decrease in activity of a zinc promoted catalyst (12 wt% Mo O_3, Zn/Mo = 0.59) was reported as the time increased to 28.8 ks (8 hours). Furthermore the steady state activity of their zinc promoted catalyst was only slightly better than their equivalent unpromoted Mo on alumina catalyst. This second set of results tends to be consistent with our data in Figure 1. Therefore, we conclude that Zn-Mo catalysts are much less active for hydrodesulphurization than either Co-Mo or Ni-Mo on alumina catalysts.

All of the above results can be explained by the conversion of the initial oxide form of the catalyst to its sulphided working state. The oxide form of the zinc promoted catalyst has a high activity for sulphur removal. After it has been converted to the sulphide form, its desulphurization activity diminishes considerably. Evidence for the above phenomenon is apparent in presently used technology. A zinc oxide desulphurization catalyst, not containing molybdenum, has been manufactured for over twenty years (7). In use the oxide is gradually converted to sulphide. When the catalyst has been fully sulphided, it must be replaced to maintain the desired degree of sulphur removal.

Completely sulphided catalysts appear to have been obtained in our high pressure experiments with a commercial type gas-oil and in the second study by deBeer and co-workers (3) at atmospheric pressure using thiophene. In both sets of experiments, comparable results were achieved after the catalyst had been in contact with hydrocarbon for periods of 25.2 ks (7 hours). The fact that these two sets of results agree constitutes strong evidence that thiophene is a good model compound for studying hydrodesulphurization of hydrocarbon mixtures. The inconsistency with the earlier results of deBeer and co-workers (2) was probably caused by their catalysts being only partially sulphided.

The present work with zinc promoted Mo on alumina catalysts illustrates the need for hydrodesulphurization experiments to be performed with fully sulphided catalysts in their working states. Continuous flow experiments should be of sufficient duration to ensure that the catalyst has reached its steady-state condition. If a pulse method or other transient technique is to be used for catalyst evaluation, it is imperative that the catalyst be in its working state prior to the introduction of the transient.

1. E.C. McColgan, P.S. Soutar, and B.I. Parsons, "The Hydrocracking of Residual Oil and Tars", Mines Branch Research Reports (a) R-246, (b) R-253, (c) R-256, (d) R-261, (e) R-263, and (f) R-273, Department of Energy Mines and Resources, Ottawa (1971-74).

2. V.H.J. deBeer, T.H.M. van Sint Fiet, J.F. Engelen, A.C. van Haandel, M.W.J. Wolfs, C.H. Amberg, and G.C.A. Schuit, J. Catalysis 27, 357 (1972).

3. V.H.J. deBeer, T.H.M. van Sint Fiet, G.H.A.M. van der Steen, A.C. Zwaga, and G.C.A. Schuit, J. Catalysis 35, 297 (1974).

4. R.J. Williams, R.G. Draper, and B.I. Parsons, "Catalysts for Hydrocracking and Refining Heavy Oils and Tars, Part 1: The Effect of Cobalt to Molybdenum Ratio on Desulphurization and Denitrogenation". Mines Branch Technical Bulletin TB-187, Department of Energy Mines and Resources, Ottawa (1974).

5. G.C.A. Schuit and B.C. Gates, AIChE J. 19, 417 (1973).

6. C.H. Amberg, J. Less Common Metals 36, 339 (1974).

7. J.J. Phillipson, in "Catalyst Handbook"
Springer-Verlag, New York, 1970, p. 49.

TABLE 1

PROPERTIES OF HEAVY GAS OIL

Boiling Range	345-525°C	
Specific Gravity 60/60°F	0.992	
Conradson Carbon Residue	0.97	wt%
Sulphur	3.59	wt%
Nitrogen	0.38	wt%
Vanadium	< 1	ppm

TABLE 2

WT % S IN HYDRODESULPHURIZED PRODUCT
(CATALYST = 9.0 WT% MoO$_3$ ON γ-ALUMINA)

Order in which Experiments Performed	Temperature °C	Sulphur Removed wt%
1	400	Presulphiding
2	420	65.7
3	400	48.8
4	380	35.9
5	435	76.9
6	450	86.9
7	420	64.9

Figure 1 Percent Sulphur Removal at 420°C versus Zinc to Molybdenum Ratio. The solid circles are for experiment 2 in each series. The open circles are for experiment 7 in each series.


12.0 WT. % MoO_3
% SULPHUR REMOVED AT 420°C
75
70
65
60
55
0.0
0.2
0.4
0.6
0.8
1.0
1.2
9.0 WT % MoO_3
% SULPHUR REMOVED AT 420°C
70
65
60
55
0.0
0.2
0.4
Zn / Mo