### Geological Survey of Canada **Scientific Presentation 37**

### Introduction

A variety of tourmaline species has been reported in the Athabasca Basin. In this study, we characterized the occurrence and mineral chemistry of tourmaline from three different locations on the Wheeler River property, owned by Dension Mines Corp. (Fig. 1). The Maw zone is a breccia pipe enriched in rare earth elements (up to 8.1 wt % REE oxides; Agip Canada Ltd., 1985). The newly discovered Gryphon Zone is a high-grade basement-hosted uranium occurrence. The Phoenix deposits, with resources of 70. 2 Mlbs U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> (Roscoe, 2014) lie along the unconformity between the Athabasca sandstones and the crystalline basement rocks at depths of ca. 400 m.



Figure 1: Basement geological map of the Wheeler River property at the unconformity showing locations of Maw Zone, Phoenix deposits, and Gryphon Zone (Denison Mines Corp., 2014). Inset: geological map of the Athabasca Basin with location of the property (after Jefferson et al., 2007).

# Objectives

- 1) Document the occurrence of tourmaline associated with three different styles of mineralization
- 2) Determine the composition of tourmaline and its spatial variation with respect to ore.

# Methods

Field work;

- Detailed mapping of the Maw Zone outcrops in 0.2 m grids, collection of samples from outcrop and drill cores;
- Petrography
- Scanning electron microscopy for semi-quantitative chemical analysis and backscatter electron imaging;
- Electron microprobe analysis of selected grains for quantitative determination of mineral compositions.

Table 1: List of sample locations, lithology of the host rocks, and distance to U mineralization

| <b>Collection Area</b> | Drill hole | Lithological Unit  | Depth from surface (m) | Proximity to U mineralization (m) |
|------------------------|------------|--|------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Maw Zone               | ZQ-09      | Sandstone, Manitou Falls Fm, Bird Member                                 | 210–220                | N/A                               |
| Maw Zone               | ZQ-09      | Sandstone, Read Fm   | 220–230                | N/A                               |
| Maw Zone               | 2-85       | Sandstone, Manitou Falls Fm, Dunlop Member                               | 2.1–20                 | N/A                               |
| Maw Zone               | 3-85       | Sandstone, Manitou Falls Fm, Collins Member                              | 66.85–73.25            | N/A                               |
| Maw Zone               | ZQ-09      | Sandstone, Manitou Falls Fm, Dunlop Member                               | 0.6–10                 | N/A                               |
| Maw Zone               | 3-85       | Sandstone, Manitou Falls Fm, Bird Member                                 | 129.78–133.9           | N/A                               |
| Maw Zone               | 3-85       | Sandstone, Manitou Falls Fm, Collins Member                              | 65–70                  | N/A                               |
| Gryphon                | WR-556     | Graphitic metapelite, Basement   | 717.8                  | 17                                |
| Phoenix                | WR-301     | Sandstone, Manitou Falls Fm, Bird Member                                 | 300.9                  | 115                               |
| Phoenix                | WR-293     | Sandstone, Uppermost Read Fm/ Lowermost<br>Manitou Falls Fm, Bird Member | 288.06                 | 150                               |
| Phoenix                | WR-299     | Sandstone, Read Fm   | N/A                    | In ore zone, Deposit A            |
| Phoenix                | WR-302     | Sandstone, Read Fm   | N/A                    | In ore zone, Deposit A            |
| Phoenix                | WR-345     | Sandstone, Read Fm   | N/A                    | In ore zone, Deposit A            |





Bulletin 588, p. 23-67. Summary of Investigations. p. 181-191.



### CHARACTERIZATION OF TOURMALINE FROM THE MAW ZONE, GRYPHON ZONE, AND SANDSTONES ABOVE THE PHOENIX URANIUM DEPOSITS, ATHABASCA BASIN, SASKATCHEWAN

I. O'Connell,<sup>1</sup> K. Hattori,<sup>1</sup> S. Chen,<sup>1</sup> E.E. Adlakha,<sup>1</sup> and C. Sorba<sup>2</sup>

Quirt, D., Kotzer, T., and Kyser, T. K. 1991. Tourmaline, phosphate minerals, zircon and pitchblende in the Athabasca Group: Maw Zone and McArthur River Areas, Saskatchewan; Saskatchewan Geological Survey Roscoe, W.E., 2014. Technical report on a mineral resource estimate update for the Phoenix uranium deposits, Wheeler river project, Eastern Athabasca basin, Northern Saskatchewan, Canada; NI 43-101 Report

> Department of Earth Sciences, University of Ottawa, 140 Louis-Pasteur, Ottawa, Ontario Denison Mines Corporation, 230 22<sup>nd</sup> Street East, Suite 200, Saskatoon, Saskatchewan

For more information, please contact K. Hattori (khattori@uottawa.ca).

Special thanks to Denison Mines Corp. for their hospitality in the field, and for allowing publication of these results. This project was made possible by the support of Natural Resources Canada through the Targeted Geoscience Initiative 4 (TGI-4) program We thank Glenn Poirier for his help during the SEM and EPMA analyses and Eric Potter for critical reviews.

X-site plot Vacancy-(A) Foitite Oxy-soitite Schorl Oxy-schorl Summary

5) Magnesio-foitite in the ore zone of the Phoenix deposits is intricately mixed with sudoite. Its very low Na and high Mg suggest high X-site vacancy and high Mg/(Mg+Fe), close to the end member composition of magnesio-foitite.

Publications in this series have not been edited; they are released as submitted by the author.

Mineral Chemistry



Figure 8: Ternary diagram showing Ca, Na+K, and vacancy at the X-site following the classification of tourmaline by Hawthorne et al. (1997) based on EMPA data. Data plotted include tourmaline from sandstones (MFb, RD/MFb) above the Phoenix deposits, the Gryphon Zone, and the Maw zone. Tourmaline compositions reported from the Maw Zone by Quirt et al. (1991) are plotted for comparison.



Figure 9. (A): Biplot of X-site vacancy versus Mg/(Mg+total Fe) from this study, in tourmaline classification plot after Henry et al. (2011). (B) Biplot of X-site vacancy versus total Mg/(Fe+Mg) fields of Maw zone [Read Formation (RD) = grey, Mantiou Falls Formations: Bird Member (MFb)=green, Collins Member (MFc)=blue and Dunlop Member (MFd)=yellow, Phoenix zone (PHX)=orange, Gryphon zone=red]. Note that the Phoenix samples are more than 100 m from the mineralization. McArthur River ore related field from Adlakha et al. (2015).

1) Tourmaline in all three locations crystallized after corrosion of detrital quartz in sandstone. 2) All tourmaline grains studied show high vacancies (> 53%) in the X-site and are classified as magnesio-foitite following Hawthorne and Henry (1999).

3) Similar chemistry and mineral parageneses suggest that tourmaline of all studied areas precipitated from similar fluid compositions and may have been contemporaneous.

4) Tourmaline grains within and near uranium mineralization show high Mg/(Mg+total Fe) values ranging from 0.98 to 0.99, and high X-site vacancies. Tourmaline grains not proximal to uranium mineralization show varied Mg contents and relatively low X-site vacancies (< 72%).

Presented at the Saskatchewan Geological Open House Date presented: December 2014



