

Canada

Natural Resources **Ressources naturelles** Canada



## A 3.0 Ga to 2.0 Ga plutonic record on Southampton Island, Nunavut

N. Rayner, M. Sanborn-Barrie, and J. Chakungal

**Geological Survey of Canada Current Research 2013-6** 

2013



Geological Survey of Canada Current Research 2013-6



## A 3.0 Ga to 2.0 Ga plutonic record on Southampton Island, Nunavut

N. Rayner, M. Sanborn-Barrie, and J. Chakungal

#### ©Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada 2013

ISSN 1701-4387 Catalogue No. M44-2013/6E-PDF ISBN 978-1-100-21685-0 doi:10.4095/292214

A copy of this publication is also available for reference in depository libraries across Canada through access to the Depository Services Program's Web site at http://dsp-psd.pwgsc.gc.ca

This publication is available for free download through GEOSCAN http://geoscan.ess.nrcan.gc.ca

#### **Recommended citation**

Rayner, N., Sanborn-Barrie, M., and Chakungal, J., 2013. A 3.0 Ga to 2.0 Ga plutonic record on Southampton Island, Nunavut; Geological Survey of Canada, Current Research 2013-6, 18 p. doi:10.4095/292214

Critical review B. Davis

Authors N. Rayner (Nicole.Rayner@NRCan-RNCan.gc.ca) M. Sanborn-Barrie (Mary.Sanborn-Barrie@NRcan-RNCan.gc.ca) Geological Survey of Canada 601 Booth Street Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0E9

#### J. Chakungal (joyia.chakungal@gmail.com)

Transterritorial Bedrock Mapping & Consulting Inc., 20 Cranberry Road, Mendenhall Subdivision, P.O. Box 40105, Whitehorse, Yukon Y1A 4Z7

Correction date:

All requests for permission to reproduce this work, in whole or in part, for purposes of commercial use, resale, or redistribution shall be addressed to: Earth Sciences Sector Copyright Information Officer, Room 622C, 615 Booth Street, Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0E9. E-mail: ESSCopyright@NRCan.gc.ca

### A 3.0 Ga to 2.0 Ga plutonic record on Southampton Island, Nunavut

### N. Rayner, M. Sanborn-Barrie, and J. Chakungal

Rayner, N., Sanborn-Barrie, M., and Chakungal, J., 2013. A 3.0 Ga to 2.0 Ga plutonic record on Southampton Island, Nunavut; Geological Survey of Canada, Current Research 2013-6, 18 p. doi:10.4095/292214

**Abstract:** New U-Pb SHRIMP and ID-TIMS geochronological results have brought to light an extensive Archean history on Southampton Island, Nunavut. The oldest plutonic component documented is a ca. 3.0 Ga anorthositic complex whereas granulite facies tonalite-quartz diorite from two widespread localities have ages of 2.77–2.76 Ga. This implies that a significant proportion of the Precambrian highland of Southampton Island comprises high-grade plutonic rocks dating back to the Mesoarchean. Mylonitic biotite monzogranite yields an age of 2.61 Ga. Gabbroic anorthosite exposed west of the community of Coral Harbour is dated at 2.06 Ga, corresponding in age with rifting prior to the onset of the Trans-Hudson Orogen. Metamorphism related to the Trans-Hudson Orogen is recorded by zircon overgrowths dated between 1.88 Ga and 1.82 Ga in most rocks analyzed.

**Résumé :** De nouveaux résultats géochronologiques, issus de datations U-Pb à l'aide de la microsonde SHRIMP et d'analyses ID-TIMS (dilution isotopique et spectrométrie de masse à thermoionisation), ont mis en lumière une histoire archéenne de longue durée dans l'île Southampton, au Nunavut. La plus ancienne composante plutonique qui a été documentée est un complexe anorthositique datant d'environ 3,0 Ga, tandis que de la tonalite-diorite quartzique au faciès des granulites provenant de deux localités éloignées a livré des âges de 2,77-2,76 Ga. Cela signifie qu'une importante proportion des hautes terres précambriennes de l'île Southampton est constituée de roches plutoniques à fort degré de métamorphisme qui remontent au Mésoarchéen. Du monzogranite à biotite mylonitique a livré un âge de 2,61 Ga. De l'anorthosite gabbroïque affleurant à l'ouest de la collectivité de Coral Harbour a été datée à 2,06 Ga, ce qui correspond à l'âge du rifting, avant le début de l'orogenèse trans-hudsonienne. Le métamorphisme lié à l'orogenèse trans-hudsonienne se manifeste par des accroissements secondaires de zircon datant de 1,88 à 1,82 Ga dans la plupart des roches analysées.

### **GEOLOGICAL BACKGROUND**

Southampton Island, Nunavut is situated between the Archean-dominated western Churchill Province and the Paleoproterozoic Baffin-Ungava segment of the Trans-Hudson orogen (Fig. 1a). The island exposes a highland of Precambrian basement across much of its eastern half and flat-lying Paleozoic carbonate strata across its western half. The Precambrian basement complex consists predominantly of tonalite-granodiorite-granite gneiss (Fig. 1b) with enclaves and inclusions of mafic-ultramafic-anorthositic plutonic rocks and lesser metasedimentary rocks (Chakungal et al., 2007, 2008; Sanborn-Barrie et al., 2007, 2008, 2009, in press). These strongly foliated to gneissic plutonic rocks range from amphibolite to granulite facies (Berman et al., 2011), and are typically cut by centimetrewide, concordant monzogranitic veins, contributing to a pervasive lit-par-lit structure. Late-tectonic granitic plutons are small and rare.

Rayner et al. (2011) established the presence of Paleoproterozoic and lesser Archean rocks forming Southampton Island's basement complex. Archean rocks are represented by  $2692 \pm 6$  Ma hornblende-biotite monzogranite in the north, and  $2682 \pm 17$  Ma peraluminous granite that cuts Archean semipelite in the south-central part of the basement complex. Widespread Paleoproterozoic plutonic rocks include 1934  $\pm$  8 Ma quartz porphyry, 1852  $\pm$  8 Ma biotite-magnetite granodiorite,  $1842 \pm 5$  Ma quartz diorite, and  $1822 \pm 3$  Ma syenogranite (Rayner et al., 2011). In situ U-Pb monazite data from metasedimentary rocks constrained penetrative deformation and metamorphism to between 1.88 Ga and 1.82 Ga (Berman et al., 2011). Collectively, these data established profound magmatic and tectonometamorphic reworking of a Neoarchean crustal domain during the Paleoproterozoic, consistent with penetrative involvement in the Trans-Hudson collision between the Rae and Superior cratons.

The initial geochronological study from Southampton Island investigated only a limited number of lithological units, too few to adequately evaluate the extent and relative proportion of Archean versus Paleoproterozoic lithologies (Rayner et al., 2011). In addition, Nd isotopic data reported by Whalen et al. (2011) indicated significant reworking of Mesoarchean crust during the Neoarchean, yet little evidence for rocks of this age were documented. In this report, U-Pb data are presented for five plutonic samples to provide additional age characterization of some of Southampton Island's major map units. These data, in concert with field relationships, structural, geophysical, geochemical, and other isotopic data provide a much more comprehensive foundation upon which tectonic models for this region can be formulated.

### ANALYTICAL METHODS

Heavy minerals were separated using standard crushing, grinding and heavy liquid concentration techniques, followed by magnetic sorting of the heavy minerals with a Frantz isodynamic separator. Sensitive high-resolution ion microprobe (SHRIMP) analyses were carried out on four of the five samples discussed herein. Prior to analysis, the internal features of the zircon crystals (zoning, structures, alteration, etc.) were characterized with backscattered electrons (BSE) and/or cathodoluminescence (CL) utilizing a Zeiss Evo scanning electron microscope. SHRIMP analytical procedure and U-Pb calibration details are given in Stern (1997) and Stern and Amelin (2003). The analytical work presented here was collected over three sessions on three separate ion probe epoxy mounts under varying instrumental conditions. Specific analytical details for each sample are given in the footnotes of Tables 1 and 2. A fifth sample was analyzed by isotope dilution-thermal ionization mass spectrometry (ID-TIMS) following the methods described by Parrish et al. (1987). Mass spectrometric data reduction and numerical propagation of analytical uncertainties follow Roddick (1987). Isoplot v. 3.66 (Ludwig, 2003) was used to generate concordia plots and calculate weighted means. All ages quoted in the text are given at the 95% confidence level. The SHRIMP isotopic data are presented in Table 1, whereas ID-TIMS data are presented in Table 2. Isotopic ratios in Table 1 are given at  $1\sigma$  uncertainty, as are SHRIMP ages. The ID-TIMS ages (Table 2) are reported in the table with  $2\sigma$  uncertainties.

Trace-element analyses of zircon grains were carried out on one sample (08CYA-M243, IP519) in a separate analytical session. Twenty-six isotopic species were collected, including all the lanthanides, Ti, Y, Ba, Ta, Hf, Th, and U. Abundances were calibrated against standard 6266 that is chemically homogeneous at the millimicron scale (Stern, 2001). Measurement and calibration errors on abundances are typically between 2–5% (1  $\sigma$ ) with the exception of Ba (15–25%), La (20%), and Ta (17%). External uncertainties on the order of 2–5% relating to the standard composition determined by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (unpub. data) are not included. Results are presented in Table 3.

### **URANIUM-LEAD RESULTS**

# Sample 08CYA-J148a (z9710) Nalojoaq anorthosite

Along the east coast of Bell Peninsula (Fig. 1b), is a 2 km long, approximately 100 m wide exposure of light grey- to white-weathering anorthosite (J148a). The anorthosite is characterized by strong, straight, shallow-dipping gneissic layering (Fig. 2a) defined by centimetre- to metre-scale segregation of its mafic minerals (biotite±hornblende), and by a



**Figure 1. a)** Simplified geological map of northeastern Laurentia showing the context of Southampton Island: Abbreviations: BI = Big Island; BP = Boothia Peninsula; Cb = Chesterfield block; CB = Cumberland Batholith, CP = Cumberland Peninsula, CBb = Committee Bay belt; DB = Daly Bay; HBg = Hoare Bay group; HP = Hall Peninsula; Mi = Mill Island; MP = Melville Peninsula; Pi = Piling group; Pr = Penrhyn group; RB = Repulse Bay; Sa = Salisbury Island; SI = Southampton Island.**b)**Simplified bedrock geology of Southampton Island (*after*Sanborn-Barrie et al., in press). Geochronology sample locations for data presented and discussed in this paper are shown with black circles, with sample locations for U-Pb data presented in Rayner et al. (2011) shown with grey circles and abbreviated sample names. mt = magnetite bt = biotite, opx = orthopyroxene, hb = hornlende, grdr = granodiorite.

					08CYA	-J148A,	NAD83, zoi	e 17, 709t	3299N 495	5052E							I	Apparen	it ages (Ma	_	
Spot name	U (mqq)	Th (ppm)	₽⊃	<sup>206</sup> Pb*	<sup>204</sup> Pb	₩	f(206) <sup>204</sup> %	<sup>208*</sup> Pb	***	<sup>207*</sup> Pb	₩	206*Pb	∓%	Corr Coeff	<sup>207*</sup> Pb	₩	<sup>206</sup> Pb	± <sup>206</sup> Pb	<sup>207</sup> Pb	± <sup>207</sup> Pb <sup>206</sup> Pb	Disc. (%)
9710-60.1	20	0.03	0.001	9	6.0E-04	31	1.038	-0.0110	16.0	4.97	3.1	0.3204	1.9	0.598	0.1126	2.49	1792	29	1841	45	3.1
9710-52.2	874	36	0.04	247	7.4E-06	23	0.013	0.0122	2.1	5.13	1.6	0.3287	1.6	0.991	0.1132	0.21	1832	25	1851	4	1.2
9710-68.2	929	42	0.05	238	2.1E-05	24	0.037	0.0133	2.3	4.65	1.6	0.2976	1.6	0.984	0.1133	0.28	1679	23	1854	Ŋ	10.7
9710-9.1	2886	226	0.08	877	5.1E-06	32	0.009	0.0235	0.0	5.53	1.5	0.3536	1.5	0.997	0.1134	0.12	1952	26	1855	1 10	9.0
9710-103.1	881	44	0.05	252	9. IE-00 1.7E-05	37	0.029	0.0148	1.9	5.22	0.   1.0	0.3331	1.6	0.988	0.1137	0.25	1853	25	1859	- 4	0.4 4.0
9710-97.1	948	50	0.05	274	1.1E-05	33	0.018	0.0156	1.7	5.27	1.6	0.3363	1.6	0.989	0.1138	0.23	1869	25	1860	4	-0.5
9710-15.1	927	31	0.03	256	5.2E-06	41	0.009	0.0100	2.5	5.04	1.6	0.3210	1.6	0.989	0.1139	0.23	1795	24	1862	4	4.1
9710-4.1	2214	166	0.08	664	9.3E-08	56	0.000	0.0235	0.9	5.48	1.5	0.3489	1.5	0.997	0.1139	0.12	1929	26	1863	0	-4.1
9710-14.1	660	30	0.05	184	2.5E-05	30	0.043	0.0135	2.6	5.10	1.6	0.3242	1.6	0.983	0.1140	0.29	1810	25	1865	5	3.4
9710-77.1	851	36	0.04	242	4.4E-06	171	0.008	0.0133	2.1	5.21	1.6	0.3306	1.6	0.986	0.1142	0.26	1841	25	1867	5	1.6
9710-12.1	822	44	0.05	245	4.1E-06	32	0.007	0.0168	2.0	5.48	1.6	0.3475	1.6	0.989	0.1143	0.23	1923	26	1869	4	-3.3
9710-21.1	995	53	0.05	288	3.7E-06	56	0.006	0.0166	1.7	5.31	1.6	0.3367	1.6	0.990	0.1143	0.22	1871	25	1869	4	-0.1
9710-18.1	797	28	0.04	223	6.1E-06	40	0.011	0.0107	2.4	5.14	1.6	0.3251	1.6	0.987	0.1146	0.25	1815	25	1873	2	3.6
9710-85.1	844	38	0.05	241	7.3E-06	33	0.013	0.0135	3.2	5.25	1.6	0.3323	1.6	0.988	0.1146	0.25	1850	25	1874	4	1.5
9710-10.2	972	51	0.05	253	3.5E-06	47	0.006	0.0174	1.9	4.79	1.6	0.3030	1.5	0.987	0.1147	0.26	1706	23	1875	£	10.2
9710-2.1	37	0.1	0.002	÷	1.2E-04	20	0.201	0.0004	19.8	5.30	2.0	0.3350	1.7	0.880	0.1147	0.94	1862	28	1876	17	0.8
9710-96.1	1002	6	0.01	283	7.0E-06	41	0.012	0.0027	4.3	5.23	1.6	0.3295	1.6	0.996	0.1151	0.14	1836	25	1881	ო	2.8
9710-100.1	193	0.2	0.001	55	3.1E-05	36	0.053	-0.0004	20.1	5.28	1.6	0.3322	1.6	0.977	0.1153	0.34	1849	25	1885	9	2.2
9710-25.1	116	0.3	0.003	31	1.9E-05	310	0.033	0.0012	18.0	4.95	1.9	0.3101	1.7	0.907	0.1158	0.81	1741	27	1892	15	9.1
9710-65.1	30	1	1	8	3.0E-04	40	0.514	-0.0022	15.0	5.23	2.4	0.3258	1.8	0.739	0.1165	1.60	1818	28	1904	29	5.2
9710-82.1	21	0.02	0.001	9	1.2E-04	62	0.208	0.0045	24.6	5.38	2.5	0.3305	2.1	0.855	0.1181	1.28	1841	34	1928	23	5.2
9710-28.1	277	66	0.25	96	-1.1E-05	21	-0.019	0.0727	1.6	10.32	1.7	0.4030	1.6	0.917	0.1856	0.69	2183	29	2704	11	22.7
9710-20.1	309	62	0.21	131	2.0E-05	52	0.034	0.0581	1.4	13.71	1.7	0.4934	1.7	0.992	0.2015	0.22	2586	37	2838	4	10.8
9710-102.2	320	38	0.12	117	1.8E-05	39	0.031	0.0353	2.0	11.87	1.6	0.4270	1.6	0.985	0.2016	0.28	2292	90	2839	2	22.8
9710-87.1	404	67	0.17	179	5.3E-07	932	0.001	0.0499	1.3	14.37	1.6	0.5162	1.6	0.995	0.2019	0.15	2683	34	2841	0	6.8
9710-79.1	128	28	0.23	56	2.1E-05	108	0.036	0.0638	2.1	14.28	1.6	0.5128	1.6	0.985	0.2019	0.29	2669	35	2841	2	7.4
9710-78.1	503	54	0.11	223	1.7E-05	44	0.029	0.0313	1.5	14.38	1.7	0.5163	1.6	0.894	0.2020	0.78	2683	34	2843	13	6.8
9710-106.1	388	68	0.18	169	5.0E-05	21	0.087	0.0499	1.4	14.35	1.6	0.5089	1.6	0.995	0.2045	0.16	2652	34	2862	m 1	9.0
9710-102.3	402	56	0.14	135	1.4E-05	22	0.024	0.0391	1 10	11.03	1.6	0.3913	1.6	0.981	0.2046	0.31	2129	58	2863	n d	30.0
9/10-24.1	234	95	0.16	103	1.9E-05	90	0.033	0.0461	/.	14.48	ь. -	0.0133	8. 0	0.982	0.2046	0.35	1/97	40	2863		2.2
9/10-10.4	669	146	0.23	241	1.2E-05	155	0.020	0.0628	2. C	11.93	9.0	0.4222	9.0	0.989	0.2048	0.24	1/22	0.5	C082	4 (	24.6
9/10-36.1	4/9	97 70	GU.U	212	/.1E-06	194	210.0	0.0149		14.58	9. U	7124 0	9.1	0.977	1902.0	0.30	1892	<u>65</u>	/982	0 -	10.4
9/10-10.3	400	CO1	0.43		9.0E-U0	<u>-</u>	10.0	C/00.0	ч -	14.06	0. 4	1104-0	0. 4	0.000	0.000	770	2403	5 10	2000	4 c	4.0
9710-26.3	23	10	0.46	6	-1.0E-04	+ ה	-0.178	0.1357	3.7	13.30	0.2	0.4672	0.1	0.945	0.2065	0.65	2471	68	2879	÷	17.0
9710-16.1	367	43	0.12	164	3.5E-06	67	0.006	0.0357	1.6	14.89	1.7	0.5205	1.7	0.994	0.2075	0.19	2701	37	2886	e	7.8
9710-10.1	469	103	0.23	219	-3.2E-06	127	-0.006	0.0640	1.0	15.65	1.6	0.5437	1.5	0.997	0.2087	0.12	2799	35	2896	0	4.1
9710-68.1	314	55	0.18	144	4.3E-05	31	0.075	0.0517	1.4	15.49	1.6	0.5356	1.6	0.991	0.2098	0.21	2765	35	2904	e	5.9
9710-35.1	274	67	0.25	112	5.6E-06	72	0.010	0.0725	1.4	13.79	1.6	0.4767	1.6	0.993	0.2098	0.18	2513	33	2904	с ч	16.2
0710-4.2	1/0	- 4	21.0	36	3.1E-00	244	CUU.U	0.0500	ه م	15.40	0	0.4000	0. F	0.309	0.2100	0.24	70020	00 96	2004	t u	0.4 v v
9710-1021	360	41	0.120	174	1 1E-05	100	0.019	0.0339	- 1	16.45		0.56.37	9. 6	0.987	0.2117	0.06	2882	37	2918	0 4	1 C
9710-31.1	344	200	0.60	156	6.4E-05	17	0.111	0.1086	0.9	15.39	1.6	0.5273	1.6	0.995	0.2117	0.16	2730	35	2919	· m	7.9
9710-64.1	197	30	0.16	91	2.6E-05	35	0.045	0.0372	2.1	15.66	1.6	0.5350	1.6	0.991	0.2124	0.21	2762	35	2924	e	6.8
9710-23.1	197	24	0.13	88	5.2E-05	25	060.0	0.0347	2.2	15.27	1.6	0.5213	1.6	0.970	0.2124	0.39	2705	35	2924	9	9.2
9710-33.1	146	0	0.02	62	6.3E-05	27	0.109	0.0031	7.0	14.70	1.6	0.4965	1.6	0.971	0.2147	0.39	2599	34	2941	9	14.1
9710-84.4	167	7	0.05	61	6.1E-05	28	0.105	0.0128	7.3	12.60	2.0	0.4225	2.0	0.976	0.2163	0.44	2272	38	2953	7	27.3
9710-26.1	44	19	0.46	21	5.9E-05	33	0.102	0.1302	2.3	16.83	1.7	0.5640	1.6	0.976	0.2165	0.36	2883	37	2955	9	3.0
9710-58.1	47	25	0.54	21	1.9E-04	27	0.336	0.1521	2.2	15.94	1.7	0.5337	1.7	0.958	0.2167	0.50	2757	38	2956	8	8.3
9710-84.2	22	en o	0.04	31	6.3E-05	24	0.109	0.0091	7.0	14.18	1.7	0.4743	1.6	0.929	0.2168	0.65	2502	34	2957	10	18.5
9710-26.2	18	9	0.34	- !	3.1E-04	24	0.545	0.0899	5.3	13.86	2.1	0.4556	1.9	0.904	0.2207	0.90	2420	89	2986	4	22.7
9710-84.1	34	-	0.04	17	8.2E-05	50	0.142	0.0094	8.1	18.26	1.7	0.5923	1.6	0.964	0.2236	0.45	2999	39	3007	7	0.3
Error in 206Pb/ Standard erro	<sup>238</sup> U calibri r in standa	ation 1.5% Ird calibra:	<ul> <li>(include tion was</li> </ul>	d). 0.34% (nc	t included i	n above	errors but re	quired whe	in compar	ring data fi	rom diffe	rent mount	s).								
Analytical det	ails: moun	: IP516, 2	5 µm spo	t size, prii	mary beam	intensity	12nA O <sub>2</sub> -, 6	scans.		,											

Table 1. Uranium-lead SHRIMP analytical data.

	-			08	CVA-C17	7, NAD83,	zone 17, 7	248878	N 342784	ш [						4	pparent a	ages (Ma)		
U (ppm)	(mqq) (	₽⊃	<sup>206</sup> Pb* (ppm)	<sup>204</sup> Pb	*%	f(206) <sup>204</sup> %	<sup>208*</sup> Pb <sup>206*</sup> Pb	*%	<sup>207*</sup> Pb	%±	<sup>206+</sup> Pb	*≁	Corr Coeff	<sup>207</sup> * Pb	₩	<sup>206</sup> Pb <sup>238</sup> U	± <sup>206</sup> Pb <sup>238</sup> U	<sup>207</sup> Pb <sup>206</sup> Pb	± <sup>207</sup> Pb <sup>206</sup> Pb	Disc. (%)
517	56	0.11	156	3.9E-05	57	0.068	0.035	2.1	5.86	1.5	0.3510	1.4	0.967	0.1211	0.37	1939	24	1972	7	1.9
557	95	0.18	181	-4.9E-06	61	-0.009	0.060	1.3	7.93	1.4	0.3778	1.4	0.988	0.1522	0.21	2066	25	2371	4	15.0
336	102	0.31	133	8.8E-05	24	0.152	0.082	1.4	10.00	1.5	0.4598	1.4	0.977	0.1577	0.31	2439	29	2432	Ð	-0.4
638	142	0.23	248	3.8E-06	29	0.007	0.069	1.0	10.44	1.4	0.4531	1.4	0.993	0.1671	0.17	2409	28	2529	ო	5.7
329	61	0.19	133	2.0E-05	64	0.034	0.060	1.6	11.36	1.4	0.4697	1.4	0.984	0.1754	0.26	2482	29	2610	4	5.9
366	129	0.36	148	4.9E-05	25	0.085	0.100	1.2	11.56	1.5	0.4708	1.4	0.985	0.1780	0.25	2487	30	2635	4	6.8
567	223	0.41	236	2.0E-07	5244	0.000	0.116	0.8	12.16	1.4	0.4841	1.4	0.991	0.1821	0.19	2545	29	2672	e	5.7
374	129	0.36	166	-1.8E-08	6666	0.000	0.100	<del>.</del> .	13.26	1.4	0.5159	1.4	0.989	0.1865	0.21	2682	31	2711	e	1.3
412	177	0.44	188	4.1E-06	332	0.007	0.124	0.9	13.77	1.4	0.5325	1.4	0.989	0.1875	0.21	2752	32	2720	e	-1.4
393	149	0.39	171	1.0E-05	44	0.018	0.108	1.0	13.13	1.4	0.5065	1.4	0.989	0.1880	0.21	2642	31	2724	e	3.7
161	34	0.22	72	3.9E-05	126	0.068	0.064	2.0	13.71	1.5	0.5250	1.5	0.957	0.1893	0.45	2720	33	2737	7	0.7
391	154	0.41	167	1.2E-05	23	0.021	0.114	1.0	12.98	1.4	0.4962	1.4	0.989	0.1897	0.21	2598	30	2739	4	6.3
284	61	0.22	128	2.0E-05	34	0.035	0.060	1.7	13.73	1.5	0.5244	1.4	0.968	0.1898	0.37	2718	32	2741	9	1.0
386	81	0.22	178	5.8E-06	189	0.010	0.057	2.1	14.18	1.4	0.5374	1.4	0.989	0.1914	0.21	2772	32	2755	4	-0.8
372	137	0.38	162	8.1E-06	34	0.014	0.105	<del>.</del> .	13.44	1.4	0.5081	1.4	0.989	0.1918	0.22	2649	31	2758	4	4.8
324	87	0.28	144	6.7E-06	30	0.012	0.077	1.3	13.72	1.4	0.5179	1.4	0.988	0.1921	0.22	2690	31	2761	4	3.1
130	36	0.29	58	4.1E-06	952	0.007	0.078	2.0	13.86	1.5	0.5229	1.5	0.963	0.1922	0.42	2712	33	2761	7	2.2
367	144	0.40	172	7.6E-06	175	0.013	0.111	1.0	14.47	1.4	0.5436	1.4	0.988	0.1930	0.22	2798	32	2768	4	-1.3
314	124	0.41	149	6.5E-06	31	0.011	0.114	1.0	14.72	1.4	0.5523	1.4	0.989	0.1934	0.21	2835	33	2771	ო	-2.8
311	118	0.39	144	2.4E-04	11	0.413	0.109	1.1	14.35	1.4	0.5373	1.4	0.982	0.1936	0.27	2772	32	2773	4	0.1
570	242	0.44	268	-1.3E-07	5221	0.000	0.125	0.8	14.63	1.4	0.5474	1.4	0.993	0.1938	0.16	2814	32	2774	ო	-1.8
Pb/ <sup>238</sup> U ci error in st details: m	alibration andard ca tount IP51	1.37% ( alibratior 12, 25 µ	(included) n was 0.34 m spot siz	4% (not incl ze, primary l	uded in a oeam inte	bove errors ensity 10nA	s, but requi O <sub>2</sub> -, 6 sca	red whe ins	n compari	ing data	from differ	ent mour	ıts).							
P Stern, 1 P Stern, 1 P Sterners P Sterners P Sterners P D 22 P	997): the conve ation coefi ted at 10 tic Pb (co ic Pb (co e to origin a libration andard ce iount IP51	ntion x-) ficient and are it of tota rrected i 1 = 100 * 1.2% (ir ilibratior 19, 25 µu	y.z; where calculate for comm ((207/20) pm; age = roluded). r was 0.28 m spot siz	a x = samplk d by using { at its due to c on Pb). 6 age -206/, = 559 Ma;∞ = 559 Ma;∞	s number SQUID 2. Sommon   SPb/ <sup>238</sup> U 3 sPb/ <sup>238</sup> U 3	; y = grain n 23.08.10.21 Pb, calculat ((∞r/pb/₂∞epb = 0.09059. bbove errors	number, an 1, rev. 21 C ed using tl 3 age)).	id z = sp oct 2008 ne <sup>204</sup> Pb red whe red whe	ot number method; c n compari	r. common ing data	Pb compo	sition us	ed is the s nts).	urface blar	nk (4/6: C	.05770; 7	/6: 0.895C	00; 8/6: 2	13840).	

		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_
	Disc. (%)	-4.0	-17.7	4.5	2.3	-6.5	3.7	-5.8	0.3	1.2	-0.5	-2.7	0.1	2.0	9.8	6.7	-3.5	1.3	1.2	-0.6	-2.3	-2.3	0.7	-1.0	5.7	0.1	0.6	-0.6	1.3	2.2	-3.7	1.1		
a)	± <sup>207</sup> Pb <sup>206</sup> Pb	4	7	7	5	0	5	e	8	0	8	0	e	14	9	e	0	8	9	5	4	e	7	e	9	0	5	0	5	13	7	0		
t ages (M	<sup>207</sup> Pb <sup>206</sup> Pb	1819	1968	2622	2652	2667	2667	2677	2684	2698	2702	2704	2711	2714	2714	2727	2728	2732	2746	2751	2756	2756	2757	2763	2763	2820	2913	2916	3005	3028	3148	3185		
Apparen	± <sup>206</sup> Pb <sup>238</sup> U	23	27	31	31	32	31	32	31	30	31	31	31	32	31	30	32	33	34	31	33	32	39	31	32	33	34	33	35	36	39	35		
	<sup>206</sup> Pb	1883	2261	2525	2603	2808	2586	2803	2677	2671	2714	2764	2708	2670	2493	2577	2806	2703	2719	2764	2807	2808	2740	2784	2634	2818	2898	2930	2974	2974	3239	3157		
	%±	0.24	0.39	0.45	0.33	0.12	0.33	0.16	0.48	0.10	0.50	0.14	0.18	0.84	0.38	0.21	0.14	0.48	0.39	0.31	0.26	0.16	0.44	0.15	0.38	0.11	0.32	0.15	0.31	0.78	0.47	0.11		
	<sup>207*</sup> Pb	0.111	0.121	0.177	0.180	0.182	0.182	0.183	0.183	0.185	0.185	0.186	0.186	0.187	0.187	0.188	0.188	0.189	0.190	0.191	0.192	0.192	0.192	0.192	0.192	0.199	0.211	0.211	0.223	0.227	0.244	0.250		
	Corr Coeff	0.986	0.964	0.957	0.976	0.997	0.976	0.994	0.946	0.998	0.943	0.995	0.992	0.865	0.968	0.989	0.995	0.952	0.968	0.975	0.984	0.994	0.969	0.994	0.967	0.997	0.977	0.995	0.979	0.885	0.956	0.997	mounte)	IIIouirey.
	₩	1.4	1.4	1.5	1.5	1.4	1.5	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.5	1.5	1.4	1.4	1.5	1.5	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.7	1.4	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.4	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.4	lifferent	ווומומויי
	<sup>206*</sup> Pb	0.3392	0.4201	0.4795	0.4974	0.5458	0.4935	0.5447	0.5147	0.5134	0.5235	0.5353	0.5222	0.5131	0.4721	0.4916	0.5453	0.5208	0.5248	0.5354	0.5457	0.5459	0.5297	0.5402	0.5047	0.5483	0.5677	0.5753	0.5862	0.5862	0.6527	0.6319	ta from c	זומ ווטווי ט
	*≪	1.4	1.4	1.5	1.5	1.4	1.5	1.4	1.5	1.4	1.5	1.4	1.4	1.7	1.5	1.4	1.4	1.6	1.6	1.4	1.5	1.4	1.8	1.4	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.4	1.5	1.7	1.6	1.4	aring da	מוויקיי
389628E	<sup>207</sup> *Pb	5.20	7.00	11.68	12.34	13.66	12.35	13.72	13.02	13.09	13.38	13.71	13.42	13.21	12.16	12.76	14.16	13.56	13.78	14.10	14.41	14.42	14.00	14.33	13.39	15.06	16.51	16.76	18.05	18.31	21.98	21.79	umoo ned	
14574N	₩	0.9	3.0	1.1	0.8	1.3	1.0	0.9	2.0	0.6	0.8	0.8	1.1	0.9	1.8	1.1	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.8	0.7	1.1	4.9	1.2	1.2	1.2	0.9	1.1	0.9	1.9	0.4	0.5	anired w	no inh
ne 17, 71	<sup>208*</sup> Pb	0.101	0.003	0.316	0.387	0.014	0.273	0.064	0.014	0.074	0.152	0.077	0.075	0.292	0.248	0.068	0.055	0.363	0.386	0.076	0.421	0.059	0.022	0.019	0.182	0.038	0.318	0.137	0.325	0.236	0.106	0.175	ors but re	
IAD83, zc	f(206) <sup>204</sup> %	0.050	0.201	-0.047	0.147	0.004	0.034	0.042	0.012	0.004	-0.005	-0.002	0.015	0.023	0.097	0.028	0.019	0.004	-0.029	0.018	-0.015	-0.005	-0.063	0.008	0.030	0.010	0.011	0.000	0.048	0.007	0.003	0.005	ahove err	teneity 10
C106, N	**	27	12	47	23	30	25	39	117	62	54	421	36	29	37	15	32	2087	06	86	34	37	54	33	66	69	335	2334	51	907	138	32	i papi	
08CYA-	<sup>204</sup> Pb <sup>206</sup> Pb	2.9E-05	1.2E-04	-2.7E-05	8.5E-05	2.5E-06	2.0E-05	2.4E-05	6.9E-06	2.3E-06	-2.7E-06	-9.9E-07	8.6E-06	1.4E-05	5.6E-05	1.6E-05	1.1E-05	2.3E-06	-1.7E-05	1.0E-05	-8.8E-06	-2.7E-06	-3.6E-05	4.7E-06	1.8E-05	5.6E-06	6.6E-06	1.3E-07	2.7E-05	3.9E-06	1.5E-06	3.0E-06	% (not incli	/ / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /
	<sup>206</sup> Pb* (ppm)	242	359	44	62	597	52	296	333	598	145	281	157	62	61	190	250	43	45	292	76	200	ŝ	528	56	449	57	242	53	49	1070	437	ncluded).	was v.u.
	<u>н</u> о	0.35	0.02	1.10	1.34	0.05	0.96	0.23	0.05	0.26	0.53	0.27	0.27	1.01	0.89	0.24	0.19	1.28	1.39	0.27	1.49	0.21	0.08	0.07	0.64	0.14	1.17	0.48	1.14	0.88	0.38	0.63	.37% (it bration	יישוומו ס סק וות
	Th (ppm)	282	22	114	187	62	114	141	36	341	165	160	92	138	129	105	100	120	136	167	233	85	5	74	79	131	133	228	116	83	706	493	hard call	
	U (mqq)	832	994	107	144	1274	123	633	753	1356	322	611	350	141	149	450	533	97	101	634	161	426	72	1137	129	952	118	490	104	86	1909	804	<sup>238</sup> U calit	aile:mou
	Spot name	9707-105.1	9707-59.1	9707-116.1	9707-76.1	9707-63.1	9707-111.1	9707-36.1	9707-32.1	9707-77.1	9707-117.1	9707-104.1	9707-74.1	9707-101.1	9707-23.2	9707-19.2	9707-11.2	9707-38.2	9707-08.1	9707-107.1	9707-65.1	9707-118.1	9707-64.1	9707-38.1	9707-27.1	9707-11.1	9707-73.1	9707-110.1	9707-40.1	9707-78.1	9707-19.1	9707-23.1	Error in <sup>206</sup> Pb/ Standard arro	Analytical dat

Table 1. (Cont.)

(Cont.)
÷
ole
Tal

					08CY/	-M243A,	NAD83, zc	ne 17, 711	8161N 3	46478E								Apparent	ages (Ma		
	5	μ	님	<sup>206</sup> Pb*	<sup>204</sup> PD	;	f(206) <sup>204</sup>	<sup>208</sup> *Pb	;	207*Pb		Q4+90	;	Corr	207*Pb	;	<sup>206</sup> Pb	± <sup>206</sup> Pb	<sup>207</sup> Pb	± <sup>207</sup> Pb	Disc.
Spot name	(bpm)	(mdd)	- <sup>2</sup>	(mqq)		#%	%		+%		+ %	230 U	#%	Coeff	QL MODE	+1 1	238U	<b>D</b> 982	<b>GPD</b>	ad <sup>002</sup>	(%)
9/11-96.2	125	122	1.01	37	1.0E-32	100	0.000	0.305	4. 0	5.92		.3480	τ. τ.	0.742 (	0.1233	1.17	1925	22	2004	5	4.6
9711-91.1	140	133	0.98	4	5.9E-05	28	0.101	0.289	<del>ر</del> .	6.23	4	.3641	ς. Γ	0.906	0.1242	0.60	2002	52	2017	÷.	0.9
9711-29.1	131	141	1.12	41	6.2E-05	9	0.108	0.327		6.33	4	.3687	τ. ο.	0.930	0.1244	0.51	2023	53	2021	<b>б</b> I	-0.2
9711-96.1	205	212	1.07	64	1.9E-05	33	0.032	0.317	1.0	6.25	4.1	.3633	τ. ω	0.956	0.1247	0.40	1998	ស	2025	~	1.6
9711-27.1	198	164	0.86	64	1.5E-05	182	0.026	0.255		6.50	4.	.3761	τ. τ.	0.941	0.1254	0.47	2058	53	2034	~ <u>-</u>	-1.4
9/11-31.1	98	94	0.99	5	8.2E-05	34	0.142	0.289	9.1	6.45	3.1	3/34	τ. Γ.	0.892	0.1254	0.67	2045	52	2034	21	-0.6
9/11-33.1	50	° 1	00.1	202	7.1E-05	22	0.123	0.293	- 1	6.52	2 C	3/56		0.892	0.1258	0.67	2020	20	2040	2	-0.9
9/11-/4.2	/9/	100	R 10	22	Z.ZE-04	44	0.384	0.237		21.1	0.0	9449	ν. 	0.800	6021.0	79.0	23/2	12	2041	<u>4</u> 1	19.4
9/11-92.1	88	23/	1.5.1	00	3.0E-05	80	290.0	0.380	0.9	6.48		.3/31		0.853	0.1260	0.41	2044	N.	2043	<u> </u>	
9711-43.1	/8	7	0.94	25	2.1E-05	ო	0.036	0.282	<del>.</del>	6.49	1.5 C	.3734	ю. -	0.894 (	0.1260	0.67	2046	24	2043	12	-0.1
9711-72.1	149	147	1.02	49	2.8E-05	131	0.049	0.305		6.68	1.4 C	.3838	τ. ω	0.911 (	0.1262	0.58	2094	23	2045	10	-2.8
9711-65.1	162	209	1.33	56	3.0E-05	21	0.052	0.397	0.9	7.01	1.3 C	.4024	1.3	0.959 (	0.1263	0.38	2180	24	2047	7	-7.7
9711-3.1	182	274	1.55	57	9.1E-06	59	0.016	0.465	0.9	6.36	1.6 C	.3655	1.5	0.941 (	0.1263	0.54	2008	26	2047	თ	2.2
9711-15.1	87	86	1.02	29	9.0E-05	58	0.156	0.307	1.6	6.67	1.6	.3828	4.1	0.856 (	0.1263	0.82	2089	24	2047	15	-2.4
9711-92.2	100	69	0.97	50	1 0F-04	42	0 173	0.267	00	5.97	16	3428	14	3 85.3 (	1263	0.84	1900	23	2047	ц ц	с. С
0711 00 0	75	86	5.5	200		1 u	0.010	0000	- i -	0.0	- 4	1990	- c	2000	1064	0000	++00	2 6	00100	2 4	
7.00-11/6	2 5		1 5	1 00			0400			0.0		0020	2 0		1001	00.0		3 6	0100	2 7	1 0
3/11-20.1	2	501	۲. 	5 - 5	1.015-32	001	0.000	0.303	<u>1</u>	00.0	+	20/07	י ני י	1.901	1.1204	0.03	RCDZ	S	2049	_ 9	۰. م
9/11-69.1	111	120	1.12	35	3.0E-05	119	0.052	0.336		6.44	1.6	3692	5.	0.915 (	0.1265	0.65	2026	56	2050	12	1.4
9711-79.1	311	572	1.90	98	1.9E-05	38	0.033	0.563	0.6	6.42	1.4	.3664	4.	0.973 (	0.1270	0.33	2012	24	2057	9	2.5
9711-84.1	124	136	1.13	40	4.9E-05	21	0.085	0.335	<del>ر</del> .	6.55	1.4 C	.3733	с. Г	0.931 (	0.1272	0.51	2045	23	2060	თ	0.9
9711-81.1	80	74	0.96	26	4.2E-05	96	0.073	0.275	1.8	6.60	1.5 C	.3762	1.3	0.867 (	0.1273	0.77	2059	24	2061	14	0.1
9711-47.1	101	94	0.96	33	3.8E-05	21	0.066	0.281	1.5	6.63	1.4	3779	1.3	0.923 (	0.1273	0.55	2066	23	2061	10	-0.3
9711-77.1	103	114	1.14	ŝ	4.0E-5	20	0.070	0.345	1.4	6.56	1.4	3738	۲. ت	0.909	0.1273	0.60	2047	23	2061	÷	0.8
9711-91.2	191	169	0.91	60	3.3E-5	33	0.058	0.268		6.44	14	3671	5	0.952	1273	0.42	2016	23	2061	2	2.6
9711-63 1	130	145	- 13	43	5 0 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	8	0.102	0.337		666	τ. α 	370.0		0 061	1273	0.49	2012	200	2061	- σ	99
0711 05 1	10	2	2 0	2			0.074	10.00	- +	0.0	, u	0110	- C		1074	20.67	1000	8 6	0000	• <del>•</del>	0 U
9/11-00.0		000	9.7	17	0-11-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-	2 C	-0.014	0.0		0.02		21/0		0.000	1070	6.0 1		3 2	2002	4	0.0
2.62-11/6	101	22		20	2-10.2	80	0.044	0.313	<u>.</u>	5.99	+	.3403	<u>.</u>	J. 322	0/21.0	0.54	1000	N	CONZ	2 :	ה. מי
9711-22.1	103	107	1.07	ŝ	3.6E-5	24	0.062	0.323	1.4	6.51	1.4	.3700	т. С.	0.921	0.1277	0.56	2029	23	2066	10	2.1
9711-3.2	149	202	1.40	47	1.0E-32	100	0.000	0.397	1.1	6.42	1.4 C	.3647	1.3	0.936 (	0.1277	0.49	2005	22	2067	ი	3.5
9711-78.1	112	139	1.29	36	1.0E-32	100	0.000	0.380	12	6.54	1.4	.3703	<del>ا</del> ک	0.935 (	0.1281	0.51	2031	23	2072	თ	2.3
9711-37.1	108	105	100	35	1.9E-5	111	0.033	0.298	4	6.64	4	3759		0.918	1282	0.57	2057	23	2074	90	60
0711-78.9	07	11	01	800	2 2 2	00	0.008	0.949	α	6.17	- u	2488	0 0	9.975	1283	0.74	1000	200	2075	2 9	ο <del>τ</del>
0711-001	aut	1 20	1 22	1 C		04	0.000		2 0		о с - т	3725	2 0	900	1001	190	2046	100	2076	2 -	- r
1.02-11/6	8	000	2.0	38		ò		20000	<u>,</u>			0010	2 0		1001	3.6	0107	3 8	0102		- L - L
9/11-9/.2	18	26	0.98	02	-0.56-5	N	211.0-	0.290	י יפ	6.35		.3590		0.884	0.1284	17.0	19/8	52	50/02	2	0.0 1
9711-72.2	120	134	1.15	37	3.9E-5	33	0.068	0.330	1.5	6.34	1.4 C	.3580	ю.	0.913 (	0.1285	0.58	1973	22	2078	10	5.9
9711-34.1	91	64	0.73	30	3.1E-5	N	0.054	0.209	<del>.</del>	6.77	1.4 C	.3819		0.917 (	0.1286	0.57	2085	24	2079	10	-0.4
9711-8.1	56	56	1.03	19	-5.6E-5	188	-0.098	0.307	1.9	6.84	2.0	.3850	4.	0.699	0.1289	1.41	2100	25	2083	25	-1.0
9711-97.1	91	6	1.03	29	-1.3E-5	95	-0.023	0.304	1.6	6.69	1.4 C	.3750	1.3	0.911 (	0.1294	0.60	2053	23	2090	10	2.0
9711-87.1	87	91	1.09	28	-3.6E-5	86	-0.062	0.318	1.6	6.67	1.5	.3702	1.3 6	0.891 (	0.1307	0.67	2030	23	2108	12	4.3
9711-61.1	125	131	1.09	42	1.0E-32	100	0.000	0.308	1.3	7.12	1.5	3916	4	0.947 (	1319	0.47	2130	25	2124	œ	-0.4
9711-95.2	80	98	0 00	80	1 OF-32	100	0000	0.278	0.1	6 74	1 7	3644	LC T	9 808 (	1342	0 74	2003	26	2154	e e	- c
0711 61 0	406	8 8	6.0	9	1010-0		0.000	2000	- u	100	- 4		; u	0000	1010		0100	0,00	1010	2 0	- c
2.10-11/6		100		4	0-11-0-1-0-1-1-0		-0.00	1720	<u> </u>	1.11		1000		0100	040	20.0	7717	2	- 01 - 0	D 0	- 0
1.16-11/8	2	951	3	40	0-10-1	9/1	0.027	0.354	Ņ	c/./	4.	.404 /	<u>,</u>	J. 324	0.1389	0.54	2181	54	2213	מ	
9/11-8/.2	92	89	00.1	5	8.9E-5	02	0.155	0.295	/.1	1.46	/.1	.3884	4.	0.791	0.1394	90.1	9112	<b>G</b> 2	6122	8	5.5
9711-136.1	63	61	1.00	22	-3.8E-5	148	-0.065	0.307	1.9	7.72	1.6	.3995	4.	0.847 (	0.1401	0.86	2167	25	2228	15	3.3 9
9711-148.1	61	64	1.09	22	7.1E-5	193	0.122	0.321	1.7	8.24	2.0	.4257	4.	0.677 (	0.1403	1.50	2287	27	2231	26	9.0 -
9711-53.1	61	99	1.13	24	5.4E-5	98	0.094	0.329	1.5	8.93	1.5	.4538	1.3	0.877 (	0.1427	0.74	2412	27	2260	13	-8.1
9711-138.1	46	46	1.05	16	1.0E-4	39	0.175	0.291	2.2	8.02	1.7 0	4050	1.4	0.851 (	0.1436	0.87	2192	26	2271	15	4,1
9711-16.2	83	82	101	27	7 6E-5	110	0 132	0.278	00	7 59	17	3820	1 4	795 (	1442	1 03	2086	24	2278	8	σ
9711-74 1	265	302	1 17	go	1 5 F	80	0.025	0.344	80	8 60		4295	(°	0 075 (	1452	0.00	2303	22	2201		× 0-
0711-16.1	202	100	5	5-5	2010	900	0000	0.000	2.0	0.00 07 0	о с и	1260		808	1480	0.67	0000	90	0303	) <del>:</del>	. α 
0211061	8	8	3 2	- 00		0.0	0.000	1000	- u	0.0	, u	1100	t =			0.0		0	0000		2
9/ 11-90.1			5.0	500		5 0	0.010	0.00	<u>,</u>			4070	t c	1.00	1400	00.0	0400	0,00		2	t c
3/ 11-30.1		20	22.	8	2-12-2	00.00	000.0	0.000	<u>.</u>	3.02		0/01.		1.901	0.1434	20.0	2040	07	2002	ה	
9/11-9.1	ch Ch	2	52.	95	0./E-D	5	0.110	0.354	ן <u>כי</u>	9.29	4. 1	14409		J.92/	1001.0	0.53	2381	07	4022	ימ	+
8/11-53.2	83	90	PL-1	RZ	0.ZE-D	30	/01.0	0.341	1./	8.5T	۲. د.	.4047		J.897 (	1524	0.66	LBLZ	<b>C</b> 7	23/4	F	с. Г.
9711-17.1	89	65	1.00	27	4.3E-5	31	0.075	0.296	9.	10.02	1.6 C	.4629	1.5	0.938	0.1571	0.57	2452	31	2424	10	-1.4
Notes (see St	ern, 199.	:																			
Spot name to	lows the	conventic	on x-y.z;	where x =	: sample nu	nber, y =	grain numt	er, and z =	spot nun	nber.											
below dete	ction																				
Corr Coeff =	correlatio	n coefficit	ent .						:												
Uncertainties	reported	at 1o and	are cak	culated by	using SQU	U 2.23.0	8.10.21, re	r. 21 Oct 20	108. 				H -1		- 1-11- / 4/			0.00100		6	
		D 11120 12					alculated u			u, cuilliu			II SI NASh		s bidi in (4/	100.0.0	0, 10, 0	0,000,00	10. 2.1304	Ċ	
Discordance	ulogenic olativo to		100 * 1/0		р). 	020///2020	206 Dh 206														
Calibration et:	n ovinations		-10 nnm.		0 Ma: 206 Ph/	23811 - 0 0	ы, - и аус 1905-р														
Error in 206Ph/	<sup>238</sup> I calih	ration 1.2	"/~ (inclu		5 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·																
Standard erro	r in stand	lard calibi	-ation wa	ac 0 29% (	not include	in above	errors but	required w		aring dats	a from dif	erent moi	ints)								
Analytical det	inone ni n			ont size n	riot Included	n intencit		R scans		שווווא טמונ			. (enin								
Analyucal det		1111219,	ls uni cz	put size, p	ппагу реаг		y 1411A O <sub>2</sub> -	o scans.													

	08CYA-C179A-02 NA	D83, zor	le 17,722	9632N 3	32716E					Isotopic	c ratios <sup>6</sup>						Ages (	Ma) <sup>8</sup>			
		Wt.	5	Pb <sup>3</sup>	<sup>206</sup> Pb <sup>4</sup>	Pb <sup>5</sup>	<sup>208</sup> Pb	<sup>207</sup> Pb	±1SE	<sup>206</sup> Pb	±1SE	Corr.7	<sup>207</sup> Pb	±1SE	<sup>206</sup> Pb		<sup>207</sup> Pb		<sup>207</sup> Pb		%
Fract.1	Description <sup>2</sup>	(bn)	(mqq)	(mqq)	<sup>204</sup> Pb	(bd)	<sup>206</sup> Pb	<sup>235</sup> U	Abs	<sup>238</sup> U	Abs	Coeff.	<sup>206</sup> Pb	Abs	<sup>238</sup> U	±2SE	<sup>235</sup> U	±2SE	<sup>206</sup> Pb	±2SE	Disc
Z1A (1)	Pk, Clr, rln, Eu, El, Dia	6	187	92	9378	5	0.09	10.377	0.012	0.4575	0.0004	0.944	0.16450	0.00007	2428.5	3.5	2469.0	2.1	2502.5	1.4	3.6
Z1B (1)	Pk, Clr, rln, Eu, El, Dia	6	211	92	20289	2	0.07	8.560	0.010	0.4135	0.0004	0.946	0.15015	0.00006	2230.9	3.3	2292.4	2.0	2347.7	1.4	5.9
Z2A (1)	Pk, Clr, rln, Eu, El, Dia	13	246	97	42259	2	0.06	7.330	0.008	0.3839	0.0003	0.942	0.13848	0.00006	2094.5	3.2	2152.5	2.0	2208.3	1.4	6.0
Z2B (1)	Pk, Clr, rln, Eu, El, Dia	22	191	86	68071	2	0.08	9.110	0.010	0.4273	0.0004	0.945	0.15462	0.00006	2293.7	3.4	2349.1	2.1	2397.7	1.4	5.2
Z3A (1)	Co, Clr, rln, Eu, Eq, Dia	12	203	92	41373	0	0.09	8.983	0.010	0.4236	0.0004	0.947	0.15379	0.00006	2277.0	3.5	2336.3	2.1	2388.5	1.4	5.5
Z3B (1)	Co, Clr, rln, Eu, Eq, Dia	14	123	62	28832	2	0.11	10.594	0.012	0.4628	0.0004	0.941	0.16601	0.00007	2452.0	3.7	2488.2	2.1	2517.9	1.4	3.2
Notes:																					
$^{1}Z = zirco$	in fraction; Number in bracke	sts refers	to the num	ther of gra	ins in the an	alysis.															
²Zircon d€	sscriptions: Co = colorless, I	<sup>-</sup> k = pink,	Clr = clea	ır, rln = rar	e inclusions,	, Eu = euł	nedral, El =	elongate,	Eq = equa	nt, Dia = d	iamagnetic	ġ									
<sup>3</sup> Radioge	nic Pb.																				
<sup>4</sup> Measure	d ratio, corrected for spike a	and fractic	nation.																		
<sup>5</sup> Total con	nmon Pb in analysis correct	ed for frac	stionation &	and spike.																	
<sup>6</sup> Correcte	d for blank Pb and U and cc	mmon Pt	), errors qu	uoted are	1σ absolute;	procedura	ıl blank val	ues for this	study are	0.1 pg U a	Ind 1 pg Pt	ö.									
Pb blank	isotopic composition is base	∋d on the	analysis c	of procedui	ral blanks; co	orrections	for commo	n Pb were	made usini	g Stacey a	ind Kramei	rs (1975) d	compositio	ns.							
<sup>7</sup> Correlati	on coefficient																				
<sup>8</sup> Correcte	d for blank and common Pb	, errors qi	Joted are	2σ in Ma.																	
The error	on the calibration of the GS	3C 205Pb-2	33 <b>U-</b> 235U sp	vike utilize	d in this stud	ly is 0.22%	s (2σ).														

granoblastic texture that overprints and partially obscures gneissic layering. Across the exposure, the anorthosite unit is cut by several 3–5 m wide pale pink-weathering monzogranite pegmatite dykes at a high angle to gneissosity (Fig. 2b).

Zircon grains are diverse in appearance, with some clear and colourless, whereas others have an orange stain. Many grains are characterized by distinct cores and rims, whereas others are composed of a single phase of zircon. Singlecomponent zircon may exhibit oscillatory zoning, but may also be unzoned (Fig. 2d, e). Core-rim relationships are visible in plane light (Fig. 2c), as well as in BSE images (e.g. Fig. 2f, g, h). Both zoned and unzoned zircon may be present as cores. Two chemically distinct rims have been indentified: 1) unzoned low-U (dark BSE) rims with Th abundances less than 0.3 ppm (Fig. 2h), and 2) unzoned high-U (bright zones in BSE *see* Fig. 2f, g), low Th/U (0.03–0.08) rims. Zircon grains with similar chemistry to both types of rims are also present as single-component grains.

Archean ages ranging from 3.0 Ga to 2.7 Ga are documented from cores and oscillatory-zoned zircon (Table 1, Fig. 2i). Replicate analyses on individual grains are not reproducible and most of these analyses are between 5% and 30% discordant. The scatter and relatively large amounts of discordance in the Archean data set complicate the interpretation of these results. The data define a discordant array along a discordia between the age of the oldest analyses and Paleoproterozoic ages recorded by zircon rims. This trend is consistent with a Paleoproterozoic overprint of a single population of zircon the age of which is constrained by the oldest, most concordant result. Based on these observations, the authors consider that the best estimate of the crystallization age of the anorthosite is  $3007 \pm 14$  Ma (grain 84,  $2\sigma$  error).

Single-phase grains or zircon rims with low U and negligible Th are common, however, only five zircons with sufficient U (20–200 ppm U) to yield a result with useful precision were analyzed. These yield a weighted mean  $^{207}Pb/^{206}Pb$  age of 1886 ± 17 Ma. The high-U overgrowths range in age from 1850 Ma to 1880 Ma, with a dominant mode of 1.865 Ga, but do not yield a single statistical population. Both of these zircon populations have low, to extremely low, Th/U ratios consistent with a metamorphic origin.

The low-U character of the ca. 3.0 Ga population recovered from 08CYA-J148a is consistent with crystallization from an anorthositic magma, whereas the low-U low-Th grains and rims are chemically consistent with a metamorphic origin, independently established in this region at 1.88 Ga and 1.86 Ga (Berman et al., 2011). The present authors propose infiltration of a fluid derived from cross-cutting pegmatite dykes, as evidenced by presence of trace apatite in anorthosite proximal to pegmatite, as the cause of ca. 1.865 Ga high-U zircon growth.

Table 2. Uranium-lead TIMS analytical data

Spot name	F	>	Ba	La	ç	P	PN	ms	Eu	Gd	ß	우	ш	ą	3	Та	Ē	٩۶	Ħ	Ę	5	se*	Eu*	Ade (Ma)	
9711-3.1	12	538	0.029	0.006	5.5	0.078	0.97	2.03	0.45	10.9	46	17.1	80	3.5	30	0.8	17.4	174	10337	237	160	62.6	0.293	2047	
9711-3.2	13	538	0.041	0.131	6.0	0.129	1.36	2.38	0.52	12.2	50	18.5	83	4.1	33	0.6	19.0	178	10315	250	160	11.2	0.295	2067	
9711-9.1	27	374	0.032	0.007	4.3	0.074	0.65	1.32	0.26	7.2	32	12.2	57	2.5	21	0.5	12.6	116	7912	107	89	44.1	0.257	2354	
9711-17.1	23	166	0.026	0.006	3.4	090.0	0.39	0.64	0.14	3.3	15	5.4	26	÷.	10	0.5	5.9	56	8500	58	60	45.2	0.288	2424	
9711-29.1	12	248	0.025	0.005	4.5	0.049	0.39	0.67	0.18	4.1	20	7.8	38	1.4	17	0.5	8.9	92	9419	141	125	70.3	0.330	2021	
9711-29.2	6	252	0:030	0.005	4.9	0.027	0.28	0.61	0.15	4.1	20	8.0	40	1.4	17	0.7	9.0	94	9874	147	140	100.8	0.290	2026	
9711-33.1	12	231	0.035	0.029	4.8	0.088	0.94	0.99	0.19	4.4	20	7.5	36	1.5	14	0.5	8.4	79	7323	78	77	23.1	0.271	2040	
9711-33.2	10	212	0.024	0.019	4.4	0.054	0.52	0.81	0.17	3.9	18	6.8	32	1.3	13	0.4	7.2	72	7525	17	70	33.0	0.283	2049	
9711-53.1	14	222	0.033	0.012	4.0	0.047	0.51	0.96	0.26	4.8	20	7.2	34	1.5	14	0.5	7.4	76	7872	99	60	39.9	0.372	2260	
9711-53.2	17	246	0.023	0.007	3.7	0.032	0.55	0.95	0.27	5.1	21	7.9	88	1.6	16	0.6	8.4	83	8826	85	72	61.3	0.379	2374	
9711-72.1	10	332	0.021	0.005	4.7	0.037	0.40	0.85	0.21	5.2	26	10.5	52	1.9	22	0.7	11.4	123	10820	152	138	86.6	0.300	2045	
9711-72.2	14	299	0.022	0.005	4.3	0.034	0.41	0.84	0.20	5.3	25	9.6	47	1.8	20	0.6	10.9	109	10607	128	112	83.9	0.295	2078	
9711-74.1	12	639	0.045	0.007	6.5	0.052	0.67	1.67	0.45	10.8	53	20.1	66	3.7	41	0.7	21.9	219	7447	306	248	82.9	0.320	2291	
9711-74.2	17	148	0.031	0.013	3.5	0.044	0.31	0.47	0.14	2.5	12	4.7	24	0.9	÷	0.7	5.6	59	9814	60	71	35.7	0.401	2041	
9711-78.1	13	355	0.037	0.023	4.5	0.057	0.57	1.09	0.30	6.4	30	11.4	56	2.1	23	0.6	12.5	129	10014	134	105	30.0	0.346	2072	
9711-78.2	15	268	0.025	0.004	3.6	0.031	0.38	0.80	0.21	4.7	22	8.6	42	1.6	17	0.6	9.4	94	9195	92	11	79.5	0.329	2075	
9711-91.1	10	252	0.040	0.013	5.2	0.043	0.41	0.72	0.19	4.1	21	7.8	40	1.4	18	0.4	9.6	66	8396	140	136	53.8	0.335	2017	
9711-91.2	8	261	0.020	0.004	4.9	0.037	0.28	0.54	0.16	3.6	19	7.9	41	1.3	20	0.5	9.6	105	9048	136	154	101.3	0.349	2061	
9711-95.1	21	269	0.026	0.003	3.9	0.046	0.41	0.85	0.17	5.0	23	8.7	41	1.7	15	0.5	8.8	88	7830	82	80	78.1	0.251	2332	
9711-95.2	21	214	0.026	0.005	3.6	0.026	0.33	0.66	0.14	3.8	18	6.9	33	1.3	12	0.4	7.0	71	7297	76	75	80.3	0.268	2154	
9711-96.1	7	373	0.030	0.007	6.3	0.045	0.50	0.97	0.22	6.0	30	11.7	58	2.0	25	0.6	12.9	134	11053	143	149	87.0	0.275	2025	
9711-96.2	9	277	0.040	0.006	4.1	0.033	0.38	0.81	0.19	4.7	23	8.9	43	1.6	18	0.5	9.9	98	9799	102	103	68.5	0.297	2004	
Notes:																									
Grain and ani	alysis nu	umbering	keyed to ic	dentical nu	Jumberinç	J on U-Pb J	able 1.																		
Ce* = Ce anc	maly (C	echondrite no	<sub>2rm</sub> /SQRT(I	La <sub>chondrite-nc</sub>	Pr srm *Pr	drite-norm)).																			
Eu* = Eu ano	maly (E	U chondrite no	<sub>۲m</sub> /SQRT(؛	Sm <sub>chondrite-n</sub>	orm *Gd <sub>ch</sub>	ondrite-norm)).																			
Detection lim	it is abou	ut 3 ppb.																							
Age information	on is tak	ken direct	ly from Tat	ble 1.																					

Table 3. SHRIMP trace-element results from selected zircon grains from sample 08CYA-M243.



**Figure 2. a)** Strongly recrystallized Nalojoaq anorthosite 08CYA-J148; note unconsolidated plagioclase grit-weathered material. Photograph by M. Sanborn-Barrie. 2013-011. **b)** Overview of exposure showing a crosscutting pegmatite dyke. Photograph by M. Sanborn-Barrie. 2013-013. **c)** Plane-light image of zircon selected for SHRIMP U-Pb analysis. **d)**, **e)**, **f)**, **g)**, **h)** Corresponding back-scattered electron images of zircon grains shown in Figure 2**c**. *See* text for description. **i)** Concordia diagram of zircon SHRIMP analyses. Interpreted igneous zircon shown by white ellipses, low-U zircon grains and rims shown by dark grey ellipses, high-U rims and grains shown by light grey ellipses. Error ellipses are  $2\sigma$ . Dashed line represents a free regression through the data. Inset: detail of Paleoproterozoic zircon results. *See* text for discussion.

#### Sample 08CYA-C177A (z9708) amphibolebiotite-orthopyroxene tonalite

A significant component of Southampton Island's basement complex (Fig. 1b) is composed of a granulite-grade (orthopyroxene-bearing) gneissic suite that also occurs as enclaves within regionally extensive biotite-hornblendegranodiorite. Two geographically widespread samples were collected in order to evaluate the age of this suite. A sample of orthopyroxene tonalite was collected from the north (C177; Fig. 1b), whereas an orthopyroxene-biotite quartz diorite (C106a; Fig. 1b, results given below) was collected from the south, near the hamlet of Coral Harbour.

Sample C177 was taken from a 20 m by 10 m tonalitic enclave within biotite-hornblende-granodiorite. Internal to the tonalite (not shown) are elongate melanocratic gabbroic inclusions that may be tightly folded. Collectively, these lithologies are cut by foliation-parallel monzogranite veins (Fig. 3a). Zircon grains extracted from the sample are predominantly equant to stubby prisms with resorbed and/or rounded facets with a small number of more elongate prismatic grains. Faint oscillatory zoning is observed in many zircon grains, commonly as cores surrounded by unzoned rims (Fig. 3b, grain 3). In other cases, there is a discordant, but diffuse contact between oscillatory-zoned zircon and patchy, unzoned zircon suggestive of partial recrystallization (Fig. 3b, grain 63). Twenty-three zircon grains analyzed yielded dates ranging from 2774 Ma to 1972 Ma, forming an array with a cluster of concordant ages at  $2770 \pm 6$  Ma (weighted mean  $^{207}Pb/^{206}Pb$  age, n = 6, MSWD = 2.4), and a Paleoproterozoic lower intercept (Table 1, Fig. 3b). Given the evidence for partial recrystallization, the zonation patterns in the BSE images, and the evidence for Trans-Hudson Orogen related metamorphism of this region (Berman et al., 2011; Rayner et al., 2011), these younger ages are suspected to reflect variable degrees of Pb loss. The weighted mean  ${}^{207}\text{Pb}/{}^{206}\text{Pb}$  age of 2770 ± 6 Ma for the six oldest grains is interpreted as the crystallization age of the orthopyroxene-bearing tonalite.



**Figure 3.** a) Greasy-green–weathering orthopyroxene-bearing tonalite from which sample 08CYA-C177 was collected. Hammer is approximately 27.5 cm in length. Photograph by J. Chakungal. 2013-010. b) Concordia diagram for zircon SHRIMP analyses for 08CYA-C177. Error ellipses are  $2\sigma$ . The crystallization age is calculated with the five oldest analyses highlighted in grey. Inset: backscatter images (spot error reported at  $1\sigma$ ) representative of types of overgrowths and/or recrystallization textures observed in the zircon grains.

# Sample 08CYA-C106a (z9707) orthopyroxene-biotite quartz diorite

Sample C106a is strongly foliated, medium-grained orthopyroxene-bearing quartz diorite containing abundant dioritic-noritic xenoliths, within which garnet porphyroblasts mantled by plagioclase occur locally. The greasy-green fresh surfaces are interpreted to reflect high-temperature modification of feldspar, and together with orthopyroxene±garnet assemblages support granulite-facies metamorphism of these rocks, prior to intrusion of pink-weathering biotite alkali-feldspar monzogranite (Fig. 4a).

Zircon grains are mostly 200 µm long or less, rounded to subhedral prisms characterized by oscillatory zoning. Many grains are distinguished by rims low in U relative to their distinct cores (i.e. grain 19 Fig. 4b), with high-U rims only rarely observed. Thirty-one analyses were conducted on 27 separate zircon grains encompassing the full range of morphological characteristics. The results range in age from 3.2 Ga to 1.8 Ga (Table 1, Fig. 4b). The oldest results, between 3185 Ma and 2820 Ma, are derived largely from cores and are interpreted as inherited based upon the spread in these older ages. The majority of the <sup>207</sup>Pb/<sup>206</sup>Pb results range between 2763 Ma and 2622 Ma, with a small cluster of analyses at the upper end of this range. The weighted mean of the seven oldest zircon grains from this population, which consist of mainly single-phase zircon, yield an age of  $2757 \pm 5$  Ma (MSWD = 1.8). The ages of low-U rims (ca. 2.73 Ga to 2.62 Ga) overlap those of the cores and single phase zircons, however, the low-U rims tend to cluster at slightly younger ages (ca. 2.72 Ga). Given the complex nature if these results, the authors are unable to demonstrate that the low-U overgrowths with ages that cluster at ca. 2.72 Ga are distinct from the 2757  $\pm$  5 Ma population and consider this older age as the best estimate for the time of crystallization. Analyses of two high-U rims yielded Paleoproterozoic ages of  $1819 \pm 4$  Ma and  $1968 \pm 7$  Ma: the former (4% discordant) is attributed to fluid related to crosscutting monzogranite and the latter (18% discordant) likely due to overlap of the analytical pit on a thin, high-U overgrowth with an Archean core.

# Sample 08CYA-C179a (z9709) deformed amphibole-biotite monzogranite

A sample of homogeneous, strongly deformed biotitemagnetite monzogranite was collected from near Cape Arvinguaq in the northeast quadrant of the exposed basement (Fig. 1b). Here, highly tectonized, feldspar porphyroclastic straight gneiss displays a very strongly developed L>S fabric defined by ribboned quartz and continuous seams of biotite (Fig. 5a) oriented 240/68°NW, and a strong, shallow, westplunging mineral lineation. This locality coincides with a positive aeromagnetic anomaly with a strike length of 35 km and breadth of 8 km, which reflects a predominance of variably strained, magnetite-bearing granitic rocks. This sample was collected to constrain the magmatic age of a regionally extensive unit and provide a maximum age of localized high strain, established elsewhere on the basis of overprinting structural fabric relationships as regional  $D_{2}$ .

Zircon grains recovered include high-quality, clear, pale pink elongate prisms as well as high-quality, clear, colourless equant grains. Due to the high quality of the zircon grains and apparently simple morphologies, this sample was analyzed using the ID-TIMS technique. Core-overgrowth relationships, observed in some cases, were avoided in the grains selected for analysis (Fig. 5b, inset i). Six singlegrain fractions of elongate and equant zircons (Table 2) were analyzed via ID-TIMS after being chemically abraded for 6 hours at 180°C according to a method modified from that of Mattinson (2005). Six single-grain fractions are between 3% to 6% discordant and are strongly colinear (Fig. 5b). A regression through all six fractions (MSWD = 1.6) yields an upper intercept age of  $2618 \pm 4$  Ma and a lower intercept of 1844  $\pm$  7 Ma. Given the strain state of the monzogranite, as well as tectonometamorphic constraints from in situ U-Pb monazite dating that establish regional D<sub>2</sub> deformation and associated metamorphism (M<sub>4</sub>) at 1.86–1.84 Ga (Berman et al., 2011), the present authors interpret the upper intercept age of  $2618 \pm 4$  Ma to represent the crystallization age, and the lower intercept age of ca. 1.84 Ga to reflect penetrative Paleoproterozoic D<sub>2</sub>M<sub>4</sub> tectonometamorphism. Subsequent SEM imaging (see Fig. 5b, inset ii) of zircon grains from this sample revealed the presence of thin, unzoned high-U overgrowths as well as diffuse patchy zoning, not visible in plane light, both of which are texturally consistent with a Paleoproterozoic metamorphic overprint.

# Sample 08CYA-M243a (z9711) gabbroic anorthosite

Gabbro and gabbroic anorthosite occupy the southwest part of the exposed basement complex and occur as an isolated inlier 80 km southwest of Coral Harbour (M98; Fig. 1b). In general, this mafic unit comprises weakly to strongly foliated gabbro, leucogabbro, and gabbroic anorthosite composed of 50-20% hornblende-biotite±clinopyroxene±garnet. In leaststrained exposures, mafic phases occur as 3-8 mm clots set in a fine-grained recrystallized groundmass of plagioclase (Fig. 6a, inset). A more evolved leucogabbro with 2% modal quartz (08CYA-M243; Fig. 1b) was selected for U-Pb dating to increase the likelihood of zircon being present as an accessory phase. The strain state of this gabbroic body is variable with clear evidence for two generations of fabrics. Local recognition of both generations of tectonic fabrics in this mafic pluton (Fig. 6a) allows that its crystallization age is a maximum age of regional D<sub>1</sub> and D<sub>2</sub> deformation in this part of the basement complex.

Zircon grains are clear, colourless, anhedral fragments or equant prisms (Fig. 6b, inset). Anhedral fragments yield a weighted mean  $^{207}$ Pb/ $^{206}$ Pb age of 2058 ± 4 Ma, which is interpreted as the crystallization age (Table 1, Fig. 6b).



**Figure 4. a)** Greasy-green–weathering orthopyroxene-bearing quartz diorite from which sample 08CYA-C106 was collected. Hammer is approximately 80 cm in length. Photograph by J. Chakungal. 2013-014. Inset: Photograph by J. Chakungal. 2013-009. **b)** Concordia diagram for zircon SHRIMP analyses. Error ellipses are  $2\sigma$ . The crystallization age is calculated using the seven analyses highlighted in grey. Two discordant Paleoproterozoic results are not shown, but their ages and error are reported at the  $1\sigma$  uncertainty level. *See* text for discussion. Inset: backscatter images (spot error reported at  $1\sigma$ ) representative of the textures observed in the zircon grains. Probability density diagram of the interpreted igneous zircons (2780 Ma to 2620 Ma).



**Figure 5. a)** Strongly deformed to mylonitic monzogranite from locality 08CYA-C179. Photograph by M. Sanborn-Barrie. 2013-008. **b)** Concordia diagram of zircon TIMS analyses. Error ellipses are  $2\sigma$ . Inset i: plane-light image of zircon where core and/or overgrowth textures are not evident selected for TIMS analysis. Inset ii: back-scattered electron image of representative zircon that displays subtle core-overgrowth relationship.



Some fragments and equant prisms plot along concordia as a broadly linear array with 207Pb/206Pb ages up to 2424 Ma; however, morphology, zoning, and U-Th composition do not correlate with these older ages. The array of older results may be indicative of partial recrystallization with accompanying Pb loss from an inherited population. Trace element analyses were subsequently carried out to aid in the recognition of any cryptic, older phases. All zircon grains, regardless of age, have overlapping trace-element compositions exhibiting a pattern diagnostic of magmatic zircon, with positive Ce anomalies, negative Eu anomalies, and strong HREE enrichment (Fig. 6c; Hoskin and Schaltegger, 2003). Assuming that the inherited grains belong to a single population, consistent with their homogeneous appearance and chemical characteristics, the U-Pb systematics of which have been affected by the emplacement of the leucogabbro, the <sup>207</sup>Pb/<sup>206</sup>Pb age of the oldest inherited zircon (2424 Ma) would represent the minimum age of that population.

### **DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS**

Rayner et al. (2011) presented the first U-Pb age determinations from Southampton Island that documented local existence of Archean granitoid rocks and underscored the



Figure 6. a) Variably strained leucogabbro and gabbroic anorthosite from which 08CYA-M243A was collected. Inset: clotty mafic phases in a fine-grained recrystallized groundmass of plagioclase. Photograph by J. Chakungal. 2013-012. b) Concordia diagram of zircon SHRIMP analyses. The crystallization age was calculated based on 32 analyses highlighted in grey. Error ellipses are 2o. Inset: Plane-light image of zircon selected for SHRIMP analysis. c) Rare-earth element spider diagram for zircon. Normalization values of Sun and McDonough (1989). Note that the trace-element results for all analyses are indistinguishable. Inset: detail of results from two grains, illustrating trace-element behaviour does not vary with age and inferred degree of disturbance in the U-Pb isotopic system. Photograph by M. Sanborn-Barrie. 2013-015.

importance of Paleoproterozoic magmatism and tectonometamorphic reworking in this region. The additional data presented here further establishes the Archean ancestry of Precambrian rocks exposed across Southampton Island, revealing that they are more extensive and older than recognized by the initial dating program. The new U-Pb data support field observations that mafic plutonic rocks represent some of the oldest lithological components exposed on Southampton Island (Sanborn-Barrie et al., 2008). Maficultramafic-anorthositic rocks commonly occur as deformed enclaves contained within the orthopyroxone-bearing tonalite-granodiorite-granite gneiss complex, previously dated at ca. 2.7 Ga (Rayner et al., 2011) and here established to include significant ca. 2.77-2.76 Ga phases. Anorthosite sample 08CYA-J148A yielded a direct age constraint on the ancient mafic plutonic phase, with an interpreted crystallization age of 3.0 Ga. This agrees with the reinterpretation of U-Pb data from a sample of gabbroic anorthosite (M38B) from a layered mafic-ultramafic intrusion located 150 km to the northwest (Fig. 1b). Previously, a significant population of ca. 3 Ga zircon was considered to be xenocrystic in origin (Rayner et al., 2011); however, integration of zircon morphology, U-Pb data and recently acquired rare-earth-element data for different age populations of zircon separates (Rayner et al., 2012) collectively presented a strong case for ca. 3 Ga crystallization with a  $1870 \pm 10$  Ma metamorphic overprint, the latter consistent with the timing of metamorphism reported by Berman et al. (2011). Both dated anorthositic units comprise relatively large bodies (100 m to kilometre scale) that display high (J148) to moderate (M38) strain and pervasive crystallization. While these samples document an episode of mafic magmatism at ca. 3 Ga, one cannot rule out additional mafic plutonic events to account for other mafic plutonic enclaves within the 2.77–2.76 Ga gneissic suite.

Two of the samples analyzed in this study contribute further insight into the Neoarchean magmatic evolution of Southampton Island. Orthopyroxene-bearing tonalite (08CYA-C177) yields a crystallization age of  $2772 \pm 3$  Ma, which is consistent with this unit occurring as enclaves within regionally extensive granodiorite, the latter unit dated at 2692  $\pm$  6 Ma (Rayner et al., 2011) at a locality 8 km to the west (M62, Fig. 1b). Notably, older inherited zircon was not recovered from this sample, as might be expected given its crustal residence age of 3.3 Ga (Whalen et al., 2011). Orthopyroxene-bearing quartz diorite (08CYA-C106) yields a crystallization age of  $2758 \pm 5$  Ma. This sample has an identical Nd model age as the orthopyroxene-bearing tonalite, but in this sample, an inherited component at 3.2 Ga, 3.0 Ga, and 2.9 Ga is documented by the zircon data. These results establish that regionally extensive granulite facies plutonic rocks are Neoarchean in age, and that the ca. 1.93 Ga age reported for sample B99 (Fig. 1b) in Rayner et al. (2011) is not representative of basement on Southampton Island. Uranium-lead basement crystallization ages between 2.77-2.75 Ga with Nd model ages of 3.6 Ga to 3.0 Ga (Whalen et al., 2011) support correlation of Southampton Island with the northeast Rae craton.

The crystallization age of  $2618 \pm 4$  Ma for mylonitic biotite-magnetite monzogranite (08CYA-C179) suggests that a suite of ca. 2.6 Ga granitic rocks that transect the Rae craton to the northwest (Hinchey et al., 2011) and to the north (N. Wodicka, unpub. data, 2011) are represented on Southampton Island, further strengthening the island's affinity with Rae crust. With an Sm-Nd model age of 2.88 Ga, one of the youngest derived from samples across the island (Whalen et al., 2011), this 2.61 Ga phase displays little evidence in its isotopic systematics for involvement of older inherited material.

In the southwest, variably strained gabbroic anorthosite (08CYA-M243A) yielded an age of  $2058 \pm 4$  Ma. Rocks of this age are rare in Laurentia, but where observed, they are associated with rift-related rocks on Cape Smith (e.g. Modeland et al., 2003), the Nain plutonic suite (Hamilton et al., 1998), and as dykes transecting the Hearne (Pehrsson et al., 1993) and Superior (Buchan et al., 1996) cratons. Accordingly, this gabbroic unit may reflect ca. 2.0 Ga extension, possibly related to sustained extension during opening of the Manikewan ocean (Stauffer, 1984; Halls and Heaman, 2000). Its 2.058 Ga age provides a maximum age of the two deformation events (Fig. 6a) that affected this region, consistent with the interpretation that penetrative  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are 1880 Ma and 1860–1840 Ma, respectively (Berman et al., 2012).

The data presented herein not only expand insight into the Archean magmatic evolution of Southampton Island, but they further document the effects of Paleoproterozoic tectonometamorphism (Rayner et al., 2011; Berman et al., 2011, 2012). For instance, considerable Paleoproterozoic Pb loss is shown by zircon grains from samples 08CYA-C177 and 08CYA-C106, consistent with profound magmatic and tectonometamorphic reworking across the island at 1.88-1.82 Ga. Sample 08CYA-C179 yields an ID-TIMS lower intercept age of 1844 ±7 Ma, the result of incorporating Paleoproterozoic zircon rims during dilution. Zircon grains from anorthosite 08CYA-J148 possess low Th rims dated at 1886  $\pm$  17 Ma, and high-U rims at ca. 1.865 Ga. Zircon grains with similar compositions and Paleoproterozoic ages have been documented from complex orthogneiss outcrops on Mill and Salisbury islands (Fig. 1a) located approximately 250 km to the east in Hudson Strait (Rayner et al., 2008). These Paleoproterozoic ages are consistent with long-lasting, penetrative involvement in the Trans-Hudson collision between the Rae and Superior cratons.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The Southampton Island Integrated Geoscience project was funded by the Canada-Nunavut Geoscience Office through a Strategic Investments in Northern Economic Development (SINED) initiative and by the Geological Survey of Canada's Northern Mineral Resources and Development (NMRD) program. Logistical support was co-ordinated by Natural Resources Canada's Polar Continental Shelf Program. Mapping and sample collection by J. Whalen and D. James contributed to the authors' understanding of Southampton Island's plutonic rock record. The authors thank P. Hunt, T. Pestaj, J. Peressini, L. Cataldo, and C. Lafontaine for their expert assistance in acquiring SEM, SHRIMP, and ID-TIMS results, respectively. A constructive review of this paper was provided by B. Davis.

#### REFERENCES

- Berman, R.G., Rayner, N., Sanborn-Barrie, M., and Chakungal, J., 2011. New constraints on the tectonothermal history of Southampton Island, Nunavut, provided by *in situ* SHRIMP geochronology and thermobarometry; Geological Survey of Canada, Current Research 2011-6, 14 p. doi:10.4095/287287
- Berman, R.G., Sanborn-Barrie, M., Rayner, N., and Whalen, J., 2012. The tectonometamorphic evolution of Southampton Island, Nunavut: insight from petrological modeling and *in situ* SHRIMP geochronology of multiple episodes of monazite growth; Precambrian Research. <u>doi:10.1016/j.</u> precamres.2012.08.011

Buchan, K.L., Halls, H.C., and Mortensen, J.K., 1996. Paleomagnetism, U-Pb geochronology of Marathon dykes, Superior Province, and comparison with the Fort Frances swarm; Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, v. 33, p. 1583– 1595. doi:10.1139/e96-120

Chakungal, J., Sanborn-Barrie, M., and James, D., 2007.
Southampton Island: an updated Geosciences database; *in* 35th Annual Yellowknife Geoscience Forum Abstracts, (comp.)
S. Cairns and S. Falck; YKGSF Abstracts Volume 2007, November 20–22, 2007, Northwest Territories Geoscience Office, Yellowknife, Northwest Territories, p. 8–9.

Chakungal, J., Sanborn-Barrie, M., James, D., Rayner, N., Whalen, J., Craven, J., Spratt, J., Kosar, K., Ross, M., Zhang, S., and Coyle, M., 2008. Natural resource potential – yes or no? Southampton Island Integrated Project: a summary of 2007 & 2008 results and investigations; 36th Annual Yellowknife Geoscience Forum Abstracts, (comp.) V. Jackson and D. Irwin; YKGSF Abstracts Volume 2008, November 18–20, 2008, Northwest Territories Geoscience Office, Yellowknife, Northwest Territories, p. 66–67.

Halls, H.C. and Heaman, L.M., 2000. The paleomagnetic significance of new U-Pb age data from the Molson dyke swarm, Cauchon Lake area, Manitoba; Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, v. 37, p. 957–966. <u>doi:10.1139/e00-010</u>

Hamilton, M.A., Ryan, A.B., Emslie, R.F., and Ermanovics, I.F., 1998. Identification of Paleoproterozoic anorthositic and monzonitic rocks in the vicinity of the Mesoproterozoic Nain Plutonic suite, Labrador; U-Pb evidence; Radiogenic Age and Isotopic Studies: Report 11, Current Research 1998-F, Geological Survey of Canada, p 23–40.

Hinchey, A., Davis, W.J., Ryan, J.J., and Nadeau, L., 2011. Neoarchean high-potassium granites of the Boothia mainland area, Rae domain, Churchill Province: U–Pb zircon and Sm– Nd whole rock isotopic constraints; Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, v. 48, p. 247–279. doi:10.1139/E10-071

Hoskin, P.M.O. and Schaltegger, U., 2003. Composition of zircon and igneous and metamorphic petrogenesis; *in* Zircon, (ed.)
J.M. Hanchar and P.W.O. Hoskin; Reviews in Mineralogy, v. 53, p. 27–62.

Ludwig, K.R., 2003. User's manual for Isoplot/Ex rev. 3.00: a geochronological toolkit for Microsoft Excel; Berkeley Geochronology Center, Special Publication 4, Berkeley, 70 p.

Mattinson, J.M., 2005. Zircon U-Pb chemical abrasion ("CA-TIMS") method: combined annealing and multistep partial dissolution analysis for improved precision and accuracy of zircon ages; Chemical Geology, v. 220, p. 47–66. doi:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2005.03.011

Modeland, S., Francis, D., and Hynes, A., 2003. Enriched mantle components in Proterozoic continental-flood basalts of the Cape Smith foldbelt, northern Quebec; Lithos, v. 71, no. 1, p. 1–17. <u>doi:10.1016/S0024-4937(03)00063-X</u>

Parrish, R.R., Roddick, J.C., Loveridge, W.D., and Sullivan, R.W., 1987. Uranium-lead analytical techniques at the geochronology laboratory, Geological Survey of Canada; *in* Radiogenic Age and Isotopic Studies: Report 1, Geological Survey of Canada, Paper 87-2, p. 3–7. Pehrsson, S.J., van Breemen, O., and Hanmer, S., 1993. Ages of diabase dyke intrusions, Great Slave Lake shear zone, Northwest Territories; *in* Radiogenic Age and Isotopic Studies: Report 7; Geological Survey of Canada, Paper 93-2, p. 23–28.

Rayner, N., Scott, D.J., Wodicka, N., and Kassam, A., 2008. New geochronological constraints from Mill, Salisbury, and Nottingham islands, Nunavut; Geological Survey of Canada, Current Research 2008-22, 17 p. <u>doi:10.4095/226075</u>

Rayner, N., Chakungal, J., and Sanborn-Barrie, M., 2011. New U-Pb geochronological results from plutonic and sedimentary rocks of Southampton Island, Nunavut; Geological Survey of Canada, Current Research 2011-5, 20 p. doi:10.4095/287286

Rayner, N.M., Moser, D.E., and Sanborn-Barrie, M., 2012. Speckled zircon from mafic granulite: mechanism and meaning; *in* Program with Abstracts, The 22<sup>nd</sup> V.M. Goldschmidt Conference, Montreal, Quebec; abstract no. 488.

Roddick, J.C., 1987. Generalized numerical error analysis with application to geochronology and thermodynamics; Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, v. 51, p. 2129–2135. doi:10.1016/0016-7037(87)90261-4

Sanborn-Barrie, M., Chakungal, J., James, D., Whalen, J., Berman, R.G., and Craven, J., 2007. The geology of Southampton Island, Nunavut, with a NE Laurentia Context; *in* 35th Annual Yellowknife Geoscience Forum Abstracts, (comp.)
S. Cairns and S. Falck; YKGSF Abstracts Volume 2007, November 20–22, 2007, Northwest Territories Geoscience Office, Yellowknife, Northwest Territories, p. 54–55.

Sanborn-Barrie, M., Chakungal, J., James, D., Whalen, J., Rayner, N., Berman, R.G., Craven, J., and Coyle, M., 2008. New understanding of the geology and diamond prospectivity of Southampton Island, central Nunavut; *in* 36th Annual Yellowknife Geoscience Forum Abstracts, (comp.) V. Jackson and D. Irwin; YKGSF Abstracts Volume 2008, November 8–20, 2008, Northwest Territories Geoscience Office, Yellowknife, Northwest Territories, p. 53–54.

Sanborn-Barrie, M., Chakungal, J., and Buller, G., 2009. Bedrock field data and photographic record of exposed Precambrian basement, Southampton Island, Nunavut; Geological Survey of Canada, Open File 6177, 1 DVD. doi:10.4095/247890

Sanborn-Barrie, M., Chakungal, J., James, D.T., Rayner, N., and Whalen, J., in press. Precambrian bedrock geology, Southampton Island, Nunavut; Geological Survey of Canada, Canadian Geoscience Map 132, scale 1:250 000.

Stacey, J.S. and Kramers, J.D., 1975. Approximation of terrestrial lead isotope evolution by a two stage model; Earth and Planetary Science Letters, v. 26, p. 207–221. doi:10.1016/0012-821X(75)90088-6

Stauffer, M.R., 1984. Manikewan: an early Proterozoic ocean in central Canada, its igneous history and ocean closure; Precambrian Research, v. 25, p. 257–281. doi:10.1016/0301-9268(84)90036-6

Stern, R.A., 1997. The GSC Sensitive High Resolution Ion Microprobe (SHRIMP): analytical techniques of zircon U-Th-Pb age determinations and performance evaluation; *in* Radiogenic Age and Isotopic Studies, Report 10, Geological Survey of Canada, Current Research 1997-F, p. 1–31. Stern, R.A., 2001. A new isotopic and trace-element standard for the ion microprobe: preliminary thermal ionization mass spectrometry (TIMS) U-Pb and electron-microprobe data; Radiogenic Age and Isotopic Studies, Report 14, Geological Survey of Canada, Current Research 2001-F1, 11 p. doi:10.4095/212668

Stern, R.A. and Amelin, Y., 2003. Assessment of errors in SIMS zircon U-Pb geochronology using a natural zircon standard and NIST SRM 610 glass; Chemical Geology, v. 197, p. 111–142. doi:10.1016/S0009-2541(02)00320-0

- Sun, S.-s. and McDonough, W.F., 1989. Chemical and isotopic systematics of oceanic basalts: implications for mantle composition and processes; *in* Magmatism in the ocean basins, (ed.)
  A.D. Saunders and M.J. Norry; Geological Society of London, Special Publication no. 42, p. 313–345.
- Whalen, J.B., Sanborn-Barrie, M., and Chakungal, J., 2011. Geochemical and Nd isotopic constraints from plutonic rocks on the magmatic and crustal evolution of Southampton Island, Nunavut; Geological Survey of Canada, Current Research 2011-2, 11 p. doi:10.4095/286319

Geological Survey of Canada Project MGM007