

Canada

Natural Resources **Ressources naturelles** Canada

GEOLOGICAL SURVEY OF CANADA OPEN FILE 7530

USCGC Healy Cruise HLY1302, Alaska to Amundsen Gulf **Expedition Report**

G.D.M. Cameron

2015





GEOLOGICAL SURVEY OF CANADA OPEN FILE 7530

USCGC Healy Cruise HLY1302, Alaska to Amundsen Gulf Expedition Report

G.D.M. Cameron

Natural Resources Canada, Geological Survey of Canada - Atlantic

2015

© Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada, as represented by the Minister of Natural Resources Canada, 2015

doi:10.4095/296565

This publication is available for free download through GEOSCAN (http://geoscan.nrcan.gc.ca/).

Recommended citation

Cameron, G.D.M., 2015. USCGC Healy Cruise HLY1302, Alaska to Amundsen Gulf Expedition Report; Geological Survey of Canada, Open File 7530, 19 p. doi:10.4095/296565

Publications in this series have not been edited; they are released as submitted by the author.

Introduction

Gordon Cameron, a marine geoscientist with the Geological Survey of Canada-Atlantic, participated in leg 1302 of the USCGC Healy's *Arctic West Summer 2013* cruise program, in collaboration with Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution and Scripps Institution of Oceanography, to study Arctic marine geoscience and paleoceanography. The cruise took place between August 15th and September 7th, 2013. The expedition began in Barrow, Alaska and travelled east, visiting sites along the U.S. and Canadian continental slopes of the Beaufort Sea in 400 to 1600 m water depth, Figure 1. Sites were also occupied on the continental shelf in the Mackenzie and Amundsen Gulf regions of the Canadian Beaufort Sea and around Barrow Canyon, offshore Alaska. Appendix I provides a daily operations log.



Figure 1. Map of seismic survey lines (multibeam, Knudsen 3.5 kHz and limited CHIRP) and core stations for Healy 1302 expedition.

Multibeam and 3.5 kHz sonar data were collected at every coring station and alone route between stations. Chirp seismic profile data was collected at only 4 stations (1, 2, 3 and 4); sea ice restricted the use of the towfish at most of the remaining sites. More than 3000 line kilometers of seismic data were collected. The coring operations occupied 17 stations with a total of 12 multi cores, 14 jumbo piston cores and 15 giant gravity cores collected, see Appendix II for details. Piston core were put through the multisensor track on the Healy. Multicores were extruded and subsampled for microfossil on the Healy.

Dr. Lloyd Keigwin, senior scientist at the Geology and Geophysics Department of Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution and Dr. Neil Driscoll, a geophysicist with Scripps Institution of Oceanography at UCSD, provided scientific leadership during this GSC-linked collaborative work on Healy leg 1302 expedition. Commanding Officer (CO) of USCGC Healy was Captain John Reeves and Executive Officer (XO) was Commander Gregory Stanclik. The United States coast Guard cruise report, covering all operations for all legs, is available at http://icefloe.net/archived-cruise-reports.

Navigation, multibeam and 3.5 kHz data is downloadable from http://icefloe.net/ once posted; http://www.rvdata.us/catalog/HLY1302. The Knudsen (3.5 kHz) seismic, multibeam and navigation data were delivered directly to GSC-A by Neal Driscoll, Scripps. These data were archived at the GSC-A. Also, all metadata, including sample and ship track lines are archived in GSC-A's online Expedition Database http://ed.gdr.nrcan.gc.ca/index_e.php. All the cores are archived at Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution but some post-cruise analysis will be conducted at the GSC-A core facility these cores will be archived at GSC-A. Core numbers and positions were collected during the expedition and are found in Table 1.

Proposed Goals: A younger Dryas Meltwater Outlet?

The overarching goal of cruise USCGB Healy was to survey, sediment core, and conduct shorebased studies to investigate the origin of the Younger Dryas (YD) climate cooling that began about 13,000 years ago (13 ka) and lasted more than 1000 years. This return to glacial-like conditions occurred after the Bolling-Allerod warming (~14.5 to 13.0 ka) and is one of the enduring enigmas in late Pleistocene climate. As the climate system was in an orbitally-induced warming, what caused the warming trend to reverse? Early researchers (1960s) first suggested that warm Atlantic waters penetrated the Arctic Ocean during Allerod time and destabilized extensive floating and grounded ice sheets. They reasoned that as disintegrating ice left the Arctic Ocean for the North Atlantic, it would have been sufficient to cool northern Europe climate, but in the 1960s apparently no one was thinking about the possible role of fresh water discharge from glacially dammed lakes in modulating the ocean's meridional overturning circulation (MOC) on millennial time scales. Although the existence of glacial Lake Agassiz around the southern margin of the Laurentide ice sheet has been known for more than a century, it was during the 1970s that the oxygen isotopic signature of deglacial meltwater during the Allerod was first discovered in the Gulf of Mexico. By the 1980s accelerator mass spectrometry (AMS) radiocarbon dating became common on small samples of foraminifera, and Broecker et al. (1989) made the connection between the end of the low $\partial 18$ -O interval in the Gulf of Mexico at the Allerod/Younger Dryas boundary and the YD cooling. They proposed that the opening of an eastern route for Lake Agassiz meltwater through the St. Lawrence River system as the Laurentide Ice Sheet retreated caused reduction of the Atlantic MOC that in turn reduced North Atlantic heat flux and (presumably) caused the Younger Dryas cooling. Thus was born the meltwater diversion hypothesis.

Although the hypothesis is elegant in its simplicity and grand in its scope, there are more than a few problems. First is the issue of timing. The age of the YD flood is determined by the dated shorelines of Lake Agassiz, especially the "Moorehead Phase" when Lake Agassiz level dropped, but these are controversial. The position of the ice margin with respect to both the eastern and the northern outlets is also controversial (Teller et al., 2005), although argue for both a large ice dome in northern Canada as well as an open northern route for Lake Agassiz flow. Observations have also documented the lack of geomorphological evidence for an eastern flood (Teller et al. 2005).

Despite years of searching, no one has ever reported stable isotope evidence for lowered surface ocean salinity in the western North Atlantic during the YD. Broecker et al. (2010) have now backed away from a meltwater cause of the YD. These authors suggest that the YD is part of the natural sequence of climate changes known as Dansgard-Oeschger oscillations and Heinrich Events; however their paper was accepted for publication before the appearance of Murton et al. (2010). A major reason for Broecker's abandonment of the meltwater diversion hypothesis was the lack of geomorphological evidence for a YD flood, and that is exactly the evidence marshaled by Murton et al. (2010) for the Mackenzie delta region.

Our proposed expedition will survey and core high deposition rate locations along the north slope of Alaska and Canada, and the margin of the Canadian Archipelago. Model results and theory show that, with lowered sea level and with the northwest passage channels filled with ice, a YD meltwater flood from the Mackenzie River would turn right because of Coriolis force and follow the outer continental shelf/upper slope along the Canadian Archipelago to northern Greenland and enter the Nordic Seas through Fram Strait. We argue here that sediments in the eastern Beaufort Sea should accumulate at high enough rates to resolve the YD, that sufficient foraminifera should be present for stable isotope studies and AMS 14C dating, and that if there was indeed a flood of water from glacial Lake Agassiz, we should detect it. Our proposed modeling of this event will be guided by our geochemical and sedimentological results and will put the YD discharge into the broader context of the climate system. If successful, we will have solved one of the great puzzles in late Pleistocene climate

Navigation and Positioning

HEALY is outfitted with Sperry Marine's Voyage Management System (VMS). This system utilizes multiple heading, position, environmental, and navigation inputs to steer the ship along a desired course. Currently, Healy has the following GPS receivers: GPS, DGPS, P-Code GPS, and 3-D GPS. Heading inputs include two gyrocompasses and the 3-D GPS heading information.

The ship is also outfitted with an electronic magnetic compass. A Dynamic Positioning System (DPS) is available for station keeping and slow speed transits (towing, dredging). It was designed and built by ALSTOM and integrates the use of propellers, rudders, and the bow thruster to accomplish ship movement. DPS Limitations: At best heading in open water, in a 20 kt wind, seas with a significant wave height of 4.0 feet and a 1 knot currents, Healy is capable of maintaining a position of +/- 150 feet or 3% of water depth (whichever is greater) from a point or trackline and maintain a heading of +/- 5 degrees. The seas and wind shall be from the same direction, with the current from less the 45 degrees off the wind.

Coring Systems

The Healy is equipped with a JPC (Jumbo Piston Core) system for taking deep sediment cores up to 25 meters. The JPC core is deployed from the Starboard A-Frame using 9/16 wire. The pivoting core bucket is bolted to the deck under the A-Frame.



Figure 2. The Jumbo piston corer is (in the two left photographs) capable of collecting up to25 m cores. The Multicorer, featured in the right hand photographs, can collect 8 short cores simultaneously. CTD operations can be carried out when the core bucket is installed, however the CTD must be lifted over the core bucket. Healy provides 4 core barrels and science users provide core liner. Healy typically has at least one 4,000 lb core head and one 5,000 lb core head in inventory. Healy also maintains a Gravity core system on board and other coring operations including a multicorer, Fig 2.

Kongsberg EM122 multibeam

Multibeam data was collected throughout the cruise using the EM 122 12 kHz multibeam echo sounder which is designed to perform seabed mapping bathymetry and seabed imagery to full ocean depth with high resolution coverage and accuracy. The system has up to 288 beams/432 soundings per swath with pointing angles automatically adjusted according to achievable coverage or operator defined limits. In multi-ping mode, 2 swaths are generated per ping cycle, with up to 864 soundings. The beam spacing is equidistant or equiangular. In high density mode more than one sounding can be produced per beam, such that the horizontal resolution is increased and is almost constant over the whole swath.



Figure 3. Multibean, 3.5 profiler and positional data is collected in the geophysical lab.

Knudsen 320B/R

Subbottom profile data was collected throughout the cruise using the Knudsen 320B/R which has two transceivers and is capable of operating as a subbottom profiler (CW at 3.5 KHz or frequency modulated chirp from 2 kHz to 6 kHz) and a conventional single beam echo sounder at 12 KHz. It is possible to run both modes simultaneously. Heave correction from the POS/MV is applied. Position data comes directly from a GPS receiver.

During normal operation on the Healy, the 12 KHz mode is not used as it interferes with operation of the multibeam system. The subbottom transducer array consists of sixteen Ocean Data Equipment Corp. TR-109 elements configured in a four by four array wired in a series-parallel arrangement and mounted in a transducer.

Like the multibeam array, the transducer is protected from the sea ice by a thick polyurethane ("SeaBeam Orange") window. The 12 kHz transducer may also be used for interrogating acoustic transponders and releases with an appropriate user-supplied deck unit.

Knudsen data is corrected for sound velocity using a uniform sound speed of 1,500 meters per second. Data files are routinely logged in KEA, KEB and SEG-Y formats. See Fig. 3 for layout of geophysical lab.

All sub-bottom data from the Canadian sector were converted from SEG-Y to JPEG 2000 format and can be used within a GSC-developed seismic interpretation program.

Chirp Sonar

The EG&G chirp sonar used during the Healy cruise is a wideband frequency modulated (FM) sub-bottom profiler utilizing full spectrum CHIRP technology. It generates high-resolution images of the sub-bottom stratigraphy in penetrating up to 200m.

Along with a towfish, a topside processor runs acquisition & processing software, as well as a customer-specified length of tow cable. It can be used in water depths of 3000 m. This profiling system was used at selected sites in Alaska and Canada when sea ice was not present.



Figure 4. Chirp acoustic profiling system towed vehicle on the back deck of the icebreaker Healy.

References

Broecker, Wallace S., Denton, George H., Edwards, R. Lawrence, Cheng, Hai, Alley, Richard B., Putnam, Aaron E., 2010. Putting the Younger Dryas cold event into context. Quaternary Science Reviews **29**, 1078-108.

Broecker, W.S., Kennett, J.P., Flower, B.P., Teller, J.T., Trumbore, S., Bonani, G., Wolfli, W., 1989. Routing of meltwater from the Laurentide Ice Sheet during the Younger Dryas cold episode. Nature **341**, 318-321.

Murton, Julian B., Bateman, Mark D., Dallimore, Scott R., Teller, James T. and Yang, Zhirong, 2010. Identification of Younger Dryas outburst flood path from Lake Agassiz to the Arctic Ocean. Nature, Vol. **464**.

Tarasov, L. & Peltier, W. R., 2005. Arctic freshwater forcing of the Younger Dryas cold reversal. Nature **435**, 662–665.

Teller, J. T., Boyd, M., Yang, Z., Kor, P. S. G. & Fard, A. M., 2005. Alternative routing of Lake Agassiz overflow during the Younger Dryas: new dates, paleotopography, and a re-evaluation. Quaternary Science Reviews **24**, 1890-1905.

USCGC Healy Commander and Select Crew, 2013, Arctic West Summer 2013 USCGC Healy (WAGB 20) 11 Jul 2013- 05 Nov 2013 Cruise Report.

Appendix I: Daily Log

Wednesday 14th

I flew out of Halifax at noon to Anchorage through Chicago on United Airlines. It was a 3 hour 10 min flight to Chicago which was uneventful. The 6.5 hour flight from Chicago to Anchorage went well...we flew over the prairies and then the Rockies and the numerous ice fields and glaciers along the coast of Alaska to Anchorage.

Thursday August 15th

I woke at 4 am to catch a 6:10 flight to Barrow through Fairbanks and Prudhoe Bay (Dead Horse). We arrived in Barrow around 10:30 to heavy overcast skies with light drizzle. The terrain is flat, barren-land tundra which is poorly drained and very wet. Barrow is a native community which still continues to practice traditional activities like whale hunting by harpoon. We were shuttled to the ship between 1-3 pm by helicopter. We were assigned rooms and email accounts and I settled into my spacious cabin after supper, for a well-deserved rest.

Friday August 16th

I rose before 7 am...and had a breakfast of mostly fruit...need to get as much of this as possible while it is still available. We are preparing the labs and fantail deck for coring in the morning and will receive several more science crew members this afternoon. We will continue to rig the ship tomorrow and likely sail late in the day tomorrow. Our first core location will be in Barrow Canyon.

Saturday August 17th

Core locations were planned today with Lloyd and Neil in the morning and early afternoon. We decided that the first two stations will be in Barrow Canyon. I have convinced the co-chief scientists that the three stations in the western Canadian Beaufort are good targets to try to get sediment section older than 14 ka. We continue to prepare for survey work before leaving Barrow. The chirp is being re-rigged with a new cable. We should leave Barrow between 16:00 and 18:00 hours and survey with the chirp over the sites through the night and select targets for coring in the morning.

Sunday August 18th

We surveyed overnight in two core locations in the Barrow Canyon area. One at the Barc 5 core site and the other was at the Barrow 19 site. In both cases we ran chirp profile lines down slope from the site locations looking for good target locations. It was decided that the Barc5 location will be sampled on our return to Barrow at the end of the cruise because it is close to Barrow. We spent the day sampling at the second location (Barrow 19 site about 5-6 hours from Barrow), this is sample site 1. The multi-corer was used first and 4 of the eight cores were successful. Then we buried a 13 meter corer over the weight...a very successful core. We also collected a gravity core at this site. This site is named West Flank Barrow Canyon Station 1 with cores MC 0, MC1, JPC2 and GGC3.

Station 1 West Flank Barrow Canyon				
MC0	72 08.661	155 22.049	415 m	
MC1	72 08.650	155 22.020	401 m	
JPC2	72 08.665	155 22.109	401 m	
GGC3	72 08.641	155 22.080	400 m	

Monday August 19th

We are transiting to the next site, P189AR-P45 in 405 meters of water. Once there we will survey all night and select a core site during the night. We will take a multicore, gravity core and a piston core at this location.

Tuesday August 20th

A change in plans has allowed us to survey a proposed IODP site overnight instead of the P-45 site. We multi-beamed this site and collected a grid of 3.5 data. We then collected chirp data through the night over the proposed core location. We are taking multicore, piston and gravity cores here today.

The coring was a success and we were able to recover good multicore, piston, and gravity cores at this site. The sample site was North-Slope-1 station 2 with GGC 4, JPC6 and MC7 cores taken.

Station 2 North Slope-1 GGC 4 70 57.201 145 39.420 411 m JPC6 70 57.186 145 39.409 373 m MC7 70 57.174 145 39.292 416 m

Wednesday August 21st

We surveyed the P-45 site last night. We collected multibeam and 3.5 first and then chirp surveyed after. When we find a good site the location is logged and given to the bridge. The geophysical data is of good quality.

I gave Neil the three Canadian sites in the Mackenzie region and we assigned a survey priority. The plan is to survey the Mackenzie Trough location first and then move to the slope locations. We will collect multibeam and 3.5 first and then chirp. We will likely be at the survey site today by 8 pm.

We are now collecting cores at P-45 and will occupy the site all day. The site is North Slope-2 close to Mackenzie River at station #3.

Station 3 North Slope-2 close to Mackenzie River

GGC870 35.002142 25.220396 mJPC970 34.953142 25.020394 mMC1070 34.973142 24.499396 m

Thursday August 22nd

We surveyed the first Canadian core site in Mackenzie Trough last night. We first collected multibeam and 3.5 over the site. When the best core site was identified, we collected three chirp lines through the core location. All the seismic data looks great!

Station 4 in Mackenzie Trough

Station 4 GGC11 Lat 70 24.094 Long -139 18.718 in 685.6 m water depth on barrel (10 feet).

Station 4 MC-17 Lat 70 24.149 Long -139 18.548 in 686.3 8 cores were recovered.

Station 4 JPC-13 Lat 70 24.127 Long -139 18.7159 in 686 m 49 feet recovered.

Friday August 23rd

Very heavy ice cover in the vicinity of core sites BP10-PC24 and BP10-PC2 on the upper Mackenzie slope. We were only able to collect multibeam and 3.5 kHz profiler data. The chirp was not deployed because of heavy ice. The seismic data collected was of good quality. We surveyed a three line grid between the two core sites and found a good core location close to BP10-PC2.

Station 5 Eastern Mackenzie Trough (Beaufort Slope)

GGC14 Lat 71 06.308 Long 135 08.613

JPC15 Lat 71 06.222 Long 135 08.129 depth 687.2 m 50 ft

MC16 Lat 71 05.357 Long 135 08.798

Saturday August 24th

Today we found our way into the ice to find a location for ice liberty. We went out on the ice and took group photos and generally had a fun time. The science team made supper for the crew...many different kinds of pizza and salad...and we cleaned-up after...great fun!

We are now heading for our next core location near Amundsen Gulf.

Sunday August 25th

We are sailing to waypoints in Amundsen Gulf. After visiting one site we have decided to return to an area that we sailed past earlier in the day.

Monday August 26th

We have found a great site near a recessional moraine in the Amundsen Trough with thick sediments built out in front of the moraine. We have surveyed this location with 3.5 and multibeam. This is a great spot for deglaciation reconstruction in Amundsen Gulf. We will take a piston core, gravity and multicore at this site.

Station 6 Amundsen Gulf

GGC17	71 17.361	126 16.79	439 m water	20 foot core barrel	
MC18	71 17.362	126 16.830	448 m water	20 foot core barrel	
JPC19	71 17.398	126 16.800	442 m water	60 foot core barrel	50 foot recovery

Tuesday August 27th

We surveyed in Amundsen Gulf through the "Dave Scott" site on the way out of the Gulf. We visited the farthest McLean site but it was not a good site. We surveyed along the trough axes and into deep water. The sediment succession was mostly stacked debris flows out of the Amundsen Trough into deep water. This was not attractive geology for us. The ice was extremely heavy and it became impossible for use to move further west. We decided to turn south to get out of the ice and find better geology. We found both and are occupying a selected site now.

Station 7 SW corner of Amundsen Trough

GGC20 71 31.468 N 131 18.240 642 m 20 ft

MC21 71 31.427N 131 19.03 W 646 m

JPC22 71 31.66N 131 21.16W 668 m core separated

Wednesday August 28th

We are surveying an area south and west of last night's core site between Amundsen Trough mouth and Mackenzie area, on the slope between 400 and 700 meters. Ice cover is also bad in this area so the seismic data is degraded in ice. The weather is bright and sunny but cold at -4. We have cored this site...

Station 8 East Flank Mackenzie				
GGC24	71 25.720	132 52.364	750m	
JPC25	71 26.473	132 52.209	746m	
MC22	71 27.36	132 51.82	740m	

Thursday August 29th

We surveyed an area upslope from Station 5 in 400 to 200 meters of water. The idea is to sample sediment influenced by shallower water mass. We were able to recover only fair to good seismic data because of pack ice. Coring did not take place at this site; instead we went back to station 5 and took another piston core. We than went to find an ice island and after finding it placed a buoy/beacon on it for tracking purposes. We also took a CTD and launched a drifting buoy in the area. Now we are transiting to a Pingo field to survey in 30 to 50 meters of water. Ice continues to be heavy, but we are at the southern edge of it so still able to work.

Station 9 East Mackenzie Trough (Beaufort Slope)

JPC27 71 06.36 N 135 09.64 W 693 m

Station 10 Ice Island

Launch CTD and UpTemp0 drift buoy

71 11.724 N 136 54.655 W

Friday August 30th

Last night we surveyed a Pingo field on the eastern flank of Mackenzie Trough. We were very cautious in approaching these Pingos since they are in 40 to 50 meters of poorly chartered water. We ran the boat parallel to the trend of the features to first see them on the outer reaches of the multibeam...and it worked well. We found several excellent Pingos and great core locations; with great stratified sediments infilling low areas around the Pingos. The 3.5 data is excellent and we were able to image 50 or more meters below the seafloor. We have cored at one of the Pingo locations.

Station 11 Beaufort Shelf-Pingo MC29 69 58.498 137 14.634 67.6 m GGC30 69 58.481 137 14.551 60 m JPC32 69 58.464 137 14.388 60 m USCGC Healy Cruise HLY1302 Expedition Report

GGC33 69 58.608 137 14.768 66 m GGC34 69 58.500 137 14.857 60 m UpTempo buoy 70 14.000 138 41.538 m

Saturday August 31st

We surveyed more regional lines at station 5 in the Mackenzie Trough using 3.5 and multibeam sonar. These lines went further north over the self-break. Several cores were taken at station 5 (new station 12). A longer 60 foot core was attempted but failed to recover a deeper section.

Station 12 Beaufort Shelf

GGC33 69 58.608 137 14.768 66 m GGC34 69 58.500 137 14.857 60 m Station 13 upTempo drift buoy 70 14.005 138 41.538

Station 14 North Slope this station is a reoccupation of Station 4 a longer 60 foot core was attempted to try and recover a deeper section.

JPC36 70 24.134 139 18.585 665 m

Sunday September 1st

We surveyed using multibeam and 3.5 kHz sonar at Station 3 improving the seismic coverage. We have cored here again (Station 3) with a 70 foot piston core to sample deeper into the paleo record.

Station 15 (at Station 3) JPC37 70 34.940 142 25.108 385 m CTD38 plus buoy 70 35.156 142 24.619 393 m

Monday September 2nd

We surveyed a new area off the shelf in deep water, in a canyon area of the Alaskan slope. This part of the slope is a sediment by-pass area but we were able to find an over bank deposit on top of a canyon ridge that is an erosional remnant. The target area for the core is about 1.5 nm wide

and we surveyed about 6 nm downslope using the multibeam and 3.5 kHz sonar. We recovered very successful cores from this spot.

Station 16 (new site) deep water site Lower Beaufort off Alaska

GGC39 71 24.117 148 51.737 1616 m JPC41 71 24.216 -148 52.543 1623 m MC42 71 24.266 148 51.991 1616 m UpTemp Buoy 71 23.690 148 50.621

Tuesday September 3rd

We did not sample the site that we surveyed last night, which is site 17, because of weather. Coring activities are waiting out the weather. We have moved into Barrow canyon to survey.

Wednesday September 4th

We are now sampling at station 17 a deep water site with canyons. We started surveying a grid across Barrow Canyon.

Station 17

JPC4471 41.407151 21.1281439 mGGC4571 41.425151 21.0391438 mMC4771 41.431151 20.9851437 m

Thursday September 5th

We have moved into Barrow Canyon and are taking 9-10 CTD casts. We have surveyed a grid across Barrow Canyon after the CTD work, using the multibeam and 3.5 kHz sonar, looking for bedform features on the western flank of the Canyon and possible shoreline benches on the eastern flank.

Friday Sept 6th

We surveyed through part of the day and through the night and finished about 7 am Friday morning. We are now at anchor off Barrow and this phase of the Healy 1302 expedition is finished!

Appendix II: Stations Log

1 MC0 72.14435 -155.36748 415 West flank Barrow Canyon 1 MC1 72.14417 -155.36701 401 60 West flank Barrow Canyon 1 JPC2 72.14412 -155.36848 401 1123 West flank Barrow Canyon 1 GGC3 72.14402 -155.36801 400 313 West flank Barrow Canyon 2 GGC4 70.95335 -145.65701 411 404 North Slope 1 2 JPC6 70.95311 -145.65682 373 1201 North Slope 1 2 MC7 70.95291 -145.65487 416 40 North Slope 1 3 GGC8 70.58337 -142.42033 396 465 North Slope 2 close to Mackenzie	River River River rel
1 MC1 72.14417 -155.36701 401 60 West flank Barrow Canyon 1 JPC2 72.14442 -155.36848 401 1123 West flank Barrow Canyon 1 GGC3 72.14402 -155.36801 400 313 West flank Barrow Canyon 2 GGC4 70.95335 -145.65701 411 404 North Slope 1 2 JPC6 70.95311 -145.65682 373 1201 North Slope 1 2 MC7 70.95291 -145.65487 416 40 North Slope 1 3 GGC8 70.58337 -142.42033 396 465 North Slope 2 close to Mackenzie	River River River rel
1 JPC2 72.14442 -155.36848 401 1123 West flank Barrow Canyon 1 GGC3 72.14402 -155.36801 400 313 West flank Barrow Canyon 2 GGC4 70.95335 -145.65701 411 404 North Slope 1 2 JPC6 70.95311 -145.65682 373 1201 North Slope 1 2 MC7 70.95291 -145.65487 416 40 North Slope 1 3 GGC8 70.58337 -142.42033 396 465 North Slope 2 close to Mackenzie	River River River rel
1 GGC3 72.14402 -155.36801 400 313 West flank Barrow Canyon 2 GGC4 70.95335 -145.65701 411 404 North Slope 1 2 JPC6 70.95311 -145.65682 373 1201 North Slope 1 2 MC7 70.95291 -145.65487 416 40 North Slope 1 3 GGC8 70.58337 -142.42033 396 465 North Slope 2 close to Mackenzie	River River River rel
2 GGC4 70.95335 -145.65701 411 404 North Slope 1 2 JPC6 70.95311 -145.65682 373 1201 North Slope 1 2 MC7 70.95291 -145.65487 416 40 North Slope 1 3 GGC8 70.58337 -142.42033 396 465 North Slope 2 close to Mackenzie	River River River rel
2 JPC6 70.95311 -145.65682 373 1201 North Slope 1 2 MC7 70.95291 -145.65487 416 40 North Slope 1 3 GGC8 70.58337 -142.42033 396 465 North Slope 2 close to Mackenzie 2 MC0 70.58337 -142.42033 396 465 North Slope 2 close to Mackenzie	River River River rel
2 MC7 70.95291 -145.65487 416 40 North Slope 1 3 GGC8 70.58337 -142.42033 396 465 North Slope 2 close to Mackenzie 2 INCO 70.58337 -142.42033 396 465 North Slope 2 close to Mackenzie	River River River rel
3 GGC8 70.58337 -142.42033 396 465 North Slope 2 close to Mackenzie 2 JBC0 70.58337 -142.42033 396 465 North Slope 2 close to Mackenzie	River River River rel
	River River rel
5 JPC9 / U.58255 - 142.41/UI 594 1341 NORTH SIDDE 2 CIOSE TO Mackenzie	River rrel
3 MC10 70.58288 -142.40832 396 47 North Slope 2 close to Mackenzie	rrel
4 GGC11 70.40157 -139.31197 685.6 411 Mackenzie Trough 10 foot ba	
4 MC12 70.40248 -139.30913 686.3 38 Mackenzie Trough, 8 cores were re	covered
4 INC12 70.40240 155.50515 000.5 50 Mackenzie Trough, 0 coles were re 4 IPC13 70.402133 -139.311933 686 1413 Mackenzie Trough 15 m recove	red
5 GGC14 71 10513 -125 14255 0 220 Eastern Mackenzie Trough (Beaufor	t clone)
S Odc14 71.10313 -133.14333 0 323 Eastern Mackensie Hough (beautor) E IDC15 71.10371 135.14333 0 323 Eastern Mackensie Hough (beautor)	t clope)
5 JFC15 71.10571 -155.15546 067.2 1555 Edstern Mackensie Hougi (beautor	t clope)
5 INC10 /1.08928 -135.14003 0 39 Eastern Mackensie Prougn (Beautor	. slope)
6 GGC17 71.28935 -126.27983 439 398 6 m core barrel	
6 MC18 /1.2893/ -126.2805 448 46 6 m core barrel	
6 JPC19 71.28997 -126.28001 442 1288 18 meter core barrel	
7 GGC20 71.52447 -131.30401 642 332 SW corner amundsen Trough, 6 m	oarrel
7 MC21 71.52378 -131.31717 646 39 SW corner amundsen Trough	
7 JPC22 71.52767 -131.35267 668 1421 SW corner amundsen Trough, core set	parated
8 GGC24 71.42867 -132.87273 750 254 East Flank Mackenzie	
8 JPC25 71.44121 -132.87015 746 1368 East Flank Mackenzie	
8 MC26 71.45601 -132.86367 740 40 East Flank Mackenzie	
9 JPC27 71.10601 -135.16067 693 1524 East Mackenzie Trough (Beaufort S	lope)
10 CTD, buoy 71.1954 -136.91091 0 CTD and UpTemp0 buoy, Ice Isla	nd
11 MC29 69.97497 -137.2439 67.6 47 Beaufort Shelf Pingo	
11 GGC30 69.97468 -137.24252 60 285 Beaufort Shelf Pingo	
11 JPC32 69.7944 -137.2398 60 1410 Beaufort Shelf Pingo	
12 GGC33 69.97681 -137.24613 66 162 Beaufort Shelf	
12 GGC34 69.97501 -137.24762 60 319 Beaufort Shelf	
13 buoy 70.23342 -138.69231 0 upTempo Buoy	
14 JPC36 70.40223 -139.30975 665 1708 North Beaufort Slope	
15 JPC37 70.58233 -142.41847 385 1366 revisit Station 3 for longer con	e
15 buoy CTD 70.58593 -142.41032 393 CTD38 plus buoy	
16 GGC39 71.40195 -148.86228 1616 488 Lower Beaufort off Alaska	
16 JPC41 71.40361 -148.87572 1623 1526 Lower Beaufort off Alaska	
16 MC42 71.40443 -148.86652 1616 50 Lower Beaufort off Alaska	
16 buoy CTD 71.39483 -148.84368 0 UpTemp Buoy Lower Beaufort off A	laska
17 JPC44 71.69012 -151.35213 1439 1607 Beaufort/Chukchi Slope	
17 GGC45 71.69042 -151.35065 1438 472 Beaufort/Chukchi Slope	
17 MC46 71.69051 -151.34975 1437 50 Beaufort/Chukchi Slope	
18 DBO line Barrow Canyon	
18 MC47 71.4135 -157.503 124 29 Barrow Canyon	

Cores collected at each station and their locations. The coring operations occupied 17 stations with a total of 12 multi cores, 14 jumbo piston cores and 15 giant gravity cores collected.

Appendix III: Science Party Participants List

Last name	First Name	Email	Phone	Address
Maio	Chris	<u>cmaio@whoi.edu</u>	508-280-2831	63 Beachway Road/ E Sandwich, MA 02537
Reilly	Brendan	breilly85@gmail.com	201-315-1866	3205 NW Norwood Ave./Corvallis, OR 97330
Moser	Chris	cmoser@coast.oregonstate.edu	541-230-0926	
Jeglinski	Marti	mjeglinski@whoi.edu	508-289-4906	PO Box 544/East Falmouth, MA 02536
Kaye	Sarah	stckaye@gmail.com	206-612-1614	
Coletti	Anthony	anthony.j.coletti@gmail.com	516-567-7617	189 Charing Cross/Lynbrook, NY 11563
Griner	Christopher	cgriner@whoi.edu	774-392-1105	WHOI/266 Woods Hole Road/MS 17
Tegoseak	Gabe	garbiel.tegoseak@gmail.com	907-750-0618	PO Box 196/Barrow, AK 99723
O'Gorman	David	Dave@coas.oregonstate.edu	616-406-7460	586 Canberry Drive/Philomath,OR 97370
Blas	Danny	db.blas@gmail.com	619-957-1470	2207 30th St/San Digo, CA 92104
Cronin	Tom	tcronin@usgs.gov		
Keigwin	Lloyd	lkeigwin@whoi.edu	508-289-2784	WHOI/ Woods Hole, MA 02543
Pelto	Ben	peltoglacier@gmail.com	774-261-0832	215 Goodale St./ West Boylston, MA 01583
Roberts	Mackenzie	kewaro@live.com	616-780-7363	4190 Porte de Palmas/Unit 26/San Diego, CA 92122
Guo	Alan	alan2.guo96@gmail.com	774-392-4533	PO # 1460 20 Main St./Exeter, NH 03833
Wei	Emily	eawei@ucsd.edu	978-460-4871	Apt #3206/3833 Nobel Drive/San Diego, CA 92122
Ingalsbe	Tara	taingalsbe2083@eagle.fgcu.edu	407-506-6653	7416 Pebble Beach Rd./Fort Myers, FL 33967
Marcuson	Rachel	rmarcuson@gmail.com	201-663-2617	7565 Charmant Dr./#407/San Diego, CA 92122
Martin	Thomas	tpm319@gmail.com	805-904-9991	300 First St./ Corom????, CA

				92018
Klotsko	Shannon	sklotsko@gmail.com	703-944-5476	915 Diamond St.
				#E/San Diego, CA
				92109
Cameron	Gordon	gocamero@nrcan.gc.ca		
Walters	Steve	swalters@sandi.net	858-736-4581	5027 Capehart St./
				San Diego, CA 92117
Maloney	Jillian	jillian.maloney@gmail.com		3439 Georgia St./ San
				Diego, CA 92103
Hill	Jenna	jchill@coastal.edu	619-665-4869	2820 Graham Road/
				Conway, SC 29526
Zhao	Ning	nzhao@whoi.edu	857-600-7661	266 Woods Hole
				Rd./MS #08/Woods
				Hole, MA 02543