This document was produced by scanning the original publication.

Ce document est le produit d'une numérisation par balayage de la publication originale.

Canadä



GEOLOGICAL SURVEY OF CANADA

OPEN FILE 3665

Computing the electromagnetic fields of dipole sources in an anisotropic layered earth

D.E. Boerner

1999



Canada

GEOLOGICAL SURVEY OF CANADA

OPEN FILE 3707

Computing the electromagnetic fields of dipole sources in an anisotropic layered earth

D.E. Boerner

Continental Geoscience Division Geological Survey of Canada 615 Booth Street Ottawa, Ontario, CANADA K1Y 0E9

1999

,

.

· · ·

COMPUTING THE ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELDS OF DIPOLE SOURCES IN AN ANISOTROPIC LAYERED EARTH

David E. Boerner Continental Geoscience Division Geological Survey of Canada 615 Booth Street, Ottawa, Ontario, CANADA K1A 0E9

Introduction

A generalized representation of the EM fields due to an arbitrary source in a layered earth is presented in terms of toroidal and poloidal modes from an arbitrary dipole source situated in a whole space. Section 2 presents a discussion on how the modal representation can be extended to account for stratified media and it is shown how propagator matrices (see, for example, Kennett 1983) can be used to express the modal potentials at any point in a layered earth (Boerner & West, 1989; note that this paper contains some typographical errors). This result is then used to derive an algorithm useful for computing the EM fields from an arbitrarily oriented point source (electric or magnetic dipole), located at any position in a stratified earth.

1 A Modal Description of EM Fields

Consider the standard form of Maxwell's equations and a single Fourier component proportional to $e^{i\omega t}$,

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 \tag{1}$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} + i\omega \mathbf{B} = 0 \tag{2}$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B} - \mu \alpha \mathbf{E} = \mu \mathbf{J}'. \tag{3}$$

E is the electric field, **B** is the magnetic induction and **J**' is the applied source current which is assumed to be unaffected by **E** and **B**. The electrical properties of the uniform and isotropic medium are represented by the magnetic permeability μ and the admittivity $\alpha = \sigma + i\omega\epsilon$, where σ is the electrical conductivity and ϵ is the permittivity. For the development of the layered earth respose, it is assumed that the spatial variation of these parameters is confined to the z direction. Cylindrical and Cartesian coordinates will be used in the development with a common origin and z-axis and with r, x and yin directions parallel to layering.

Our goal is to derive a solution to Maxwell's equations (1)-(3) in terms of two independent modes. The axis of separation for these modes is chosen to be the direction in which the model parameters vary, *i.e.*, the z-axis. The "Toroidal Magnetic" or TM mode is characterized by current loops in the r-z planes and a toroidal magnetic field (*i.e.*, a solenoidal field which has no z-component). Since the electric currents associated with this mode cut across the changing medium properties, one can expect that TM modes are sensitive to the concentration of charge on conductivity gradients. The "Poloidal Magnetic" or PM mode consists of current loops lying perpendicular to the z-axis which generate a poloidal magnetic field (*i.e.*, a solenoidal field whose curl has no z-component). PM mode currents are coupled by induction and are sensitive to layers of high conductivity in the medium, rather than to conductivity gradients.

The modal solution to Maxwell's equations can be obtained by employing a standard theorem of vector analysis to decompose any vector field into a combination of three scalar fields (cf. Morse & Feshbach 1953, Chapter 13),

$$\mathbf{F} = \nabla \phi + \nabla \times (\psi \hat{\mathbf{z}}) + \nabla \times \nabla \times (\chi \hat{\mathbf{z}}) \tag{4}$$

where ϕ , ψ , and χ are eigenfunction solutions of the scalar equations $\nabla^2 \phi + k^2 \phi = 0$, etc. When this decomposition is applied to the magnetic induction, the fact that **B** must be solenoidal requires a representation of the form

$$\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times (\Pi \hat{\mathbf{z}}) + \nabla \times \nabla \times (\Psi \hat{\mathbf{z}}), \tag{5}$$

where Π represents a scalar potential which generates TM modes and Ψ is the scalar potential associated with the PM modes. The common Hertz potential separation used by Weaver (1970), among others, is obtained by replacing Π with $\alpha \Pi$. In fact, Π and Ψ are not uniquely determined by this representation until a further constraint is applied (see Backus 1986 §1). In our case, an appropriate constraint is applied when the source current is specified by vertical, horizontally irrotational and divergenceless components,

$$\mathbf{J}' = J_z' \hat{\mathbf{z}} + \nabla_h T + \nabla \times (\Upsilon \hat{\mathbf{z}}) \tag{6}$$

where the subscript h refers to the horizontal components, and the functions T and Υ must satisfy the Poisson equations

$$\nabla_h^2 T = \nabla_h \cdot \mathbf{J}_h' \tag{7}$$

$$\nabla_h^2 \Upsilon = -(\nabla_h \times \mathbf{J}'_h) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{z}}.$$
(8)

It is important to point out that there are conditions on T and Υ (see Backus 1986 §5.2), but these do not usually introduce problems when dealing with controlled sources. From Maxwell's equations (1)–(3) and the vector representations in (5)–(6), we can obtain differential equations for Π and Ψ .

$$\nabla_h^2 \Pi + \alpha \partial_z (\partial_z \Pi / \alpha) - i\omega \mu \alpha \Pi = -\mu J_z' + \mu \alpha \partial_z (T / \alpha) \tag{9}$$

$$\nabla^2 \Psi - i\omega\mu\alpha\Psi = -\mu\Upsilon \tag{10}$$

Also, the electric field is

$$\mathbf{E} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \nabla_h \left[\partial_z \Pi / \mu - T \right] - \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\nabla_h^2 \Pi / \mu + J_z' \right) \hat{\mathbf{z}} - i\omega \nabla \times \left(\Psi \hat{\mathbf{z}} \right)$$
(11)

Equations (9) and (10) illustrate the assertions made in the introduction to this section concerning the current distributions which produce the TM and PM modes. Namely, that divergenceless, horizontally circulating source currents $\nabla \times (\Upsilon \hat{\mathbf{z}})$ produce the PM modes, while $J'_{\mathbf{z}}$ and $\nabla_h T$ generate the TM modes.

The next step is to find solutions for Π and Ψ which satisfy the differential equations given above. In particular, we are concerned with point sources which suggests that (9) and (10) may be conveniently solved using the Hankel transform pair,

$$\tilde{f}(\lambda, z) = \int_0^\infty r J_0(\lambda r) f(r, z) dr,$$

$$f(r, z) = \int_0^\infty \lambda J_0(\lambda r) \tilde{f}(\lambda, z) d\lambda.$$
(12)

 $J_0(\lambda r)$ is the zeroth order Bessel function of the first kind, r is the horizontal separation and λ is the horizontal wavenumber. There is a close relationship between (12) and a two dimensional (2D) Fourier transform where $\lambda^2 = p^2 + q^2$. The 1D Hankel transforms are preferred for problems involving point sources to reduce the numerical effort of transformation to the space domain by exploiting known cylindrical symmetries of the resulting fields.

Applying the Hankel transform to the governing differential equations yields

$$\alpha \partial_z (\partial_z \tilde{\Pi} / \alpha) - u^2 \tilde{\Pi} = -\mu \tilde{J}'_z + \mu \alpha \partial_z (\tilde{T} / \alpha)$$
(13)

 and

$$\partial_z (\partial_z \tilde{\Psi}) - u^2 \tilde{\Psi} = -\mu \tilde{\Upsilon},\tag{14}$$

where $u^2 = \lambda^2 + i\omega\mu\alpha$, and a tilde denotes variables expressed in the Hankel transform domain. To solve these differential equations requires a knowledge of the specific source term, and for the purposes of this discussion we shall consider the source to be an arbitrarily oriented electric dipole of moment J = I(dx', dy', dz') at an arbitrary point in the model. By solving (13) and (14) using the usual variation of parameters method for each source orientation (see also, Chave & Cox 1982), we find the potential on either side of the source as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Pi(z|_{z \le z'}) \\ \Pi(z|_{z \ge z'}) \end{bmatrix} = \frac{\mu I}{4\pi} \int_0^\infty \widetilde{\mathbb{C}} \begin{bmatrix} dx' & dy' & dz' \\ -dx' & -dy' & dz' \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \partial_x \\ \partial_y \\ \frac{\lambda^2}{u} \end{bmatrix} \frac{J_0(\lambda\xi)}{\lambda} d\lambda \tag{15}$$

 and

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Psi(z|_{z \le z'}) \\ \Psi(z|_{z \ge z'}) \end{bmatrix} = \frac{\mu I}{4\pi} \int_0^\infty \widetilde{C} \begin{bmatrix} dx' & -dy' & dz' \\ dx' & -dy' & dz' \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \partial_y \\ \partial_x \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \frac{J_0(\lambda\xi)}{u\lambda} d\lambda, \tag{16}$$

where $\widetilde{\mathbf{C}}$ is a propagator matrix (see, for example, Gilbert & Backus 1969, Kennett 1983, and Ursin 1983) describing the continuation of the potentials away from the source level,

÷

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{C}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{e}^{u(z-z')} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{e}^{-u(z-z')} \end{bmatrix}.$$
(17)

This matrix is based on the fact that the potentials must obey the scalar homogeneous Helmholtz equation everywhere except at the source. Although the concept of propagator matrices is likely to be more familar to seismologists than EM researchers, the technique is useful to keep the expressions for the potentials manageable in the case of complicated layering. This formulation also simplifies programming due to modularity.

The horizontal separation between the receiver location (r, ϕ, z) and the source point (r', ϕ', z') is given by the law of cosines

$$\xi = \sqrt{r^2 + r'^2 - 2rr'\cos(\phi - \phi')}.$$
(18)

Notice that the EM fields in a 1D earth exhibit translational and rotational invariance, i.e., only the relative separation and orientation between the source and receiver is important and the absolute horizontal reference position is unnecessary. In fact, the only lateral reference point in a layered earth is at infinity where the potentials must approach zero.

Expressions (15) and (16) can be used to find the modal potentials at (r, ϕ, z) in a uniform whole space from a source at (r', ϕ', z') . We next extend the theory to account for layering in the earth model.

2 Propagator Matrices for Stratified Media

Finding the potentials in a stratified space requires relatively simple modifications of the theory outlined in section 1 in that only the propagator matrices must be changed. To begin, we adopt a right handed coordinate system with the positive z axis directed downwards. Imaginary boundaries are inserted in the model at the source and receiver level and layers are numbered in increasing order away from the source level. This potentially confusing notation is resolved by denoting any quantities on the same side of the source as the receiver with a superscript asterisk (*).

The modal potentials in a stratified halfspace are found by combining the potentials for a source in a whole space in (15) (16) with solutions of homogeneous forms of the differential equations (13) and (14) to satisfy the boundary conditions at interfaces. Essentially, we add to the whole space potential from the source upward and downward "secondary" potentials whose amplitudes are determined by the property contrasts at boundaries in the media. The net effect is to introduce inward travelling components to the outward components (15)-(16) and alter the outward travelling amplitudes. A convenient manner of representing the effect is with a *reflection ratio*, which at any level in the medium is the ratio of the potential which is travelling towards the source (purely reflected potentials) to the potential travelling away from the source (primary and reflected potentials). With this definition and noting that the secondary potentials must propagate unchanged through the source layer, the total potential at the source level can be written in terms of the reflection ratio on either side of the source. The result expressed in the Hankel domain is

$$\begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{\Pi}_{out}^{*}(z') \\ \widetilde{\Pi}_{in}^{*}(z') \end{bmatrix} = \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^{\Pi} \begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{\Pi}(z|_{z=z'+\epsilon}) \\ \widetilde{\Pi}(z|_{z=z'-\epsilon}) \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{\Psi}_{out}^{*}(z') \\ \widetilde{\Psi}_{in}^{*}(z') \end{bmatrix} = \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^{\Psi} \begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{\Psi}(z|_{z=z'+\epsilon}) \\ \widetilde{\Psi}(z|_{z=z'-\epsilon}) \end{bmatrix}$$

where ε is infinitesimally small and

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^{\Pi} = \frac{1}{1 - \widetilde{R}_{1}^{\Pi} \widetilde{R}_{1}^{\Pi *}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \widetilde{R}_{1}^{\Pi} \\ \widetilde{R}_{1}^{\Pi *} & \widetilde{R}_{1}^{\Pi} \widetilde{R}_{1}^{\Pi *} \end{bmatrix},$$
(19)

and

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^{\Psi} = \frac{1}{1 - \widetilde{R}_{1}^{\Psi} \widetilde{R}_{1}^{\Psi*}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \widetilde{R}_{1}^{\Psi} \\ \widetilde{R}_{1}^{\Psi*} & \widetilde{R}_{1}^{\Psi} \widetilde{R}_{1}^{\Psi*} \end{bmatrix}.$$
(20)

With no stratification, there are no reflected potentials and thus the reflection ratios are all zero. In this case, (19) and (20) reduce to unity and the whole space potentials are unaltered by this component of the propagation matrices.

Expressions for the reflection ratios can then be found by translating the boundary conditions on the EM fields to boundary conditions on the modal potentials. The relationship between the ratios defined in adjacent layers for the TM mode is given by

$$\widetilde{R}_{i}^{\Pi} = \left[\frac{\widetilde{X}_{i}^{\Pi}(\widetilde{R}_{i+1}^{\Pi}+1) + \widetilde{Y}_{i}^{\Pi}(\widetilde{R}_{i+1}^{\Pi}-1)}{\widetilde{X}_{i}^{\Pi}(\widetilde{R}_{i+1}^{\Pi}+1) - \widetilde{Y}_{i}^{\Pi}(\widetilde{R}_{i+1}^{\Pi}-1)}\right] e^{-2u_{i}t_{i}}$$
(21)

where the i^{th} reflection ratio is evaluated at the boundary between the $i^{th} - 1$ and i^{th} layer, t_i is the thickness of the i^{th} layer and

$$\widetilde{X}_i^{\Pi} = \frac{u_i}{u_{i+1}}, \quad \widetilde{Y}_i^{\Pi} = \frac{\alpha_i}{\alpha_{i+1}}$$

The PM mode reflection ratio has an identical form to (21),

$$\widetilde{R}_{i}^{\Psi} = \left[\frac{\widetilde{X}_{i}^{\Psi}(\widetilde{R}_{i+1}^{\Psi}+1) + \widetilde{Y}_{i}^{\Psi}(\widetilde{R}_{i+1}^{\Psi}-1)}{\widetilde{X}_{i}^{\Psi}(\widetilde{R}_{i+1}^{\Psi}+1) - \widetilde{Y}_{i}^{\Psi}(\widetilde{R}_{i+1}^{\Psi}-1)}\right] e^{-2u_{i}t_{i}}$$
(22)

except that

$$\widetilde{X}_i^{\Psi} = \frac{u_i}{u_{i+1}}, \quad \widetilde{Y}_i^{\Psi} = \frac{\beta_i}{\beta_{i+1}}$$

where $\beta_i = i\omega\mu_i$ is the impedivity of the i^{th} layer.

The form of (21) and (22) indicates that reflection ratios can be evaluated recursively. The starting point for the recursion is anywhere beyond the stratification since then there are no subsequent layers to reflect the potential back towards the source and $R_n = 0$. Thus a calculation is performed on each side of the source, starting at the terminating halfspace and continuing in to the source horizon until \tilde{R}_1 and \tilde{R}_1^* (the reflection coefficients on both sides of the source level) are each known.

Once the total potential at the source level is known, the potentials at some other depth are found by using a propagator matrix similar to that described for the whole space model. The form of this matrix for the i^{th} layer is

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{C}}_{i} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{e}^{-u_{i}t_{i}} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{e}^{+u_{i}t_{i}} \end{bmatrix}$$
(23)

and it describes the attenuation and amplification of the outward and inward travelling potentials, respectively, as well as their respective phase shifts. However, as this matrix only represents a continuation of the potentials through homogeneous material, and it is necessary to enforce the boundary conditions on the potentials at the interfaces with an appropriate boundary condition matrix. These are

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{i}^{\Pi} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} (\widetilde{Y}_{i}^{\Pi} + \widetilde{X}_{i}^{\Pi}) & (\widetilde{Y}_{i}^{\Pi} - \widetilde{X}_{i}^{\Pi}) \\ \widetilde{R}_{i+1}^{\Pi}(\widetilde{Y}_{i}^{\Pi} + \widetilde{X}_{i}^{\Pi}) & \widetilde{R}_{i+1}^{\Pi}(\widetilde{Y}_{i}^{\Pi} - \widetilde{X}_{i}^{\Pi}) \end{bmatrix},$$
(24)

and

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{i}^{\Psi} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} (\widetilde{Y}_{i}^{\Psi} + \widetilde{X}_{i}^{\Psi}) & (\widetilde{Y}_{i}^{\Psi} - \widetilde{X}_{i}^{\Psi}) \\ \widetilde{R}_{i+1}^{\Psi}(\widetilde{Y}_{i}^{\Psi} + \widetilde{X}_{i}^{\Psi}) & \widetilde{R}_{i+1}^{\Psi}(\widetilde{Y}_{i}^{\Psi} - \widetilde{X}_{i}^{\Psi}) \end{bmatrix}.$$
(25)

when propagating the potentials from the i^{th} to the $i^{th} + 1$ layer.

The final response matrices for converting the primary source potential at the source level to inward and outward propagating potentials at the receiver level are denoted $\widetilde{\underline{T}}$ and $\widetilde{\underline{P}}$ for the toroidal and poloidal magnetic modes and have the form

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{P}} = \widetilde{\mathbf{C}}_{R}^{\Pi} \times \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{R-1}^{\Pi} \times \widetilde{\mathbf{C}}_{R-1}^{\Pi} \times \ldots \times \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{S}^{\Pi} \times \widetilde{\mathbf{C}}_{S}^{\Pi} \times \widetilde{\mathbf{\mathcal{R}}}^{\Pi},$$
(26)

and

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{T}} = \widetilde{\mathbf{C}}_{R}^{\Psi} \times \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{R-1}^{\Psi} \times \widetilde{\mathbf{C}}_{R-1}^{\Psi} \times \dots \times \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{S}^{\Psi} \times \widetilde{\mathbf{C}}_{S}^{\Psi} \times \widetilde{\mathbf{\mathcal{R}}}^{\Psi},$$
(27)

where the layers containing the source and receiver are designated by the subscripts S and R respectively.

Summarizing, the potentials at any receiver location can be calculated from

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Pi_{out}^{*}(z) \\ \Pi_{in}^{*}(z) \end{bmatrix} = \frac{\mu I}{4\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \widetilde{\mathbb{P}} \begin{bmatrix} dx' & dy' & dz' \\ -dx' & -dy' & dz' \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \partial_{x} \\ \partial_{y} \\ \\ \frac{\lambda^{2}}{u} \end{bmatrix} \frac{J_{0}(\lambda\xi)}{\lambda} d\lambda$$
(28)

and

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Psi_{out}^*(z) \\ \Psi_{in}^*(z) \end{bmatrix} = \frac{\mu I}{4\pi} \int_0^\infty \widetilde{\mathbb{T}} \begin{bmatrix} dx' & -dy' & dz' \\ dx' & -dy' & dz' \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \partial_y \\ \partial_x \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \frac{J_0(\lambda\xi)}{u\lambda} d\lambda.$$
(29)

- - -

The sum of the outgoing and ingoing modal potentials can be substituted into the definitions of the EM fields (11) and (5) to obtain expressions for the electric field and magnetic induction from a dipole source. After some algebra and collecting terms according to the the spatial derivatives, a general representation of the EM fields from

an arbitrary electric dipole source is written

$$\begin{bmatrix} E_x \\ E_y \\ E_z \\ B_x \\ B_y \\ B_z \end{bmatrix} = \frac{I}{4\pi} \int_0^\infty \widetilde{\mathcal{F}} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda^{-2} \partial_{xx} \\ \lambda^{-2} \partial_{xy} \\ \partial_x \\ \partial_y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \lambda J_0(\lambda\xi) \, d\lambda$$
(30)

where $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is a (6 × 5) matrix provided in Table 1 (which is actually for a transversely isotropic medium; see below). Notice that $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ contains all the information about the electrical properties of the layered earth for an arbitrary dipole source excitation and the geometrical variations of the fields are contained in the (1 × 5) matrix of partial differentials.

A useful alternative representation is to employ Bessel function relationships to define the geometrical operators in terms of sines and cosines instead of differentials. For example,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \partial_{xx} \\ \partial_{xy} \\ \partial_{x} \\ \partial_{y} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} J_{0}(\lambda\xi) \iff \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\cos 2\theta + 1}{2}\lambda^{2} & \cos 2\theta \frac{\lambda}{\xi} \\ -\frac{\sin 2\theta}{2}\lambda^{2} & \sin 2\theta \frac{\lambda}{\xi} \\ 0 & -\lambda\cos\theta \\ 0 & -\lambda\cos\theta \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} J_{0}(\lambda\xi) \\ J_{1}(\lambda\xi) \end{bmatrix}$$
(31)

and θ is measured from the x-axis.

The final expression for the fields in terms of the geometrical functions can be

written

$$\begin{bmatrix} E_x \\ E_y \\ E_z \\ B_x \\ B_y \\ B_z \end{bmatrix} = \frac{I}{4\pi} \int_0^\infty \widetilde{\mathcal{F}} \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\cos 2\theta + 1}{2} & \frac{\cos 2\theta}{\lambda\xi} \\ -\frac{\sin 2\theta}{2} & \frac{\sin 2\theta}{\lambda\xi} \\ 0 & -\lambda\cos\theta \\ 0 & -\lambda\cos\theta \\ 0 & -\lambda\sin\theta \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \lambda \begin{bmatrix} J_0(\lambda\xi) \\ J_1(\lambda\xi) \end{bmatrix} d\lambda$$
(32)

~ ^

Table 1 contains the matrix $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ for two types of sources, the electric dipole source developed above, and the magnetic dipole source M, (i.e., a divergenceless source current). Provided the source is infinitesimally small, altering the theory to account for a magnetic dipole source is relatively simple since the source terms only alter the differential equations (13)-(14) and whole space potentials (15)-(16), but not the propagation matrices. The subscripts S and R in Table 1 refer to the properties of the layers containing the source and receiver, respectively, while the terms \tilde{P}_n and \tilde{T}_n refer to linear combinations of the elements of the propagation matrix $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{T}}$, viz.,

$$\widetilde{T}_{1} = (\widetilde{T}_{1,1} + \widetilde{T}_{1,2} + \widetilde{T}_{2,1} + \widetilde{T}_{2,2})
\widetilde{T}_{2} = (\widetilde{T}_{1,1} + \widetilde{T}_{1,2} - \widetilde{T}_{2,1} - \widetilde{T}_{2,2})
\widetilde{T}_{3} = (\widetilde{T}_{1,1} - \widetilde{T}_{1,2} - \widetilde{T}_{2,1} + \widetilde{T}_{2,2})
\widetilde{T}_{4} = (\widetilde{T}_{1,1} - \widetilde{T}_{1,2} + \widetilde{T}_{2,1} - \widetilde{T}_{2,2})
\widetilde{P}_{1} = (\widetilde{P}_{1,1} + \widetilde{P}_{1,2} + \widetilde{P}_{2,1} + \widetilde{P}_{2,2})
\widetilde{P}_{2} = (\widetilde{P}_{1,1} + \widetilde{P}_{1,2} - \widetilde{P}_{2,1} - \widetilde{P}_{2,2})
\widetilde{P}_{3} = (\widetilde{P}_{1,1} - \widetilde{P}_{1,2} - \widetilde{P}_{2,1} + \widetilde{P}_{2,2})
\widetilde{P}_{4} = (\widetilde{P}_{1,1} - \widetilde{P}_{1,2} + \widetilde{P}_{2,1} - \widetilde{P}_{2,2}).$$
(33)

Examining Table 1 indicates that once the source orientation is specified, a maximum of only four of these scalar functions are necessary to represent all the components of the EM field. Furthermore, if the source and receiver are on the same horizontal plane this number reduces to two and there is substantial redundancy in how EM field components sample a stratified earth structure.

Equation (30) is a complete representation of the EM Green's function multiplied by the source distribution and no approximations or assumptions (other than choosing the specific nature of the dipole source) have been incorporated into the development. Because no quasi-static approximation (*e.g.*, Wait 1982) has been made, this form of the EM fields is equally valid for wave and diffusion problems (assuming a known source current that is unaffected by \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{B}).

When the source and receiver are both located at the earth/air interface, the propagation matrices reduce to

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{P}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0\\ \widetilde{R}_1^{\Pi} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(34)

and

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{T}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0\\ \widetilde{R}_1^{\Psi} & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$
(35)

Further simplification can be achieved when the source and receiver are located at the air/earth contact and the earth is a uniform halfspace. In this case we write

$$\widetilde{R}_{0}^{\Pi} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\lambda}{u} - \frac{\alpha_{0}}{\alpha} \\ \frac{\lambda}{u} + \frac{\alpha_{0}}{\alpha} \end{bmatrix}$$
(36)

$$\widetilde{R}_{0}^{\Psi} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\lambda}{u} - \frac{\beta_{0}}{\beta} \\ \frac{\lambda}{u} + \frac{\beta_{0}}{\beta} \end{bmatrix}$$
(37)

since $\widetilde{R}_2^{\Pi} = 0$ and $\widetilde{R}_2^{\Psi} = 0$. For this particular case, it is a simple matter to write down the expressions for $\widetilde{\widetilde{P}}$ and $\widetilde{\widetilde{T}}$.

$$\widetilde{P}_1 = \widetilde{P}_4 = 1 + \widetilde{R}_0^{\Pi} = \frac{2\lambda\alpha}{\lambda\alpha + u\alpha_0}$$
(38)

$$\widetilde{P}_2 = \widetilde{P}_3 = 1 - \widetilde{R}_0^{\Pi} = \frac{2u\alpha_0}{\lambda\alpha + u\alpha_0}$$
(39)

$$\widetilde{T}_1 = \widetilde{T}_4 = 1 + \widetilde{R}_0^{\Psi} = \frac{2\lambda\beta}{\lambda\beta + u\beta_0}$$
(40)

$$\widetilde{T}_2 = \widetilde{T}_3 = 1 - \widetilde{R}_0^{\Psi} = \frac{2u\beta_0}{\lambda\beta + u\beta_0} \tag{41}$$

In evaluating closed forms of these expressions, it is useful to recall that $\alpha_0 \approx 0$.

A useful extension to the theory outlined here is to consider transversely isotropic media (see, for example, Wait 1982). That is, the medium is characterized by an admittivity tensor having the principal axis of anisotropy coincident with the axis of separation

for the modal potentials.

$$\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_h + i\omega\epsilon_h & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_h + i\omega\epsilon_h & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma_v + i\omega\epsilon_v \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_h & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_h & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha_v \end{bmatrix}$$
(42)

Thus, the horizontal admittivity may be different than the vertical admittivity.

The development of the modal potentials and EM fields in anisotropic media is more involved than the theory presented here but contains the same essential elements (see the discussion by Nobes 1984). Rather than present a complete theoretical exposition, we simply quote the changes to the theory presented in this paper which are required to represent the EM fields in an anisotropic media.

First, the coefficient of anisotropy is defined to be

$$K^2 = \alpha_h / \alpha_v \tag{43}$$

and it is found that

$$v = \sqrt{K^2 \lambda^2 + i\omega \mu \alpha_h} \tag{44}$$

describes the vertical continuation operator for the TM potential in the transversely isotropic media. Therefore the continuation operator for this mode is simply

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{C}}_{i}^{\Pi} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathrm{e}^{-v_{i}t_{i}} & 0\\ 0 & \mathrm{e}^{+v_{i}t_{i}} \end{bmatrix}.$$
(45)

The boundary conditions at layer interfaces must be modified to account for the anisotropic case and therefore yield a new definition of the reflection ratio and the boundary condition matrix elements \widetilde{X}_i^{Π} and \widetilde{Y}_i^{Π} .

$$\widetilde{R}_{i}^{\Pi} = \left[\frac{\widetilde{X}_{i}^{\Pi}(\widetilde{R}_{i+1}^{\Pi}+1) + \widetilde{Y}_{i}^{\Pi}(\widetilde{R}_{i+1}^{\Pi}-1)}{\widetilde{X}_{i}^{\Pi}(\widetilde{R}_{i+1}^{\Pi}+1) - \widetilde{Y}_{i}^{\Pi}(\widetilde{R}_{i+1}^{\Pi}-1)}\right] e^{-2v_{i}t_{i}}$$
(46)

where

$$\widetilde{X}_i^{\Pi} = \frac{v_i}{v_{i+1}} \frac{K_{i+1}^2}{K_i^2}, \quad \widetilde{Y}_i^{\Pi} = \frac{\alpha_{v,i}}{\alpha_{v,i+1}}$$

Thus the changes introduced by the anisotropic model alter the continuation operator and boundary conditions of the TM modal potential. The other change enters in the actual differential equations governing the modal potentials. Notice that all expressions presented in Table 1 reduce to the isotropic case when $\alpha_v = \alpha_h = \alpha$ so that $K^2 = 1$ and u = v. Also, one should expect that fields generated by horizontal circulations of current (PM mode) should be unaffected by transverse isotropy, which is indeed the case.

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{e} = dl \begin{bmatrix} AI'_{x,e} & AI'_{y,e} & CI'_{z,e} & 0 & -i\omega\mu_{R}\frac{\tilde{T}_{1}}{u_{S}}I'_{x,e} \\ -AI'_{y,e} & AI'_{x,e} & 0 & CI'_{z,e} & -\frac{v_{R}}{K_{R}}\frac{\tilde{P}_{3}}{\alpha_{v,S}}I'_{y,e} \\ 0 & 0 & EI'_{x,e} & EI'_{y,e} & -\lambda^{2}K_{S}\frac{\tilde{P}_{1}}{\alpha_{v,S}v_{S}}I'_{z,e} \\ \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{e} = dl \\ BI'_{y,e} & -BI'_{x,e} & 0 & DI'_{z,e} & \mu_{R}\frac{\alpha_{v,R}}{\alpha_{v,S}}\tilde{P}_{4}I'_{y,e} \\ \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} BI'_{x,e} & BI'_{y,e} & -DI'_{z,e} & 0 & -\mu_{R}\frac{u_{R}}{u_{S}}\tilde{T}_{2}I'_{x,e} \\ \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -FI'_{y,e} & FI'_{x,e} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$A = \left(\frac{v_R}{K_R}\frac{\tilde{P}_3}{\alpha_{v,S}} - i\omega\mu_R\frac{\tilde{T}_1}{u_S}\right), \qquad B = \left(\mu_R\frac{\alpha_{v,R}}{\alpha_{v,S}}\tilde{P}_4 - \mu_R\frac{u_R}{u_S}\tilde{T}_2\right),$$
$$C = \frac{v_R}{v_S}\frac{K_S}{K_R}\frac{\tilde{P}_2}{\alpha_{v,S}}, \qquad D = \mu_R\frac{\alpha_{v,R}}{\alpha_{v,S}}\frac{K_S\tilde{P}_1}{v_S},$$
$$E = \frac{\tilde{P}_4}{\alpha_{v,S}}, \qquad F = \mu_R\frac{\tilde{T}_1}{u_S}.$$

and

.

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{m} = i\omega\mu_{S}dA \begin{bmatrix} -AI'_{y,m} & AI'_{x,m} & 0 & CI'_{z,m} & -\frac{\mu_{R}}{\mu_{S}}\tilde{T}_{4}I'_{y,m} & -AI'_{x,m} & -AI'_{y,m} & -CI'_{z,m} & 0 & \frac{K_{S}}{K_{R}}\frac{v_{R}}{v_{S}}\tilde{P}_{2}I'_{x,m} \\ 0 & 0 & -EI'_{y,m} & -EI'_{x,m} & 0 \\ BI'_{x,m} & BI'_{y,m} & DI'_{z,m} & 0 & \mu_{R}\alpha_{v,R}\frac{K_{S}\tilde{P}_{1}}{v_{S}}I'_{x,m} \\ -BI'_{y,m} & BI'_{x,m} & 0 & DI'_{z,m} & -\mu_{R}\frac{u_{R}\tilde{T}_{3}}{\beta_{S}}I'_{y,m} \\ 0 & 0 & FI'_{x,m} & FI'_{y,m} & -\mu_{R}\lambda^{2}\frac{\tilde{T}_{1}}{u_{S}\beta_{S}}I'_{z,m} \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$A = \left(\frac{\mu_R}{\mu_S}\tilde{T}_4 - \frac{K_S}{K_R}\frac{v_R}{v_S}\tilde{P}_2\right). \qquad B = \mu_R \left(\frac{u_R\tilde{T}_3}{\beta_S} - \alpha_{v,R}\frac{K_S\tilde{P}_1}{v_S}\right),$$
$$C = \frac{\mu_R}{\mu_S}\frac{\tilde{T}_1}{u_S}, \qquad D = \mu_R\frac{u_R}{u_S}\frac{\tilde{T}_2}{\beta_S},$$
$$E = \frac{K_S\tilde{P}_1}{v_S}, \qquad F = \mu_R\frac{\tilde{T}_4}{\beta_S}.$$

,

and

Special Cases

One useful generalization of the above derivation is to find the electric potential of a pole source (i.e., the DC resistivity case). The potential can be obtained by integrating the electric field along the source and over the receiver dipole. For example, it is easy to show from equation (30) that the electric potential generated by a pole source (i.e. after integrating the source term from 0 to ∞) is

$$V_{pole} = \frac{Idl}{4\pi} \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{v_R}{K_R} \frac{\widetilde{P}_3}{\alpha_{v,S}} - i\omega\mu_R \frac{\widetilde{T}_1}{u_S} \right) \frac{J_0(\lambda\xi)}{\lambda} d\lambda.$$
(47)

For an isotropic earth at zero frequency, this term reduces to

$$V_{pole} = \frac{Idl}{4\pi} \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\widetilde{P}_3}{\sigma_{v,S}}\right) J_0(\lambda\xi) d\lambda \tag{48}$$

Based on the above derivation of P_3 for a uniform halfspace, direct substitution yields

$$V_{pole} = \frac{Idl}{2\pi\sigma r}.$$
(49)

Thus equation (48) can be used to find the electrical response of any electrode array by superposition.

Another relevant generalization is to consider a finite source having arbitrary topology. That is, the source could be an extended bipole that follows a curvilinear path, but which is grounded at both ends. Alternatively, the source could be a polygonal loop of wire that closes on itself, i.e. is not grounded at any point. Such arbitrary sources can always be constructed from integrating the fields of an electric dipole along the path of the wire (since the electric fields are conservative). However, care must be taken in the integration since the terms associated with the double spatial derivatives of the EM kernel functions (see equation (30)) must be evaluated only at the grounding points. To be more specific, we rewrite equation (30) to indicate the integration over the source and specifically isolate the path dependent terms

$$\begin{bmatrix} E_x \\ E_y \\ E_z \\ B_x \\ B_y \\ B_z \end{bmatrix} = \frac{I}{4\pi} \int_0^\infty \widetilde{\mathcal{F}} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda^{-2} \partial_{xx} \\ \lambda^{-2} \partial_{xy} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \lambda J_0(\lambda\xi) \, d\lambda + \frac{I}{4\pi} \int_0^\infty \widetilde{\mathcal{F}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \partial_x \\ \partial_y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \lambda J_0(\lambda\xi) \, d\lambda \tag{50}$$

The first integral is ignored when the source closes upon itself (i.e., is a loop). If the wire bends between the grounding points, the first contribution of the first integral is only dependent on the location of the grounding points, while the second integral is evaluated over the path taken by the wire.

3 Routines

The algorithm outlined above has been coded into a general purpose FORTRAN routine that is able to compute the fields from any orientation of source and receiver, in a completely arbitrary earth. The numerical evaluation of the Hankel transforms is done using the FHT method, or direct integration, and is thus limited to the diffusive realm. No attempt has been made to optimize the code and there are many means of doing this. The primary purpose of this code is simply to illustrate the somewhat abstract theory described above in an algorithmic form.

The following provides listings of the various software routines used in the package.

The main program is emdipole and it calls the various input/output subroutines to define the layered earth model. For each frequency, emdipole computes all six electromagnetic field components using the prescribed source/receiver geometry. At the final step, the computed fields in the space domain are written to output files.

Listing of emdipole.f

```
1
    c EMDIPOLE drives the subroutine package that calculates the
 2
    c electric and magnetic fields in a transversely isotropic layered
 3
    c earth.
 4
    c x - north
                   ١
 5
    c y - east
                    > Right hand coordinate system.
 6
    c z - down
                   1
    С
 8
                     'dipole.inc'
           include
9
           integer maxfre
           parameter(maxfre=250)
10
11
    с
12
           real*8
                       sigmah(maxlay), sigmav(maxlay), epsiln(maxlay)
13
           real*8
                       freq1, den, theta, radii(maxrad), freqmx
14
           real*8
                       tol, freq(maxfre), tinc
15
           complex*16 field(maxrad,6,maxfre)
16
           integer
                       numrad, ndec, i, nfreq, ifre, irad, n1, n2
17
           integer
                       fht_type, ntheta
18
           character
                       fc(6)*2, aa*2, ab*2
19
           data
                       fc/'Ex','Ey','Ez','Hx','Hy','Hz'/
20
    С
\overline{21}
           call reader(maxlay, layers, sigmah, sigmav, epsiln, mu,
22
          >
                         thckns, freq1, ndec, den, maxrad, numrad, radii, ntheta,
23
          >
                        theta,tinc,js,ms,sz,rz,tol,fht_type)
24
    с
25
           nfreq = nint(den*ndec)
26
           freqmx=freq1*(10.0**float(ndec))
27
           call look(maxlay, layers, sigmah, sigmav, epsiln, mu,
28
          >
                      thckns, freq1, freqmx, den, maxrad, numrad, radii,
29
          >
                      ntheta, theta, tinc, js, ms, sz, rz)
30
31
           call orient(wc,theta)
           call initd
do i = 1, nfreq
\frac{32}{33}
34
             freq(i) = freq1*10**((i-1)/den)
35
             if(mod(i-1,den).eq.0) write(6,*) 'Frequency = ',freq(i)
36
             call dipole(sigmah, sigmav, epsiln, freq(i), numrad,
37
          >
                           radii(1),theta,tol,radii,field(1,1,i),
38
          >
                           fht_type)
39 \\ 40 \\ 41
           end do
    с
с
      output
42
    с
```

```
open(unit=11,file='ex.fld',status='unknown')
43
            open(unit=12,file='ey.fld',status='unknown')
44
            open(unit=13,file='ez.fld',status='unknown')
45
            open(unit=14,file='hx.fld',status='unknown')
46
            open(unit=15,file='hy.fld',status='unknown')
47
            open(unit=16,file='hz.fld',status='unknown')
48
49
            open(unit=16,file='hz.fld',status='unknown')
50
            do i = 1, 6
              write(i+10,'(a)') '@xaxis label "Frequency (Hz)"'
51
              write(i+10,'(a)') '@xaxis ticklabel format power'
52
              write(i+10,'(a)') 'Qyaxis label "'//fc(i)//' Amplitude"'
53
\frac{54}{55}
            end do
do irad = 1, numrad
              call itoa(aa,2*irad-2,n1)
56
              call itoa(ab,2*irad-1,n2)
57
              do i = 1, 6
58
                write(i+10, '(a)') '@s'//aa(1:n1)//' color 2'
write(i+10, '(a)') '@s'//ab(1:n2)//' color 1'
write(i+10, '(a1,2x,f15.6)') '# ',radii(irad)
59
60
61
62
                do ifre = 1, nfreq
                   write(i+10,*) freq(ifre),
63
64
          >
                                        (dreal(field(irad, i, ifre))),
65
          >
                                        (dimag(field(irad,i,ifre)))
66
                end do
                write(i+10,*) '>'
67
              end do
68
69
            end do
70
            close(unit=11)
71
            close(unit=12)
72
            close(unit=13)
73
            close(unit=14)
74
            close(unit=15)
75
           close(unit=16)
76
77
           stop
78
           format(13(1pe14.7,1x))
    1
79
            end
```

The following file is included in almost all other routines and provides the common parameter definitions and array dimensions.

Listing of dipole.inc

```
\frac{1}{2}
    С
    c sz
              = depth of the source
 3
              = layer containing the source
    C S
    c sdepup = distance to nearest interface above the source
 4
 5
    c sdepdn = distance to nearest interface below the source
    c sdist
             = distance to interface nearest the source, but between
 6
                the source and receiver
 89
    č rz
              = depth of the receiver
10
    c r
              = layer containing the receiver
11
    c rdepup = distance to nearest interface above the receiver
    c rdepdn = distance to nearest interface below the receiver
12
13
    c rdist = distance to interface nearest the receiver, but between
14 \\ 15 \\ 16
                the source and receiver
    C
    c alphah = horizontal admittivity vector
17
    c alphav = vertical admittivity vector
            = impedivity vector
18
    c beta
19
    c kappa = transverse isotropy vector
\frac{20}{21}
    С
          integer
                      maxlay, maxrad
22
          parameter (maxlay = 100, maxrad = 50)
```

$\frac{23}{24}$	с	complex*16 alphah(maxlay), alphav(maxlay)
20		real * 8 $mu(maxlay)$ $ri/3 14150265358070/$
20		16a1*0 mu(maxiay), p1/0.1410920000010/
27		real*8 thckns(maxlay), rdist, sdist, rz, sz
28		real*8 sdepup, sdepdn, wc(2,5), js(3), ms(3)
29		integer r, s, layers
30	с	
31		common /properties/ alphah, alphav, beta, kappa, mu
32		common /structure/ thckns, layers
33		common /source/ sdist, sdepup, sdepdn, sz, js, ms, s
34		common /receiver/ rdist, rz, r
35		common /geometry/ wc

dipole computes the EM fields for the input geometry and layered earth model at a single frequency. This routine essentially computes equation (32).

Listing of dipole.f

C-----1 2 subroutine dipole(sigmah,sigmav,epsiln,freq,numrad, 3 > r1,theta,tol,radii,tfield,fht_type) C----------dipole 4 5 c computes the EM fields due to any electric or magnetic dipole source c within any arbitrarily layered anisotropic earth. 6 7 c the routine works in the frequency domain using lagged convolution to c generate the fields at various radii, along a single angle. 8 10 c input variables: sigmah ---- a vector containing the horizontal conductivities of 11 с each layer. the air halfspace is a layer. 12 с 13 с sigmav ---- a vector containing the vertical conductivity of 14 each layer. С epsiln ---- a vector containing the values of epsilon_0 for each 15с 16 layer. с freq ----- frequency (in hz) for which the response is 17 с calculated. numrad ---- number of radii at which to calculate the fields. r1 ------ initial radius value theta ----- the angle which the receiver makes with the source. $18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21$ c c č с 22 23 tol ---- FHT tolerance fht_type -- select FHT method or Pade approximate method c c 24 25 26 27 с 000 common variables: layers ---- the number of layers in the model. thckns ---- model thickness vector sz ----- z coordinate of the transmitter (z positive down) 28 С $\tilde{29}$ с rz ----- z coordinate of the receiver (z positive down) 30 С 31С js(3) ----- electric source vector ms(3) ---- magnetic source vector 32С $33 \\ 34$ С c output variables: 35 radii _---- a vector of exponentially spaced radius values. с 36 the first element is r1, and the vector is filled с 37 С by the routine. $38 \\ 39 \\ 40$ tfield ---- a vector of the field values as a function radius с с external response $\frac{41}{42}$ с include 'dipole.inc' 43 real*8 delta, tol, height 44 с 45common /density/ delta $\frac{46}{47}$ i, j, numrad, nr, fht_type integer

```
48
              real*8
                            radii(maxrad), theta, freq, r1
                            sigmah(maxlay), sigmav(maxlay), epsiln(maxlay)
 49
              real*8
              complex*16 tfield(maxrad,6), rfield(maxrad,6)
 50
 51
              logical
                            newh
 52
53
54
      с
              nr = numrad
do i = 1, nr
                 radii(i) = r1*exp(delta*(i-1))
 55
 56
              end do
 57
              height = abs(sz-rz)
 \frac{58}{59}
      c set up the frequency dependent variables
 60
      с
              call initw(sigmah, sigmav, epsiln, freq)
 61
 \begin{array}{c} 62 \\ 63 \end{array}
      c c Begin the hankel transform routines

    \begin{array}{r}
      64 \\
      65 \\
      66 \\
      67 \\
      68 \\
      69 \\
    \end{array}

      с
              newh = .true.
      с
         JO
      с
с
              do i = 1, 6
 70
                 if(fht_type.eq.1) then
 71
                   call fht(newh,nr,radii,height,0,tol,response,i,tfield(1,i))
 72
73
                  else
                   do j = 1, numrad
 74
                      call hantrn(tfield(j,i),0,radii(j),i,tol)
 75
76
77
78
79
                   end do
                 end if
              end do
      С
      c J1 terms with a 1/r dependance
 80
81
      с
              do i = 1, 6
 82
                 if(fht_type.eq.1) then
                   call fht(newh,nr,radii,height,1,tol,response,i+6,rfield(1,i))
 83
 84
                  else
                   do j = 1, numrad
 85
 86
                      call hantrn(rfield(j,i),1,radii(j),i+6,tol)
 87
88
89
90
                 end do
end if
      с
                 do j = 1, numrad
 91
                   tfield(j,i) = tfield(j,i) + rfield(j,i)/radii(j)
 92
93
94
                 end do
              end do
      С
 95
      c J1 terms without the 1/r dependance
 96
97
      С
              do i = 1, 6
 98
                 if(fht_type.eq.1) then
 99
                   call fht(newh,nr,radii,height,1,tol,response,i+12,rfield(1,i))
                  \begin{smallmatrix}100\\101\end{smallmatrix}
102
                     call hantrn(rfield(j,i),1,radii(j),i+12,tol)
103 \\ 104 \\ 105 \\ 106
                end do
end if
      С
                 do j = 1, numrad
107
                   tfield(j,i) = tfield(j,i) + rfield(j,i)
108
109
110
                 end do
              end do
              return
\frac{111}{112}
              end
      c-
                     _____
113
              subroutine hantrn(field, order, rad, n, tol)
114
      c----
\begin{array}{c} 115\\ 116 \end{array}
              external
                            funct
                                    nker, ierr, n, ic, ij, ir
              integer
                            order,
117
              complex*16
                           field
118
              real*8
                            tol, rad
119
              logical
                            new/.true./
120
              character*2 ls(6)/'Ex','Ey','Ez','Hx','Hy','Hz'/
```

```
character*2 bs(2)/'J0','J1'/, rs(2)/'/r',' '/
121
122 \\ 123
                             /fun/ nker
               common
       С
124
               nker = n
125
       с
                call besautz(field, order, 1, 7, rad, funct, tol, 0.1*tol, 1, new, ierr)
               write(6,*) 'BESAUTZ - routine missing !
126
127
               stop
128
               if(ierr.eq.1) then
129
130
                  if(n.le.6) then
                    ic = n
ij = 1
131 \\ 132
133
                    ir =
134
                   else if (n.gt.6.and.n.le.12) then
                    ic = n-6
ij = 2
135
136
                   ir = 1
else
ic = n-12
ij = 2
137 \\ 138
140
\begin{array}{c} 141 \\ 142 \end{array}
                  ir =
end if
                           2
                  write(6,*) 'BESAUT failed to converge; ',ls(ic),
143
                                 ' ',bs(ij),rs(ir)
144
             >
\begin{array}{c} 145 \\ 146 \end{array}
               end if
               return
147
148
               end
       c-
               complex*16 function funct(lambda)
149
\begin{array}{c} 150 \\ 151 \end{array}
               external
                              response
152 \\ 153
               real*8
                              lambda
               integer
                              iker, nker
               complex*16 kern(-45:301,18), temp, response
154
155
       с
               common /kernels/ kern, iker
156
157
158
159
                             /fun/ nker
               common
       с
               iker = 1
               temp = response(lambda)
160
161
               funct = kern(iker,nker)
162 \\ 163 \\ 164
       с
               return
               end
```

dipole provides the option of not using the FHT algorithm and computing the Hankel transform by direct integration (Chave 1983). This approach is generally more accurate than the FHT convolution method, but is also much more time consuming. This routine requires the subroutine besautz and associated subroutines available from A.D. Chave. For this release, a besautz stub routine is provided, but it just returns an error code. To exploit this option, obtain besautz, compile and link it with the emdipole code.

reflctn computes the reflection coefficients at the source level, both above and below the source. The subroutine uses recursion based on an initial value of $R_N = 0$ and equations (22) and (46).

Listing of reflctn.f

```
complex*16 u(maxlay),v(maxlay),rtor(maxlay),rpol(maxlay)
 9
            complex*16 gamma2, a, b, expont, temp, rs_pol, rs_tor
10
                         lamsq,distan
11
            real*8
\frac{12}{13}
     \tilde{c} initialize the lambda dependent variables.
\frac{14}{15}
     С
            do i = 1, layers
16
              gamma2 = alphah(i)*beta(i)
                                            lamsq + gamma2)
17
              u(i)
                      = cdsqrt(
                       = cdsqrt(kappa(i)*lamsq + gamma2)
              v(i)
18
19
20
21
22
23
            end do
     \overset{\circ}{\underset{c}{c}} find the reflection coefficent above the source. \overset{\circ}{\underset{c}{c}}
            rpol(1) = dcmplx(0.0d0, 0.0d0)
            rtor(1) = dcmplx(0.0d0, 0.0d0)
24
\frac{25}{26}
     с
            do i = 2, s
27
              if(i.eq.s) then
                 distan = -2.0*sdepup
28
29
                else
                 distan = -2.0*thckns(i)
30
\frac{31}{32}
              end if
     С
33
              expont = cdexp(v(i)*distan)
34
     с
              a = v(i)*alphah(i-1) + v(i-1)*alphah(i)
35
              b = v(i)*alphah(i-1) - v(i-1)*alphah(i)
36
              rpol(i) = (rpol(i-1)*a + b)*expont/(rpol(i-1)*b + a)
37
38
     с
              expont = cdexp(u(i)*distan)
39
              a = u(i)*beta(i-1) + u(i-1)*beta(i)
b = u(i)*beta(i-1) - u(i-1)*beta(i)
40
41
              rtor(i) = (rtor(i-1)*a + b)*expont/(rtor(i-1)*b + a)
42
43
            end do
            rs_pol = rpol(s)
44
45
            rs_tor = rtor(s)
     \overset{\circ}{c} Find the reflection coefficent below the source. \overset{\circ}{c}
46
47
48
49
            rpol(layers) = dcmplx(0.0d0,0.0d0)
50
            rtor(layers) = dcmplx(0.0d0, 0.0d0)
\frac{51}{52}
     с
            do i = layers-1, s, -1
53
              if(i.eq.s) then
54
                 distan = -2.0*sdepdn
55
                else
                 distan = -2.0*thckns(i)
56
\frac{57}{58}
              end if
     с
              expont = cdexp(v(i)*distan)
59
              a = v(i)*alphah(i+1) + v(i+1)*alphah(i)
60
61
              b = v(i)*alphah(i+1) - v(i+1)*alphah(i)
62
              rpol(i) = (rpol(i+1)*a + b)*expont/(rpol(i+1)*b + a)
63
     С
              expont = cdexp(u(i)*distan)
64
65
              a = u(i)*beta(i+1) + u(i+1)*beta(i)
66
              b = u(i)*beta(i+1) - u(i+1)*beta(i)
              rtor(i) = (rtor(i+1)*a + b)*expont/(rtor(i+1)*b + a)
67
68
69
70
            end do
     C
     c The ordering of the reflection coefficents is important. rs_pol
71
72
     c and rs_tor should be the reflection coefficients on the
     c side of the source away from the receiver.
73
74
     С
            if(sz.gt.rz) then
\mathbf{75}
              temp
                     = rpol(s)
76
              rpol(s) = rs_pol
77
              rs_pol = temp
```

18	С			
79		temp	=	rtor(s)
80		rtor(s)	Ξ	rs_tor
81		rs_tor	=	temp
82		end if		
83		return		
84		end		

proptn computes the propagation matrices that use the reflection coefficients to take the primary potentials at the source level to the receiver level. The relevant equations are (19) and (20), as well as (24) and (25).

Listing of proptn.f

 $\frac{1}{2}$ subroutine proptn(u,v,rtor,rpol,rs_pol,rs_tor,t,p) _____prop 3 c---______ c generate the propagation matrices fromc the source to the receiver 4 $\frac{5}{6}$ С include 'dipole.inc' $\frac{7}{8}$ с integer i. step g real*8 distan $1\tilde{0}$ complex*16 proppm(2,2), proptm(2,2), pmprop(2,2), tmprop(2,2) 11 complex*16 contpm(2,2), conttm(2,2), fact, rs_pol, rs_tor complex*16 u(maxlay), v(maxlay), rpol(maxlay), rtor(maxlay) 1213 complex*16 p(4),t(4)14С $\overline{15}$ c calculate the total potentials at the source level. note the extra factor for this matrix added to the summation definitions below $16 \\ 17 \\ 18$ с c С pmprop(1,1) = 1.0d019 20pmprop(1,2) = rs_pol 21pmprop(2,1) = rpol(s)22pmprop(2,2) = rpol(s)*rs_pol 23с 24tmprop(1,1) = 1.0d0 $tmprop(1,2) = rs_tor$ 2526tmprop(2,1) = rtor(s)27 $tmprop(2,2) = rtor(s)*rs_tor$ $\frac{28}{29}$ c continue the source level total potentials to the nearest interface $\frac{30}{31}$ с step = 132if(r.lt.s) step = -133 do i = s, r-step, step 34с 35 distan = thckns(i) 36 if(i.eq.s) distan = sdist 37 call cont(contpm,conttm,u(i),v(i),distan) 38 с 39 call matmult(contpm,pmprop,pmprop) 40call matmult(conttm,tmprop,tmprop) $\frac{41}{42}$ c the potential that is propagating away from the source level is then c continued across the interface, and the potential propagating towards 43 44c the source is found from the potential propagating away from the source. 45c this is done using the reflection coefficents to help eliminate c round-off errors. $\frac{46}{47}$ 48 fact = 0.5 /(alphav(i+step)*kappa(i)*v(i+step)) 49 proppm(1,1) = fact*(alphah(i)*v(i+step) + alphah(i+step)*v(i)) 50 proppm(1,2) = fact*(alphah(i)*v(i+step) - alphah(i+step)*v(i)) 51proppm(2,1) = proppm(1,1)*rpol(i+step)

```
proppm(2,2) = proppm(1,2)*rpol(i+step)
52
53
    С
            fact = 0.5 /(u(i+step)*beta(i+step))
54
            proptm(1,1) = fact*(beta(i)*u(i+step) + beta(i+step)*u(i))
55
            proptm(1,2) = fact*(beta(i)*u(i+step) - beta(i+step)*u(i))
56
            proptm(2,1) = proptm(1,1)*rtor(i+step)
57
58
            proptm(2,2) = proptm(1,2)*rtor(i+step)
59
    c the contribution from this interface is multiplied into the
ĞŎ
    c propagation matrix.
61
62
    С
63
            call matmult(proppm,pmprop,pmprop)
64
            call matmult(proptm,tmprop,tmprop)
end do
    С
    c once the receiver layer is reached, we must propagate from the
68
    c boundary to the receiver level
69
    С
70
          call cont(contpm,conttm,u(r),v(r),rdist)
71
          call matmult(contpm,pmprop,pmprop)
          call matmult(conttm,tmprop,tmprop)
72
73
    с
          fact = 1.0d0 / (1.0d0 - rpol(s)*rs_pol)
74
75
          p(1)=(pmprop(1,1)+pmprop(1,2)+(pmprop(2,1)+pmprop(2,2)))*fact
76
          p(2)=(pmprop(1,1)+pmprop(1,2)-(pmprop(2,1)+pmprop(2,2)))*fact
          p(3)=(pmprop(1,1)-pmprop(1,2)-(pmprop(2,1)-pmprop(2,2)))*fact
77
          p(4)=(pmprop(1,1)-pmprop(1,2)+(pmprop(2,1)-pmprop(2,2)))*fact
78
79
    с
80
          fact = 1.0d0 / (1.0d0 - rtor(s)*rs_tor)
          t(1)=(tmprop(1,1)+tmprop(1,2)+(tmprop(2,1)+tmprop(2,2)))*fact
81
          t(2)=(tmprop(1,1)+tmprop(1,2)-(tmprop(2,1)+tmprop(2,2)))*fact
82
83
          t(3)=(tmprop(1,1)-tmprop(1,2)-(tmprop(2,1)-tmprop(2,2)))*fact
84
          t(4)=(tmprop(1,1)-tmprop(1,2)+(tmprop(2,1)-tmprop(2,2)))*fact
85
86
87
    С
          return
end
```

cont is the routine to continue the potentials vertically across a uniform media. The equations used are (23) and (45). As the two non-zero elements of this matrix are inverses of each other, only the term with the negative expoential is calculated and inverted. This saves expensive complex exponential evaluations.

Listing of cont.f

```
C
 \overline{2}
           subroutine cont(contpm,conttm,u,v,distan)
                                                                             ----cont
 \frac{3}{4}
    C.
    c cont generates an continuation matrix for pi and gamma. u and v are
    c the attenuation factors for the layer to be continued across, and
 5
    c distan is the distance through the layer.
 6
 7
    С
 8
           complex*16 contpm(2,2), conttm(2,2), u, v
^{9}_{10}
           real*8
                       distan
    с
           if(abs(u*distan).gt.80) then
11
12
             conttm(1,1) = dcmplx(0.0d0,0.0d0)
             conttm(2,2) = dcmplx(0.0d0,0.0d0)
13
14
            else
             conttm(1,1) = cdexp(-u*distan)
15
16
             conttm(2,2) = 1.0d0/conttm(1,1)
17 \\ 18
           end if
    С
19
           conttm(1,2) = dcmplx(0.0d0,0.0d0)
20
           conttm(2,1) = dcmplx(0.0d0,0.0d0)
```

21	с	
$\overline{22}$		if(abs(v*distan).gt.80) then
23		contpm(1,1) = dcmplx(0.0d0,0.0d0)
24		contpm(2,2) = dcmplx(0.0d0,0.0d0)
25		else
26		contpm(1,1) = cdexp(-v*distan)
27		contpm(2,2) = 1.0d0/contpm(1,1)
28		end if
$\overline{29}$	с	
30		contpm(1,2) = dcmplx(0.0d0,0.0d0)
31		contpm(2,1) = dcmplx(0.0d0,0.0d0)
32		return
33		end

response clculates the wavenumber domain response of the layered earth to a single wavenumber λ of source excitation. This routine is called as a function by the Fast Hankel Transform routine fht.

Listing of response.f

```
_____
 1
     c
 \overline{2}
           complex function response(lambda)
 \frac{-}{3}
     c-
     \tilde{\mathsf{c}} Calculates the response in the Hankel domain of the
 \mathbf{5}
     c layered earth to a given dipole excitation.
 \frac{6}{7}
     С
            include 'dipole.inc'
 8
9
     с
            integer
                         iker, i, j
10
            complex*16 u(maxlay), v(maxlay), rs_pol, rs_tor
11
            complex*16 rpol(maxlay), rtor(maxlay), p(4), t(4)
            complex*16 hfield(6,5), kern(-45:301,18)
12
                         lambda, lamsq
13
            real*8
14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17
     с
            common /kernels/ kern, iker
     с
            lamsg = lambda*lambda
18
19
    c compute the reflection coefficients
\frac{20}{21}
    С
            call reflctn(u,v,rtor,rpol,lamsq,rs_pol,rs_tor)
\frac{22}{23}
     С
     c compute the propagation matrices
\frac{24}{25}
     с
            call proptn (u,v,rtor,rpol,rs_pol,rs_tor,t,p)
\frac{26}{27}
     с
     c introduce the electric dipole source normalization
28
29
     с
            if(js(1).ne.0.or.js(2).ne.0.or.js(3).ne.0) then
30
              call jsrch(u,v,lamsq,t,p,hfield)
\frac{31}{32}
     с
    c introduce the magnetic dipole source normalization
33
     с
34
             else if(ms(1).ne.0.or.ms(2).ne.0.or.ms(3).ne.0) then
35
              call msrch(u,v,lamsq,t,p,hfield)
36
37
38
39
40
            end if
     С
    č J0 kernels
            do j = 1, 6
41
              kern(iker,j) = cmplx(0.0,0.0)
42
              do i = 1, 5
                kern(iker,j) = kern(iker,j) + wc(1,i)*hfield(j,i)*lambda
43
44
45
46
47
              end do
            end do
     с
     c J1 kernels with an r dependance
```

```
\frac{48}{49}
     с
              do j = 1, 6
                 kern(iker, j+6) = cmplx(0.0, 0.0)
50
51
                 do i = 1, 2
                    kern(iker, j+6) = kern(iker, j+6) + wc(2, i)*hfield(j, i)
52
53
                 end do
54
55
              end do
     С
56
        J1 kernels without an r dependance
     c
57
     С
58
              do j = 1, 6
                 kern(iker, j+12) = cmplx(0.0, 0.0)
59
                 do i = 3, 5
60
                    kern(iker, j+12) = kern(iker, j+12) +
61
                                              wc(2,i)*hfield(j,i)*lambda**2
62

    \begin{array}{r}
      63 \\
      64 \\
      65 \\
      66 \\
      67 \\
    \end{array}

                 end do
              end do
     с
              return
end
```

hantrn provides the option of not using the FHT algorithm and computing the Hankel transform by direct integration (Chave 1983). This approach is generally more accurate than the FHT convolution method, but is also much more time consuming. This routine requires the subroutine besautz and associated subroutines available from A.D. Chave. For this release, a besautz stub routine is providedi, but it just returns an error code. To exploit this option, obtain besautz, compile and link it with the emdipole code.

fht is the primary computational routine. It calculates the lagged convolution of the layered earth kernel function (computed in response) with the Fast Hankel Transform filter coefficients. All kernels are calculated for each wavenumber. but the FHT convergence checking is done sequentially for each kernel to ensure accuracy. The unused kernels are saved for later evaluation.

Listing of fht.f

```
--fht
 1
     c-
 \hat{2}
          subroutine fht(new,nrad,radii,hgt,order,tol,kernel,nker,field)
 \frac{3}{4}
     C
    c this subroutine computes the zeroth or first order hankel transform
 5
     c for any diffusive kernel function using lagged convolution.
 \frac{6}{7}
     c new.....is a logical variable set to .true. each time a new lagged
 8
                    convolution is performed (ie for each new frequency).
    с
9
10
11
    c nrad.....is the number radii at which the field is to be calculated.
c when using lagged convolution, it is advantageous to evaluate
12
    С
                    the field at many radial points.
^{13}_{14}
     с
    c radii.....is a vector of length nrad containing the radii at
                    which the field is to be evaluated. the user must generate
15
    С
16
                    this array from delta in the common block /density/ using
    с
17
    с
                    the expression;
18
    с
19
                              radii(i) = radii(1)*exp(delta*(i-1))
                                                                              i=1.nrad
    с
20
    С
\overline{21}
    с
                    where radii(1) is the minimum radius. delta is the sampling
22
    С
                    density of the filter weights for fht.
\frac{23}{24}
    \overset{\text{c}}{\underset{\text{c}}{\text{ hgt}}} .....is the vertical separation between the source and receiver
25
    С
\frac{26}{27}
       order..... is the order of the hankel transform (0 or 1).
    с
\overline{28}
    c tol.....is the desired tolerence of the field caculated. essentially
```

```
29
                      determines the number of significant figures in the final
      С
 30
                      answer. tests for convergence are made by comparing the
      С
 31
      с
                     magnitude of the next term in the sum to tol*(summed total).
 32
                      if the next term in the sum is smaller than this value, the
      с
                     series is assumed to have converged.
 33
      С
 \frac{34}{35}
        kernel....is a user defined complex function of lambda, ie.
      с
 36
                     complex function kernel(lambda). the results of the
      с
                     evaluation of the kernel function are stored in the common block /kernels/ in the array kern. the variable
 37
      С
 38
      С
 39
                        iker points to the storage location for a given wavenumber
      с
 40
      с
                        (lambda), and the variable nker points to the hankel
                     transform kernel. allows the user to store several kernel functions that can be computed simultaneously and then
 \frac{41}{42}
      с
      c
 43
                     Hankel transform each kernel. the array kern must be
      с
 \frac{44}{45}
                     dimensioned to match the number of kernels to be evaluated.
      c
c
 \tilde{46}
      c nker.....is the array indicator for the kernel function. ie determines
 \frac{47}{48}
                     which kernel is to be transformed.
      с
с
 49
      c field.....are the fields variations with radius for each of the kernel
 50
51
52
53
53
54
      ¢
                     vectors and is dimensioned fld(nrad)
      с
             external kernel
      c
c
        this include statement inputs the filter weights
 55
56
57
58
      С
             include 'fhtwts.inc'
      с
                          irad, iker, ifilt, nker, nrad, order
             integer
 59
             integer
                          istep, kstart
 60
             complex*16 field(nrad), f, temp, kern(-45:301,18), kernel
 61
             real*8
                          radii(nrad), tr, ti, tmaxr, tmaxi, tol, coef
 62
             real*8
                          hgt, rho, error1, error2, lambda(-45:301)
 63
             logical
                          converged, new, stored(-45:301)
 64
      с
 65
      c user supplied common block /kernels/.
 66
      с
 67
             common /kernels/ kern, iker
 68
             common /density/ delta
 69
70
71
72
73
      С
      c
c
        initialize the lambda vector
             if(new) then
               do irad = -nrad, nfilt
 74
                  lambda(irad) = lambda1*exp(delta*(irad-1))/radii(1)
 75
76
77
               end do
      С
      c find the starting position in lambda space which is near the peak of
 78
      c the kernel function. it appears when rho = 1, this peak is at 1.0e-03.
 79
      с
 80
                       = sqrt( radii(1)*radii(1) + hgt*hgt )
 81
               kstart = dlog(1.0e-03/(lambda1*rho))/delta
 82
83
      c initialize a vector which keeps track of the kernel functions computed.
 \frac{84}{85}
      с
               do irad = -nrad, nfilt
 86
                  stored(irad) = .false.
             end do
new =
end if
 87
88
90
91
92
93
                      .false.
      С
      c
c
        evaluate the transformation over the radii values.
             do irad = 1, nrad
 94
               field(irad) = cmplx(0.0,0.0)
 \frac{95}{96}
      с
      c hankel transform loop over lambda
 97
      С
 98
               converged = .false.
 99
               istep = 1
               iker = kstart
ifilt = kstart + irad - 1

  \begin{array}{c}
    100 \\
    101
  \end{array}
```

```
do while(.not.converged)
102
103
      с
104
      c if the kernel functions have not been evaluated at the required value of
      c lambda, then they must be found and stored in the common block kernels.
105
106
      с
107
                  if(.not.stored(iker)) then
                    temp = kernel(lambda(iker))
108
109
                    stored(iker) = .true.
110
                  end if
īīĭ
      c evaluate next term in the summation in real and imaginary
112
113
      c parts to improve the computational speed.
114
      с
115
                 if(order.eq.0) then
                    coef = dble(wt0(ifilt))
116
117
                   else if(order.eq.1) then
118
                    coef = dble(wt1(ifilt))
119
                 end if
120
                 f = coef*kern(iker,nker)
                 field(irad) = field(irad) + f
121
122 \\ 122 \\ 123
      c evaluate 20 terms in the series before testing for convergence.
124
      с
125
                 if(iker.gt.kstart+20.or.istep.lt.0) then
126
                    tr
                          = dabs(dreal(f))
127
                    tmaxr = dabs(dreal(field(irad)))*tol
128
                          = dabs(dimag(f))
                    ti
129
                    tmaxi = dabs(dimag(field(irad)))*tol
130
ĩ31
      c test for convergence
132
     С
133
                    if(tr.le.tmaxr.and.ti.le.tmaxi) then
134
135
      c if converged on the rhs, start summation on lhs.
136
      С
                      if(istep.gt.0) then
137
                        iker = kstart
istep = -1
138 \\ 139
140
                       else if(istep.lt.0) then
141
\overline{1}\overline{4}\overline{2}
      c if converged on both sides, return normalized field value.
143
     С
144
                        field(irad) = field(irad) / radii(irad)
                        converged = .true.
145
146
147
                      end if
                 end if
end if
148 \\ 149
150 \\ 151 \\ 152
        increment the filter and kernel counters for next terms in series.
      с
      С
                 iker = iker + istep
^{153}_{154}
                 ifilt = iker + irad - 1
      С
155
156
      с
        check to see if filter limits have been reached.
      C
157
                 if((ifilt.gt.nfilt.or.ifilt.lt.1).and..not.converged) then
158
                   error1 = 100.0*dreal(f)/dreal(field(irad))
                   error2 = 100.0*dimag(f)/dimag(field(irad))
159
160
                   if(ifilt.gt.nfilt) write(6,*)'+fht overflow: kernel ',nker
                                                    '-fht overflow: kernel ',nker
161
                   if(ifilt.lt.1) write(6,*)
162
                   write(6,*) '% error : real ',error1,'; imag ',error2
163
164 \\ 165
        continue the convolution if the lhs has not been done
     c
c
166
                   if(ifilt.lt.1) then
                      field(irad)=field(irad)/radii(irad)
converged = .true.
167
168
169
170
                     else
                      iker = kstart - 1
ifilt = iker + irad - 1
istep = -1
171 \\ 172
173 \\ 174
                    end if
                 end if
```

175	end do
177	return
178	end

The FHT filter coefficients were calculated by the method of Johansen and Sørensen (1979), as implemented by Christensen (1990).

Listing of fhtwts.inc

1	С							
2			integer	nfilt/3	01/			
3		3	ceal	wt0(301). $wt1(301)$			
4		1	real	lambda1	/ 1.0000000	E-20/	/	
5				dolta/	2 3025849F-	<u>01</u>		
é	C		ea1+0	der (d)	2.00200456	01/		
7	C		lata ut	0 /				
ģ		`	2 3025	1285-21	2 80860105	-21	3 6492369F-21	4 5941171E-21
0		-	5 7026	1200 21,	7 20110/05	-21, -21	9.1664687E-21	1 15300005-20
10			1 4507	$074E^{-21}$,	1 00005105	_21,	2,10040075-21,	$2,000010E_{-20}$
10			1.4527	0/4E-20,	1.02095106	-20,	$2.3025120E^{-20}$	$7.0911940E_{-20}$
11			3.6492	309E-20,	4.59411/16	-20,	5./03050/E-20,	1 20110496-20,
12		+	9.1664	687E-20,	1.1539900E	-19,	1.452/8/46-19,	1.0209510E-19,
13		+	2.3025	128E-19,	2.8986919E	-19,	3.6492369E-19,	4.59411/1E-19,
14		+	5.7836	507E-19,	7.2811849E	-19,	9.166468/E-19,	1.1539900E-18,
15		+	1.4527	874E-18,	1.8289510E	-18,	2.3025128E-18,	2.8986919E-18,
16		+	3.6492	369E-18,	4.5941171E	-18,	5.7836507E-18,	7.2811849E-18,
17		+	9.1664	687E-18,	1.1539900E	-17,	1.4527874E - 17,	1.8289510E-17,
18		+	2.3025	128E-17,	2.8986919E	-17,	3.6492369E-17,	4.5941171E-17,
19		+	5.7836	507E-17,	7.2811849E	-17,	9.1664687E-17,	1.1539900E-16,
20		+	1.4527	874E-16,	1.8289510E	-16,	2.3025128E-16,	2.8986919E-16,
21		+	3.6492	369E-16,	4.5941171E	-16,	5.7836507E-16,	7.2811849E-16,
22		+	9.1664	687E-16,	1.1539900E	-15,	1.4527874E-15,	1.8289510E-15,
23		+	2.3025	128E-15.	2.8986919E	-15,	3.6492369E-15,	4.5941171E-15,
24		+	5.7836	507E-15.	7.2811849E	-15,	9.1664687E-15,	1.1539900E-14,
25		+	1.4527	874E-14.	1.8289510E	-14.	2.3025128E-14,	2.8986919E-14,
26		+	3.6492	369E-14.	4.5941171E	-14.	5.7836507E-14,	7.2811849E-14.
27		+	9.1664	687E-14.	1.1539900E	-13.	1.4527874E-13.	1.8289510E-13.
28		+	2.3025	128E-13.	2.8986919E	-13.	3.6492369E-13.	4.5941171E-13.
29		+	5.7836	507E-13.	7.2811849E	-13.	9.1664687E-13.	1.1539900E-12,
30		+	1.4527	874E-12.	1.8289510E	-12.	2.3025128E-12.	2.8986919E-12,
31		+	3.6492	369E-12.	4.5941171E	-12.	5.7836507E-12.	7.2811849E-12.
32		+	9.1664	687E-12.	1.1539900E	-11.	1.4527874E-11.	1.8289510E-11.
33		+	2.3025	128E-11.	2.8986919E	-11.	3.6492369E-11.	4.5941171E-11,
34		+	5.7836	507E-11.	7.2811849E	-11.	9.1664687E-11.	1.1539900E-10.
35		+	1.4527	874E-10.	1.8289510E	-10.	2.3025128E-10.	2.8986919E-10.
36		+	3 6492	369E-10	4.5941171E	-10.	5.7836507E-10.	7.2811849E-10.
37		+	9 1664	687E-10	1.1539900E	-09	1.4527874E-09	1.8289510E-09.
38		+	2.3025	128E-09	2.8986919E	-09	3.6492369E-09	4.5941171E-09.
39		+	5 7836	507E - 09	7 2811849E	-09	9.1664687E-09.	1.1539900E-08
40		+	1 4527	874F-08	1 8289510E	-08,	2 3025128E-08	2.8986919E-08
41			3 6492	369E - 08	4 5941171E	-08	5.7836507E-08	7.2811849E-08
12		+	9 1664	687F - 08	1 1539900E	-07	1 4527874E - 07	1 8289510E-07
43		+	2 3025	128E - 07	2.8986919E	-07	3.6492369E-07	4.5941171E-07
44		+	5 7836	507E - 07	7 2811849E	-07	9 1664687E-07	1.1539900E-06
45		+	1 4527	874E-06	1 8289510E	-06	2.3025128E-06	2.8986919E-06
46		+	3 6492	369E-06	4.5941171E	-06	5.7836507E-06	7.2811849E-06
40		+	9 1664	687E-06	1 1539900E	-05	1 4527874E-05	1.8289509E-05
48		+	2 3025	128E-05	2 8986919E	-05	3.6492369E-05	4.5941170E-05
49		+	5 7836	506E-05	7 28118475	-05	9 1664683E-05	1 1539900E-04
50		+	1 4527	872E-04	1 8289507F	-04	2.3025123E-04	2.8986908E-04
51			3 6492	346E-04	4 59411255	-04	5.7836416E-04	7.2811667E-04
52		+	9 1664	324E-04	1 15398285	-03'	1 4527729E-03	1.8289221E-03
53		+	2 3024	553E-03	2 8985771F	-03	3.6490077E-03	4.5936598E-03
54		, _	5 7827	384E-03	7 27936465	-03	9.1628369E-03	1.1532654E-02
55		+	1 4512	417E - 02	1 82606605	-02	2 2967599E-02	2.8872171E-02
56		-	3 6263	5585-02	4 54850285	-02,	5 6927766E-02	7 1002701E-02
57		+	8 8068	521E - 02	1 08264285	-01	1 3117586E-01	1.5515822E-01
58		+	1 7610	271E - 01	1 85877005	-01	1.6936511E-01	1 0395977E-01
00		•		£, TT ∩ T Ì	1.000011000	· τ •		

$\begin{array}{c} 59\\ 60\\ 61\\ 62\\ 63\\ 64\\ 65\\ 66\\ 67\\ 68\\ 69\\ 70\\ 71\\ 72\\ 73\\ 74\\ 75\\ 76\\ 77\\ 78\\ 79\\ 80\\ 81\\ 82\\ 83\\ 84 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} +-3.1093055E-02, -2.2662732E-01, -3.6303801E-01, -2.0549570E-01\\ +3.4214651E-01, 3.0577295E-01, -5.0085931E-01, 3.0562527E-01\\ +1.3553278E-01, 5.9188488E-02, -2.6407521E-02, 1.1037883E-02\\ +-4.4279470E-03, 1.7646411E-03, -7.0263272E-04, 2.7973045E-04\\ +-1.1136317E-04, 4.4334507E-05, -1.7649887E-05, 7.0265467E-06\\ +-2.7973186E-06, 1.1136326E-06, -4.4334513E-07, 1.7649887E-07\\ +-7.0265468E-08, 2.7973186E-08, -1.1136326E-08, 4.4334513E-09\\ +-1.7649887E-09, 7.0265468E-10, -2.7973186E-10, 1.1136326E-10\\ +-4.4334513E-11, 1.7649887E-11, -7.0265468E-12, 2.7973186E-12\\ +-1.1136326E-12, 4.4334513E-13, -1.7649887E-13, 7.0265468E-14\\ +-2.7973186E-14, 1.1136326E-14, -4.4334513E-15, 1.7649887E-15\\ +-7.0265468E-16, 2.7973186E-16, -1.1136326E-16, 4.4334513E-17\\ +-1.7649887E-17, 7.0265468E-18, -2.7973186E-18, 1.1136326E-18\\ +-4.4334513E-19, 1.7649887E-19, -7.0265468E-20, 2.7973186E-20\\ +-1.1136326E-20, 4.4334513E-21, -1.7649887E-21, 7.0265468E-22\\ +-2.7973186E-22, 1.1136326E-22, -4.4334513E-23, 1.7649887E-23\\ +-7.0265468E-24, 2.7973186E-24, -1.1136326E-24, 4.4334513E-25\\ +-1.7649887E-25, 7.0265468E-26, -2.7973186E-26, 1.1136326E-28\\ +-4.4334513E-27, 1.7649887E-27, -7.0265468E-29, 2.7973186E-28\\ +-1.1136326E-20, 4.4334513E-29, -1.7649887E-29, 7.0265468E-30\\ +-2.7973186E-30, 1.1136326E-30, -4.4334513E-31, 1.7649887E-33\\ +-7.0265468E-32, 2.7973186E-32, -1.7649887E-33, 1.7649887E-33\\ +-1.1136326E-36, 4.4334513E-27, -7.0265468E-34, 2.7973186E-36\\ +-4.4334513E-35, 1.7649887E-32, -1.70265468E-34, 2.7973186E-34\\ +-4.4334513E-35, 1.7649887E-35, -7.0265468E-36, 2.7973186E-36\\ +-4.4334513E-35, 1.7649887E-35, -7.0265468E-36, 2.7973186E-36\\ +-1.1136326E-36/\\ +-1.$	
85	data wt1 / $+ 1.1512925F-41 = 1.8246757F-41 = 2.8019159F-41 = 4.5833780F-41$	
87	+ 7.2641643E-41, $1.1512925E-40$, $1.8246756E-40$, $2.8919160E-40$),
88	+ 4.5833779E-40 ,7.2641647E-40 ,1.1512925E-39 ,1.8246757E-39	,
89	+ 2.8919159E-39, $4.5833781E-39$, $7.2641647E-39$, $1.1512925E-38$,
90 91	+ 1.1512925E-37, $1.8246757E-37$, $2.8919161E-37$, $4.5833782E-37$; ,
92	+ 7.2641649E-37, 1.1512925E-36, 1.8246757E-36, 2.8919161E-36	i,
93	+ 4.5833782E-36, 7.2641649E-36, 1.1512925E-35, 1.8246757E-35	,
94	+ $2.8919161E-35$, $4.5833782E-35$, $7.2641649E-35$, $1.1512925E-34$ + $1.8246757E-34$, $2.8919161E-34$, $4.5833782E-34$, $7.2641649E-34$,
95 96	+ 1.1512925E-33, $1.8246757E-33$, $2.8919161E-33$, $4.5833782E-34$, $7.2041049E-34$,
97	+ 7.2641649E-33, 1.1512925E-32, 1.8246757E-32, 2.8919161E-32	.,
98	+ 4.5833782E-32, 7.2641649E-32, 1.1512925E-31, 1.8246757E-31	,
99	+ 2.8919161E-31, $4.5833782E-31$, $7.2641649E-31$, $1.1512925E-30+ 1.8246757E-30$, $2.8919161E-30$, $4.5833782E-30$, $7.2641649E-30$,
100	+ 1.1512925E-29, 1.8246757E-29, 2.8919161E-29, 4.5833782E-29	,
102	+ 7.2641649E-29, 1.1512925E-28, 1.8246757E-28, 2.8919161E-28	,
103	+ 4.5833782E-28, 7.2641649E-28, 1.1512925E-27, 1.8246757E-27	,
104	+ 1.8246757E-26, $2.8919161E-26$, $4.5833782E-26$, $7.2641649E-26$, $7.2641649E-26$,
106	+ 1.1512925E-25, 1.8246757E-25, 2.8919161E-25, 4.5833782E-25	,
107	+ 7.2641649E-25, 1.1512925E-24, 1.8246757E-24, 2.8919161E-24	,
108	+ 4.5833782E-24, 7.2641649E-24, 1.1512925E-23, 1.8246757E-23 + 2.8010161E-23	,
110	+ 1.8246757E-22, 2.8919161E-22, 4.5833782E-22, 7.2641649E-22	,
111	+ 1.1512925E-21, 1.8246757E-21, 2.8919161E-21, 4.5833782E-21	,
112	+ 7.2641649E-21, 1.1512925E-20, 1.8246757E-20, 2.8919161E-20	,
113	+ 4.5833782E-20, 7.2641649E-20, 1.1512925E-19, 1.8246757E-19 + 2.8919161E-19	,
115	+ 1.8246757E-18, 2.8919161E-18, 4.5833782E-18, 7.2641649E-18	;
116	+ 1.1512925E-17, 1.8246757E-17, 2.8919161E-17, 4.5833782E-17	,
117	+ 7.2641649E - 17, 1.1512925E - 16, 1.8246757E - 16, 2.8919161E - 16	,
118	+ 2.8919161E - 15, 4.5833782E - 15, 7.2641649E - 15, 1.1512925E - 14	?
120	+ 1.8246757E-14, 2.8919161E-14, 4.5833782E-14, 7.2641649E-14	;,
121	+ 1.1512925E-13, 1.8246757E-13, 2.8919161E-13, 4:5833782E-13	,
122	+ (.2041049E=13, 1.1512925E=12, 1.8246/5/E=12, 2.8919161E=12 + 4.5833782E=12, 7.2641649E=12, 1.1512925E=11, 1.8246757E=11	,
124	+ 2.8919161E-11, 4.5833782E-11, 7.2641649E-11, 1.1512925E-10	,
125	+ 1.8246757E-10, 2.8919161E-10, 4.5833782E-10, 7.2641649E-10	,
126	+ 1.1512925E-09, 1.8246757E-09, 2.8919161E-09, 4.5833782E-09	,
127	+ 4.5833779E-08, 7.2641643E-08, 1.1512926E-08, 2.8919160E-08	,
129	+ 2.8919152E-07, 4.5833759E-07, 7.2641591E-07, 1.1512911E-06	,

130	+ 1 82467215-06 2 89190715-06 4 58335535-06 7 26410765-06
131	+ 1.1512781E - 05 1.8246396E - 05 2.8918252E - 05 4.5831503E - 05
122	+ 7.2626014E -06 1.1611498E -04 1.9243120E -04 2.9010202E $+03$
192	+ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$
194	+ 4.5010956E - 04, $1.2504422E - 04$, $1.1490520E - 05$, $1.0210004E - 05$,
104	+ 2.00203002-03, 4.50002/02-03, 7.2000/02-03, 1.1309/392-02,
135	+ 1.788/29/E-02, 2.8021393E-02, 4.3588251E-02, 6.7060119E-02,
136	+ 1.0131146E-01, 1.4866030E-01, 2.0732317E-01, 2.6530137E-01,
137	+ 2.8524462E-01, 2.0254407E-01, -5.7375154E-02, -3.7135384E-01,
138	+-3.1963734E-01, 4.6955122E-01,-2.7699099E-02,-2.0391968E-01,
139	+ 1.8174329E-01, -1.0118230E-01, 4.4856879E-02, -1.8088601E-02,
140	+ 7.1995987E-03,-2.8661435E-03, 1.1410288E-03,-4.5425151E-04,
141	+ 1.8084077E-04,-7.1994008E-05, 2.8661331E-05,-1.1410281E-05,
142	+ 4.5425148E-06,-1.8084077E-06, 7.1994007E-07,-2.8661331E-07,
143	+ 1.1410281E-07,-4.5425148E-08, 1.8084077E-08,-7.1994007E-09,
144	+ 2.8661331E-09,-1.1410281E-09, 4.5425148E-10,-1.8084077E-10,
145	+ 7.1994007E-11,-2.8661331E-11, 1.1410281E-11,-4.5425148E-12,
146	+ 1.8084077E-12,-7.1994007E-13, 2.8661331E-13,-1.1410281E-13,
147	+ 4.5425148E-14,-1.8084077E-14, 7.1994007E-15,-2.8661331E-15,
148	+ 1.1410281E-15, -4.5425148E-16, 1.8084077E-16, -7.1994007E-17,
149	+ 2.8661331E-17, -1.1410281E-17, 4.5425148E-18, -1.8084077E-18,
150	+ 7.1994007E-192.8661331E-19. 1.1410281E-194.5425148E-20.
151	+ 1.8084077E-207.1994007E-21. 2.8661331E-211.1410281E-21.
152	+ 4.5425148E-221.8084077E-22. 7.1994007E-232.8661331E-23.
153	+ 1.1410281E-234.5425148E-24. 1.8084077E-247.1994007E-25.
154	+ 2.8661331E-251.1410281E-25. 4.5425148E-261.8084077E-26.
155	+ 7,1994007E-27,-2,8661331E-27, 1,1410281E-27,-4,5425148E-28
156	+ 1.8084077E-287.1994007E-29. 2.8661331E-291.1410281E-29.
157	+ 4.5425148E-301.8084077E-30. 7.1994007E-312.8661331E-31
158	+ 1.1410281E-31 - 4.5425148E-32 - 1.8084077E-32 - 7.1994007E-33
159	+ 2 8661331F-33 -1 1410281F-33 4 5425148F-34 -1 8084077F-34
160	+ 7 1994007E-35 -2 8661331E-35 1 1410281E-35 -4 5425148E-36
161	1 1 000077E-32/
101	T.0004011E-301

initw is an initiation routine, called for each frequency, that computes the admittivity, impedivity and coefficient of anisotropy for each layer of interest.

Listing of initw.f

~		<pre>subroutine initw(sigmah,sigmav,epsiln,freq) inity</pre>
c	sets	s up the parameters for the common blocks
с		include 'dipole.inc'
		integer i
		real*8 epsiln(maxlay), sigmah(maxlay), sigmav(maxlay)
		real*8 omega, freq
с		omega = freq * 2.0d0 * pi
		do i = 1, layers
		alphah(i) = dcmplx(sigmah(i),omega*epsiln(i))
		alphav(i) = dcmplx(sigmav(i),omega*epsiln(i))
		kappa(i) = alphah(i)/alphav(i)
		beta(i) = dcmplx(0.0d0, omega*mu(i))
		end do return
		end

initd is called only when the layered earth model changes and computes the interface depths and distances between the source and receiver and the nearest interfaces.

Listing of initd.f

,

```
subroutine initd
 2
3
4
                                           -----initd
    c-----
           include 'dipole.inc'
 5
           integer i
 6
           real*8 depth(maxlay)
 7
8
    С
    c find the relative positions of the layers in the earth
    c also locate the layers containing the source and receiver
 9
10
    с
11
12
           r = 1
s = 1
           depth(1) = 0.0d0
\overline{13}
14
           do i = 2, layers-1
             depth(i) = depth(i-1) + thckns(i)
15
             if(rz.le.depth(i).and.rz.gt.depth(i-1)) r = i
16
17
             if(sz.le.depth(i).and.sz.gt.depth(i-1)) s = i
           end do
18
           if(rz.gt.depth(layers-1)) r = layers
19
           if(sz.gt.depth(layers-1)) s = layers
20
           write(6,*) 'Source layer = ',s,'; Receiver layer = ',r
21
\frac{22}{23}
    c find the distance from the source to the nearset interface
c above and below the source level ( required for the reflection
24
    c coefficients
c
25
26
27
           if(s.ne.1)
                             sdepup = abs(sz - depth(s-1))
           if(s.ne.layers) sdepdn = abs(sz - depth(s))
28
29
    с
30
           if(r.ne.s) then
             if(rz.ge.sz) then
31
                sdist = sdepdn
32
33
               rdist = abs(rz - depth(r-1))
              else if(rz.lt.sz) then
34
               sdist = sdepup
35
               rdist = abs(rz - depth(r))
36
            end if
else if(r.eq.s) then
37
38
39
             sdist = abs(sz - rz)
           rdist = sdist
end if
40
41
42
43
           return
           end
```

orient finds the geometrical orientation factors for an arbitrary dipole at an angle of θ with respect to the x-axis.

Listing of orient.f

```
1
   c--
2
        subroutine orient(wc,theta)
                             ------orient
3
4
   C-
   c This subroutine generates the geometrical orientation factors for the
5
   c arbitrary dipole problem with an angle theta.
   c FACTOR is 1/(4 \text{ pi}) and theta is measured from the axis of the dipole.
6
   c Boerner & West, 1989, Geophysical Journal, 97, 529-547.
7
8
   с
9
         real*8 factor, costt, sintt, theta, wc(2,5)
         parameter (factor = 0.07957747154594768)
10
11
   с
         costt = cosd( 2.0d0 * theta )
sintt = sind( 2.0d0 * theta )
12
13
14
   с
         wc(1,1) = -factor * (costt + 1.0d0) / 2.0d0
15
         wc(1,2) = -factor * sintt / 2.0d0
16
         wc(1,3) = 0.0d0
17
18
         wc(1,4) = 0.0d0
```

19		wc(1,5)	=	factor				
20	С							
21		wc(2,1)	=	factor	*	costt		
22		wc(2,2)	=	factor	*	sintt		
23		wc(2,3)	=	-factor	*	cosd(theta)
24		wc(2, 4)	Ξ	-factor	*	sind(theta)
25		wc(2,5)	=	0.0d0				
26	С							
27		return						
28		end						

jsrch finds the total field kernels due to electric dipole sources as expressed in the Hankel domain. The components calculated are essentially those given in Table 1a.

c-----1 $\hat{2}$ subroutine jsrch(u,v,lambda2,t,p,hfield) č total fields due to electric sources in Hankel Domain. 3_{456} include 'dipole.inc' 7 с complex*16 u(maxlay), v(maxlay), p(4), t(4), hfield(6,5) 8 9 complex*16 ej(5), hj(4), rat $^{10}_{11}$ real*8 lambda2 С rat = kappa(s) * v(r) / (kappa(r) * v(s))12v(r) * p(3) / (alphav(s) * kappa(r))beta(r) * t(1) / u(s) 13 $e_{j}(1) =$ ej(2) = 14rat * p(2) / alphav(s) 15ej(3) = $e_j(4) = lambda2 * v(r) * p(1) / (alphav(s) * kappa(r))$ 16p(4) / alphav(s) 17 ej(5) ≈ 18с hfield(1,1) = (ej(1)-ej(2))*js(1)19 hfield(1,2) = (ej(1)-ej(2))*js(2)2021hfield(1,3) = ej(3)*js(3)22hfield(1,4) = cmplx(0.0,0.0)23hfield(1,5) = -ej(2)*js(1)24с 25hfield(2,1) =-(ej(1)-ej(2))*js(2) 26hfield(2,2) = (ej(1)-ej(2))*js(1)27 hfield(2,3) = cmplx(0.0,0.0)28 hfield(2,4) = ej(3)*js(3)29 hfield(2,5) = -ej(1)*js(2)30 с 31 hfield(3,1) = cmplx(0.0,0.0)32 hfield(3,2) = cmplx(0.0,0.0)33 hfield(3,3) = ej(5)*js(1)hfield(3,4) = ej(5)*js(2)34 35hfield(3,5) = -ej(4)*js(3) $\frac{36}{37}$ c Magnetic field components 38 с 39 rat = alphav(r)/alphav(s) .` 40 hj(1) =u(r) * t(2) / u(s)rat * p(4)hj(2) =41 42hj(3) = rat * kappa(s) * p(1) / v(s)43 hj(4) =t(1) / u(s)44 с hfield(4,1) = (hj(2)-hj(1))*js(2)4546hfield(4,2) =-(hj(2)-hj(1))*js(1) 47 hfield(4,3) = cmplx(0.0,0.0)48 hfield(4,4) = hj(3)*js(3)

Listing of jsrch.f

49		hfield(4.5)	=	hj(2)*js(2)
50	с			55
51		hfield(5,1)	=	(hj(2)-hj(1))*js(1)
52		hfield(5,2)	Ξ	(hj(2)-hj(1))*js(2)
53		hfield(5,3)	=	-hj(3)*js(3)
54		hfield(5,4)	=	cmplx(0.0,0.0)
55		hfield(5,5)	=	$-h_{j}(1)*js(1)$
56	с			5
57		hfield(6,1)	=	cmplx(0.0,0.0)
58		hfield(6,2)	=	cmplx(0.0,0.0)
59		hfield(6,3)	=	-hj(4)*js(2)
60		hfield(6,4)	=	hj(4)*js(1)
61		hfield(6,5)	=	cmplx(0.0,0.0)
62	с			1
63		return		
64		end		

msrch finds the total field kernels due to magnetic dipole sources as expressed in the Hankel domain. The components calculated are essentially those given in Table 1b.

Listing of msrch.f

```
c-----
 1
 \dot{2}
          subroutine msrch(u,v,lambda2,t,p,hfield)
 ^{3}_{4}
    c-----
                                                 _____
    c total fields due to magnetic sources in Hankel Domain.
 5
6
7
8
    с
          include 'dipole.inc'
    с
          complex*16 u(maxlay), v(maxlay), p(4), t(4), hfield(6,5)
          complex*16 rat, ej(4), hj(5)
 9
\begin{array}{c} 10\\11\\12\end{array}
          real*8
                      lambda2
    с
          rat = kappa(s) / kappa(r)
          ej(1) =-rat * v(r) * p(2) * beta(s) / v(s)
13
          ej(2) =
                                t(4) * beta(r)
14
15
          ej(3) =
                                t(1) * beta(r) / u(s)
          ej(4) =
16
                    kappa(s) * p(1) * beta(s) / v(s)
17
    с
18
          hfield(1,1) = -(ej(1)+ej(2))*ms(2)
          hfield(1,2) = (ej(1)+ej(2))*ms(1)
19
20
          hfield(1,3) = cmplx(0.0,0.0)
21
          hfield(1,4) = ej(3)*ms(3)
\mathbf{22}
          hfield(1,5) = -ej(2)*ms(2)
23
    с
\overline{24}
          hfield(2,1) =-(ej(1)+ej(2))*ms(1)
25
          hfield(2,2) =-(ej(1)+ej(2))*ms(2)
26
          hfield(2,3) = -ej(3)*ms(3)
27
          hfield(2,4) = cmplx(0.0,0.0)
          hfield(2,5) = -ej(1)*ms(1)
28
29
    С
30
          hfield(3,1) = cmplx(0.0,0.0)
          hfield(3,2) = cmplx(0.0,0.0)
31
32
          hfield(3,3) = -ej(4)*ms(2)
33
          hfield(3,4) = ej(4)*ms(1)
34
          hfield(3,5) = cmplx(0.0,0.0)
\frac{35}{36}
    c Magnetic field components
37
    С
38
          h_{i}(1) =
                                   u(r) * t(3)
39
          hj(2) = -alphav(r) * kappa(s) * p(1) * beta(s) / v(s)
40
          hj(3) =
                                   u(r) * t(2) / u(s)
          hj(4) =
41
                                          t(4)
```

42		hj(5) =		lambda2 * t(1) / u(s)
43	с	1-62-11(4 4)	_	$(h \neq (0), h \neq (1))$ the (1)
44		nilela(4,1)	=	$(n_j(2)+n_j(1))*m_s(1)$
45		hfield(4,2)	=	(hj(2)+hj(1))*ms(2)
46		hfield(4,3)	=	hj(3)*ms(3)
47		hfield(4,4)	Ξ	cmplx(0.0,0.0)
48		hfield(4,5)	=	hi(2)*ms(1)
49	с			5
50		hfield(5,1)	=-	-(hj(2)+hj(1))*ms(2)
51		hfield(5,2)	=	$(h_{j}(2)+h_{j}(1))*ms(1)$
52		hfield(5,3)	=	cmplx(0.0,0.0)
53		hfield(5,4)	=	hi(3)*ms(3)
54		hfield(5.5)	=	-hi(1)*ms(2)
55				5
56		hfield(6,1)	=	cmplx(0.0,0.0)
57		hfield(6,2)	=	cmplx(0.0,0.0)
58		hfield(6,3)	=	hj(4)*ms(1)
59		hfield(6,4)	=	hj(4)*ms(2)
60		hfield(6.5)	=	-hi(5)*ms(3)
61	с			
$6\overline{2}$		return		
63		end		

look is a simple I/O routine that echos the input information out to the screen to check the veracity of the input data file.

_____ C $\overline{2}$ subroutine look(maxlay, layers, sigmah, sigmav, epsiln, mu, 3 > thckns, freq1, freqmx, den, maxrad, numrad, radii, > 4 ntheta,theta,tinc,js,ms,sz,rz) $\frac{5}{6}$ _____ -----C =c look at the input parameters 7 с character*2 chars(6) 89 integer i, ij, layers, numrad, maxlay, maxrad, ntheta 10 real*8 freq1,freqmx,sigmah(maxlay),sigmav(maxlay), tinc 11epsiln(maxlay), js(3), ms(3), mu(maxlay), jms(3) real*8 theta, radii(maxrad), den, thckns(maxlay), sz, rz chars/'Jx','Jy','Jz','Mx','My','Mz'/ 12real*8 13 data $\frac{14}{15}$ с ij = 1 jms(1) = js(1)16 jms(2) = js(2)17 jms(3) = js(3)18 if(ms(1).ne.0.or.ms(2).ne.0.or.ms(3).ne.0) then 19 20 ij = 4 jms(1) = ms(1)21 22ims(2) = ms(2)23jms(3) = ms(3) $\frac{24}{25}$ end if с 26write(6,1) freq1, freqmx, den, chars(ij), chars(ij+1), 27chars(ij+2), jms(1), jms(2), jms(3), sz, rz, > 28> numrad, radii(1), ntheta, theta, tinc ; ',1pe9.3,' to ',1pe9.3,' Hz',/, format(' frequency range $\mathbf{29}$ 1 ; ', Opf5.2,' points per decade',/, 30 , > ' source component ; ',2x,3(5x,a2,5x),/, 31 > 32, ; ',2x,3(1pe12.4),/, > ; ',1pe11.3,' / ',1pe11.3,/, ; ',i6, ' / ',1pe11.3,/, ' Tx/Rx height (m) 33 > > ' # and initial r 34

Listing of look.f

```
' / ',0pf7.2,/,
                  ' # and initial theta; ',i6,
35
         >
                     theta increment ; ',0pf7.2,/)
36
         >
37
    С
38
           write(6,2)
           format(/,2x,'#', 3x,'sigma_h', 4x,'sigma_v',
39
    2
                  3x,'epsilon',7x,'mu',9x,'tau'
                                                    ,7x,'thckns'/,
40
         >
                  6x,' (S/m) ', 4x,' (S/m) ',
41
         >
                                                (m) '/)
         >
                  4x,'(F/m)',7x,'(H/m)',7x,'
42
           i=0
43
\dot{4}\dot{4}
           do i=1, layers
             if(i.ne.layers.and.i.ne.1) then
45
               if(sigmav(i).eq.sigmah(i)) then
46
                 write(6,5) i,sigmah(i),sigmav(i),epsiln(i),mu(i),thckns(i)
47
48
                else
49
                 write(6,7) i,sigmah(i),sigmav(i),epsiln(i),mu(i),thckns(i)
50
               end if
ŠĬ
              else
52
               if(sigmav(i).eq.sigmah(i)) then
                 write(6,3) i,sigmah(i),sigmav(i),epsiln(i),mu(i)
53
54
                else
                 write(6,8) i,sigmah(i),sigmav(i),epsiln(i),mu(i)
55
56
57
             end if
end if
             if(i.ne.layers) write(6,4)
58
59
           end do
           write(6,6)
60
          format(1x,i2,5(1x,1pe10.3),1x,' Infinite ')
61
    З
62
    4
           format(1x,72('-'))
63
    5
          format(1x ,i2,6(1x,1pe10.3))
64
    6
           format(//)
    7
           format('K',i2,5(1x,1pe10.3))
65
           format('K',i2,4(1x,1pe10.3))
    8
66
67
68
           return
           end
```

reader reads the input data file.

Listing of reader.f

```
c-----
 1
 2
          subroutine reader(maxlay, layers, sigmah, sigmav, epsiln, mu,
 3
         >
                             thckns, freq1, ndec, den, maxrad, numrad, radii,
 4
         >
                             ntheta,theta,tinc,js,ms,sz,rz,tol,fht_type)
 \frac{5}{6}
    c-
    c this file reads the required data from an existing data file
 7
8
    с
          integer i, ndec, layers, numrad, maxlay, maxrad, ntheta
 9
          integer st1, fht_type
10
          real*8 thckns(maxlay), den, epsiln(maxlay)
11
          real*8 mu(maxlay), freqn, freq1, sx, sy, idl
          real*8 sigmav(maxlay), sigmah(maxlay)
real*8 radii(maxrad), theta, sz, rz, eps, js(3), ms(3)
12
13
14
          real*8 saz, sin, ds, eps_rel
15
          real*8 mu_rel, mufs, tol, rden, rmax, tmax, tinc
16
          character*80 name, line
17
    с
          parameter( eps = 8.8542090d-12,
18
         >
19
                     mufs = 1.25663706143591729d-06)
\frac{10}{20}
21
    с
          name='dipole.dat'
22
          open(unit=1,file=name,status='old')
23
24
          call get_line(line,*10)
25
          read(line,*) tol, fht_type
26
          call get_line(line,*10)
```

```
27
           read(line,*) st1
28
           call get_line(line,*10)
29
           read(line,*) sx, sy, sz
30
           call get_line(line, *10)
31
           read(line,*) idl, saz, sin, ds
32
           if ( st1.eq.0 ) then
33
             js(1) = idl * cosd( saz ) * cosd( sin )
34
             js(2) = idl * sind(saz) * cosd(sin)
             js(3) = idl * sind(sin)
35
36
            else
             ms(1) = ds * cosd(saz) * cosd(sin)
ms(2) = ds * sind(saz) * cosd(sin)
37
38
             ms(3) = ds * sind(sin)
39
40
41
           end if
    с
42
           call get_line(line,*10)
43
           read(line,*) freq1, freqn, den
           ndec = nint(dlog10(freqn/freq1))
44
45
           call get_line(line,*10)
           read(line,*) radii(1), rmax, rden, rz, theta, tmax, tinc
numrad = max(int(dlog10(rmax/radii(1))*rden),1)
46
47
48
           ntheta = max(int((tmax - theta)/tinc),1)
49
    с
50
           call get_line(line,*10)
           read(line,*) layers
51
52
           layers = layers + 2
53
    с
54
           call get_line(line,*10)
55
           read(line,*) sigmah(1), sigmav(1), eps_rel, mu_rel
56
           epsiln(1) = eps * eps_rel
           mu(1)
                      = mufs * mu_rel
57
58
59
    с
           do i = 2, layers-1
60
             call get_line(line,*10)
             read(line,*) thckns(i), sigmah(i), sigmav(i),
61
62
          >
                           eps_rel, mu_rel
             epsiln(i) = eps * eps_rel
63
             mu(i)
64
                        = mufs * mu_rel
\substack{65\\66}
           end do
    с
67
           call get_line(line,*10)
68
           read(line,*) sigmah(layers), sigmav(layers),
69
                          eps_rel, mu_rel
70
           epsiln(layers) = eps * eps_rel
71
                           = mufs * mu_rel
           mu(layers)
72
73
74
    с
10
           return
           end
```

matmult multiplies two 2×2 matrices together.

Listing of matmult.f

```
1
   c---
\hat{2}
        subroutine matmult(a,b,c)
                                   _____
3
   C-----
   c multiply a and b (2x2 matrices) together and store the
4
5
6
7
   c result in c.
        complex*16 a(2,2), b(2,2), c(2,2), temp(2,2)
8
   с
        temp(1,1) = a(1,1) * b(1,1) + a(1,2) * b(2,1)
9
10
        temp(1,2) = a(1,1) * b(1,2) + a(1,2) * b(2,2)
11
        temp(2,1) = a(2,1) * b(1,1) + a(2,2) * b(2,1)
12
        temp(2,2) = a(2,1) * b(1,2) + a(2,2) * b(2,2)
```

itoa converts an integer to an ascii character.

Listing of itoa.f

```
subroutine itoa(char,num,i)
 ^2_{3}_{4}
     С
              character char*(*)
 5
             integer num, i
 6
7
             if(num.le.9) then
8
9
10
                write(char(1:1),'(i1)') num
             i = 1
end if
11
             if(num.gt.9.and.num.lt.100) then
12
                write(char(1:2),'(i2)') num

    \begin{array}{c}
      13 \\
      14 \\
      15 \\
      16
    \end{array}

             i = 2
end if
return
             end
```

get_line reads a line from the input control file and ignores it if the line begins with a comment character (#).

Listing of getline.f

```
_____
 1
     \mathbf{c}
 \overline{2}
             subroutine get_line(line,*)
             ______
 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6
     c-
                                   ______
              character*(*) line
             read(1,'(a)',end=10) line
if(line(1:1).eq.'#') goto 100
     100
 7
              if(line.eq.' ') goto 100
 8
\begin{smallmatrix}&9\\10\\11\end{smallmatrix}
              return
             return 1
end /
     10
```

The following listing shows an example of the input data file format.

Listing of dipole.dat.example

```
# - all lines begining with # are ignored
 1
     # FHT definitions, tolerance = relative error
# (type: 1 = Convolution, 2 = Direct integration )
 2
 3
                                                                           .`
 4
     # tolerance
                        type
        1.0e-08
 5
6
7
                         1
     ##
       0 = Electric Dipole, 1 = Magnetic Dipole
 8
9
     #
        1
     #
10
     # source
# src_x
       source location (meters)
11
12
                    src_y
                                     src_z
```

 $13 \\ 14 \\ 15$ # 0.0 0.0 -0.01 # I dS (A/m)# Idl (Amps) source azimuth source inclination 16 17 # $18 \\ 19$ 0.0 90.0 1.0 1.0 # nfreq/decade 20# freq_low freq_high 21 22 23 24 # 1.0e+07 8 1.e-02 # Receiver profile definitions (rz = depth of receiver, +ve down) : # also calculate on angles sweeping from ang1 to ang2 in increments of anginc 25# 26# rmin rmax r/dec. rz ang1 ang2 anginc 27 28 29 30 31 **#** 500.0 30.0 0.0 5 500.0 10 0.0 ### Model definition: number of layers $\frac{32}{33}$ 1 # upper half space mu_rel 34 # hcond. vcond eps_rel $\frac{35}{36}$ 1.0e-14 1.0e-14 0.0 1.0 # layers 37 # thck hcond. vcond eps_rel mu_rel 250.0 0.235 lower half space $\frac{38}{39}$ 0.235 1.0 1.0 # 40 # hcond. vcond eps_rel mu_rel 0.01 0.01 1.0 1.0 41

4 Testing the Software

One of the most reliable checks on the software being compiled properly and producing valid result is to demonstrate reciprocity. This involves computing the fields from a given source and then interchanging the source and receiver and recomputing the fields. Reciprocity says that in a linear medium (i.e., a medium exhibiting no dispersive properties), the source and receiver are exactly reciprocal. A tcl script file has been provided along with the code to check automatically that reciprocity is valid for each source-receiver pair.

tcl, tool command language, is a multi-purpose scripting language available for many computer systems (e.g. UNIX, Windows95, etc. It is freely available in both source and binary distributions from http://sunscript.sun.com/ . The path of the emdipole executable must be specified in the script files and then run recip.tcl to output the real and imaginary parts of the EM fields as well as the error in the reciprocity calculation. Reciprocity is quite a stringent test since it exercises all parts of the propagation matrix calculation, the reflection coefficients and the source matrices. Furthermore, depending upon the parameters of the layered earth model for which it is run, reciprocity also tests the implementation of the transverse anisotropy derivation.

The following is an example of the recip.tcl script file.

Listing of recip.tcl

1 #!/apps/local/bin/wish

2 # 3 # Test Reciprocity

```
# - note that to check reciprocity between electric and magnetic
 4
 5
     # fields you need to normalize by i omega mu_R. This script only
 6
     # normalizes by i omega mu_freespace.
 7
 8
     set PROGRAM ./emdipole
 9
     #
     # set test parameters -
1Ŏ
     # FRQ = test frequency
11
12
     # RAD = source/receiver separation
13
     # ANG = angle between the x axis and the receiver
     # SDP = source depth
14
15
     # RDP = receiver depth
^{16}_{17}
     #
     set FRQ
                 1.00e-05
                 100.00
60.00
35.10
0.01
18
19
20
21
22
     set RAD
set ANG
set SDP
     set RDP
\tilde{2}\tilde{3}
     proc main {} {
       global FRQ FRH RAD ANG SDP RDP COPY
24
25
\overline{26}
       puts "\nPercentage difference in real and imaginary parts\
27
               as determined from reciprocity calculations.\n"
       puts "
28
                                                                          Pair %Error Re %Error Im"
                           REAL
                                                    IMAGINARY
29
       set FRH [expr $FRQ * 5.0]
30
31
       Compute only non-redundant source-receiver pairs.
     #
32
     #
33
       set com { exex exey exez exhx exhy exhz
34
                         eyey eyez eyhx eyhy eyhz
35
                               ezez ezhx ezhy ezhz
                                     hxhx hxhy hxhz
36
37
                                           hyhy hyhz
38
39
                                                 hzhz }
       foreach pair $com {
40
41
          set src [string range $pair 0 1]
          set fld [string range $pair 2 3]
42
43
44
          set dir [string index $pair 1]
45
          set typ [string index $pair 0]
46
47
48
49
          set SIN 0.0
set SAZ 0.0
set DIP 0
          if { $dir == "y" } { set SAZ 90.0 }
50
             { $dir == "z" }
51
          if { $dir == "z" } { set SIN 90.0 }
if { $typ == "h" } { set DIP 1 }
52
               $SDP $RDP $DIP $SAZ $SIN
53
          run
54
          read $fld a b
55
56
     #
       Reverse the source and receiver parameters
57
58
     #
          set dir [string index $pair 3]
59
         set typ [string index $pair 2]
60
61
62
63
          set SIN 0.0
set SAZ 0.0
set DIP 0
64
          if { $dir == "y" } { set SAZ 90.0 }
         if { $dir == "z" } { set SIN 90.0 }
if { $typ == "h" } { set DIP 1 }
65
66
67
         run $RDP $SDP $DIP $SAZ $SIN
68
69
         read $src c d
70
71
    ##
       print out comparison
72
73
    #
         puts [format "(%10.3e %10.3e) (%10.3e %10.3e) - $pair: %.3e %.3e " \
```

```
$a $c $b $d [error $a $c] [error $b $d]]
 74
 75
       }
     }
 76
 77
     proc error {a b} {
 78
        if { abs($b) != 0.0 } {
         return [expr 100*(abs($a-$b))/abs($b)]
 79
 80
        } else {
         return 0.0
 81
 82
       }
     }
 83
84
     proc run { SDP RDP DIP SAZ SIN } {
 85
       global ANG RAD FRQ FRH PROGRAM
 86
 87
 88
     # write a data file with the appropriate parameters
 89
     #
       set f [ open dipole.dat w ]
 90
         puts $f "1.0e-10 1"
 91
         puts $f "$DIP"
 92
         puts $f "0.0 0.0 $SDP"
 93
 94
         puts $f "1.0 $SAZ $SIN 1.0"
         puts $f "$FRQ $FRH 2 0 0.0"
 95
 96
         puts $f "$RAD $RAD 10 $RDP $ANG $ANG 5"
 97
 98
     # specify the layered earth model (layers + two terminating halfspaces)
 99
     #
         puts $f "1"
100
101
     #
102
     #
       -- air
103
     #
       -- layer parameters (repeat as necessary) (sh, sv, epsilon, mu)
     # -- lower halfspace
104
105
     #
         puts $f "
106
                        1.0e-14 1.0e-14 1.0 1.0"
         puts $f "30 1.0e-01 1.0e-05 80.0 1.0"
107
         puts $f "
                        1.0e-05 1.0e-01 1.0 1.0"
108
109
       close $f
110
       exec $PROGRAM >& /dev/null
     7
111
     proc read { fld real imag } {
112
113
       upvar $real r
114
       upvar $imag i
       global FRQ
115
116
       set con 7.89568352087148689e-06
set out ""
set f [ open $fld.fld r ]
117
118
119
120
       while { [gets $f line ] >= 0 && $out == "" } {
121
         regsub D [lindex $line 0] e input
122
         if { $FRQ == $input } {
123
          set out $line
124
         }
       7
125
126
       close $f
127
     #
#
128
       change the D in the double precision fortran output to an e
129
     #
       regsub D [lindex $out 1] e re
130
131
       regsub D [lindex $out 2] e im
132
     #
       If it is a magnetic field, we need to convert
133
134
     #
135
       if [string match *h* $fld] {
136
         set r [expr -$con * $im * $FRQ]
         set i [expr $con * $re * $FRQ]
137
        } else {
   set r $re
138
139
140
         set i $im
```

141 } 142 } 143 main 144 exit

recip.tcl produces screen output showing the calculated fields and a measure of the percent difference created by exchanging the source and receiver. An example output follows based on model contained in the recip.tcl script shown above.

Listing of recip.out

$\frac{1}{2}$	Percentage difference i	n real and in	maginary parts	as de	termined	
3	from reciprocity calcul	ations.				
4	DEAL	TMAG	TNADY	Dein	*/ E P	*/ E T
5	REAL		LNARY	Pair	ALTTOT Re	ALTFOR IM
6	(-5.880e-09 -5.880e-09)	(-9.159e-14	-9.159e-14) -	exex:	0.000e+00	0.000e+00
7	(8.663e-12 8.663e-12)	(-1.289e-14	-1.289e-14) -	exey:	0.000e+00	0.000e+00
8	(-3.913e-11 -3.913e-11)	(-6.043e-17	-6.043e-17) -	exez:	1.533e-11	1.324e-11
9	(1.527e-24 1.527e-24)	(2.837e-16	2.837e-16) -	exhx:	4.991e-12	9.036e-13
10	(-2.629e-24 -2.629e-24)	(7.121e-17	7.121e-17) -	exhy:	2.487e-12	4.501e-13
11	(-4.311e-24 - 4.311e-24)	(-4.571e-16	-4.571e-16) -	exhz:	1.022e-13	1.079e-13
12	(-5.870e-09 -5.870e-09)	(-1.065e-13	-1.065e-13) -	evev:	0.000e+00	0.000e+00
13	(-6.778e-11 -6.778e-11)	(-1.047e-16	-1.047e-16) -	eyez:	1.623e-11	9.550e-12
14	(4.393e-24 4.393e-24)	(2.564e-16	2.564e-16) -	eyhx:	6.188e-13	1.057e-12
15	(-1.527e-24 -1.527e-24)	(-2.837e-16	-2.837e-16) -	eyhy:	4.991e-12	9.036e-13
16	(2.489e-24 2.489e-24)	(2.639e-16	2.639e-16) -	eyhz:	8.412e-13	1.849e-12
17	(-2.589e-17 - 2.589e-17)	(-2.368e-23	-2.368e-23) -	ezez:	1.932e-11	1.689e-11
18	(-1.057e-30 -1.057e-30)	(-4.019e-25	-4.019e-25) -	ezhx:	3.051e-11	1.479e-11
19	(6.102e-31 6.102e-31)	(2.321e-25	2.321e-25) -	ezhy:	3.132e-11	1.375e-11
20	(-0.000e+00 0.000e+00)	(0.000e+00	0.000e+00) -	ezhz:	0.000e+00	0.000e+00
21	(-3.034e-26 - 3.034e-26)	(-1.754e-18	-1.754e-18) -	hxhx:	0.000e+00	0.000e+00
22	(3.358e-26 3.358e-26)	(6.105e-18	6.105e-18) -	hxhy:	0.000e+00	0.000e+00
23	(-3, 125e-26, -3, 125e-26)	(-2.474e-18	-2.474e-18) -	hxhz:	0.000e+00	0.000e+00
24	(8.432e-27 8.432e-27)	(5.296e-18	5.296e-18) -	hyhy:	0.000e+00	0.000e+00
25	(-5.413e-26 -5.413e-26)	(-4.285e-18	-4.285e-18) -	hyhz:	0.000e+00	0.000e+00
26	(-2.195e-26 -2.195e-26)	(3.543e-18	3.543e-18) -	hzhz:	0.000e+00	0.000e+00

Reciprocity is a necessary, but not sufficient test of the algorithm. Another useful test is to examine the boundary conditions by placing a receiver just above and just below every interface in the model to see if the continuity of tangential \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{H} , and normal \mathbf{J} and \mathbf{B} is maintained.

The reciprocity and boundary condition tests described above are important in verifying the code is working properly, but they do not guarantee the source fields are correct. To test the calculation more fully, it is important to compare the results with analytical expressions, or results obtained by other authors. Two particularly useful test suites are the analytical expressions for a wholespace (e.g. Ward and Hohmann, 1991), and for a uniform halfspace as provided by Bannister (1966). A tcl script file for computing two of the examples shown in Ward and Hohmann (1991) is also included in this distribution. The script file is called ward.tcl and it contains the reference to the original paper and the figures that the examples should replicate. The output file of ward.tcl is called ward.out.

Listing of ward.tcl

```
#!/apps/local/bin/wish
 1
 \frac{2}{3}
     # Test to match examples from Ward and Hohmann
     # "Electromagnetic Theory for Geophysical Applications" Chapter 4, pages 131-311
 4
     # Electromagnetic Methods in Applied Geophysics - Theory
 5
 6
     # Edited by Misac N. Nabighian, Society of Exploration Geophysicists
     # 1991
 7
8
9
     set PROGRAM ./emdipole
10
     # OUTPUT FILES = ward.out (containing real and imaginary parts)
11
12
13
     # - You shouldn't change these constants for the comparison tests
^{14}_{15}
     set FRQ
    Fix
FRH
RAD 1.
SAZ
t SDP
st SICMA
et METHOD
set SIN
set DIP
set fld
#
Comment
                   1.0e-2
                1.0e-2
3.5e+5
100.00
0.01
-0.01
1.0e-02
0.0
1617181920222322522627
                   ĥx
     # Comment out the tests you don't want
\frac{28}{29}
     # fg2 -Hx equitorial wholespace: page 176 - fig 2-2
30
     # fg3 -Hx coaxial
                           wholespace :page 177 - fig 2-3
     #set type fg3
31
32
     set type fg2
33
     if { $type == "fg2" } { set ANG 90.0 }
34
     if { $type == "fg3" } { set ANG 0.0 }
35
36
     proc main {} {
  global FRQ FRH RAD ANG SDP RDP COPY DIP SAZ SIN outfile fld
37
38
39
       global SIGMA
     #
40
41
       set outfile [ open ward.out w ]
42
43
       run $SIGMA
44
       read $fld $SIGMA
     }
45
46
47
    proc run { SIGMA } {
       global ANG RAD FRQ FRH SDP RDP DIP SAZ SIN METHOD PROGRAM
48
     # ~
# write a data file with the appropriate parameters
49
ŝŎ.
51
\overline{52}
       set f [ open dipole.dat w ]
53
         puts $f "1.0e-10 $METHOD"
         puts $f "$DIP"
54
         puts $f "0.0 0.0 $SDP"
55
         puts $f "1.0 $SAZ $SIN 1"
56
         puts $f "$FRQ $FRH 10 0 0.0"
57
         puts $f "$RAD $RAD 10 $RDP $ANG $ANG 5"
58
59
60
     #
      specify the layered earth model (two terminating halfspaces)
61
62
     #
         puts $f "0"
#
       -- air
     ##
       -- lower halfspace
66
     #
         puts $f "
67
                         $SIGMA $SIGMA 1.0 1.0 0.0"
         puts $f "
68
                        $SIGMA $SIGMA 1.0 1.0 0.0"
69
       close $f
       exec $PROGRAM >& /dev/null
70
    }
71
```

```
proc read { fld SIGMA } {
72
      global DIP RAD outfile con
73
\frac{74}{75}
76
      set f [ open $fld.fld r ]
      while { [gets $f line ] >= 0 } {
77
         if { [string match @* $line] || \
78
79
              [string match # [lindex $line 0]] || \
80
              [string match > [lindex $line 0]] } {
            puts $outfile $line
81
82
         } else {
            regsub D [lindex $line 0] e freq
83
84
            regsub D [lindex $line 1] e re
85
            regsub D [lindex $line 2] e im
            set re [expr abs($re)]
86
            set im [expr abs($im)]
87
           puts $outfile [format "%15.8e %15.8e %15.8e" $freq $re $im]
88
         }
89
      }
90
      close $f
91
    }
92
93
94
    main
    exit
```

Another suite of results involving layered models appears in the paper by Spies and Frischknecht (1991). The tcl script spies.tcl creates output files spies.amp and spies.pha using the program emdipole to compute the model examples presented by Spies and Frischknecht (1991) for comparison purposes.

Listing of spies.tcl

```
#!/apps/local/bin/wish
 1
 2
     #
 ã
     # Test to match examples from Spies and Fischknecht:
     # "Electromagnetic Sounding" Chapter 5, pages 285-425
# Electromagnetic Methods in Applied Geophysics - Applications Part A
 4
 \mathbf{5}
 6
     # Edited by Misac N. Nabighian, Society of Exploration Geophysicists
     # 1991
 8
     #
     set PROGRAM ./emdipole
 9
10
     #
11
     # OUTPUT FILES = spies.amp spies.pha (containing amplitude and phase)
\frac{12}{13}
     \ddot{\#} - You shouldn't change these constants for the comparison tests
^{14}_{15}
     #
     set FRQ
                     0.01
     set FRW 0.01
set RAD 100.00
set SAZ 0.00
set SDP 0.01
set SIGMA 2.35e-01
set METHOD 1
#
# Uncomment the test you want
"
16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 23 \\ 24
25
\mathbf{26}
     # hcp -horizontal coplanar
                                            :page 302 - fig 2-1 (a) and (b)
27
     # vcp -vertical coplanar
                                            :page 302 - fig 2-2 (a) and (b)
28
     # vca -vertical coaxial
                                            :page 302 - fig 2-3 (a) and (b)
29
     # ehz -electric equitorial Hz :page 304 - fig 2-8 (a) and
                                                                                (b)
     # ehy -electric equitorial Hy :page 304 - fig 2-9 (a) and
30
                                                                                (b)
     # eex -electric equitorial Ex :page 305 - fig 2-11(a) and
31
                                                                                (b)
     # ihy -electric inline Hy
                                            :page 305 - fig 2-10(a) and (b)
32
33
     # iex -electric inline Ex
                                            :page 305 - fig 2-12(a) and (b)
```

```
#
 34
                                         :page 303 - fig 2-4 (a) and (b)
 35
     # ppl -perpendicular loops
             -to do ppl calculation, you need to get the direct integration routine
 36
     #
 37
      #
              by Alan Chave - see documentation
 \frac{38}{39}
      #
      #set type ppl
 40
      #
 41
      set type hcp
 42
      #set type vcp
      #set type vca
 43
 44
      #set type ehz
      #set type ehy
 45
 46
      #set type ihy
      #set type eex
 47
 48
      #set type iex
 49
      if { $type == "hcp" } {
 50
                      0.0
51 \\ 52 \\ 53 \\ 54
        set ANG
set SIN
set DIP
                      ĥz
        set fld
        set con [expr 3.14159 * 4.0 * $RAD * $RAD * $RAD]
 55
 56
      }
      if { $type == "vcp" } {
 57
                     90.0
0.0
1
58
59
60
        set ANG
set SIN
set DIP
        set fld
                     ĥx
 61
        set con [expr 3.14159 * 4.0 * $RAD * $RAD * $RAD]
 62
 63
     }
      if { $type == "vca" } {
 64
        set ANG
set SIN
set DIP
65
66
67
68
                       0.0
        set fld
                      hx
        set con [expr 3.14159 * 4.0 * $RAD * $RAD * $RAD]
 69
 70
      }
      if { $type == "ppl" } {
 71
        set ANG
set SIN
set DIP
set fld
72
73
74
75
                      0.0
                       1
                       ĥx
 76
        set con [expr 3.14159 * 4.0 * $RAD * $RAD * $RAD]
 77
      #
78
     # FHT seems to fail in this particular case (probably because
 79
     # of poor convergence on the imaginary part) - use direct integration
^{80}_{81}
     #
        set METHOD 2
      }
 82
      if { $type == "ehz" } {
 83
        set ANG
set SIN
set DIP
 84
                     90.0
 85
86
87
                       0.0
        set fld
                       hz
        set con [expr 3.14159 * 4.0 * $RAD * $RAD]
 88
 89
     }
      if { $type == "ehy" } {
 90
        set ANG
set SIN
set DIP
                     90.0
91
92
\frac{93}{94}
        set fld
                      hy
        set con [expr 3.14159 * 4.0 * $RAD * $RAD]
 95
 96
      7
      if { $type == "ihy" } {
 97
                       0.0
        set ANG
set SIN
set DIP
\frac{98}{99}
100
101
        set fld
                       hy
        set con [expr 3.14159 * 4.0 * $RAD * $RAD]
102
103
      }
      if { $type == "eex" } {
104
```

```
set ANG
set SIN
set DIP
                    90.0
0.0
105 \\ 106 \\ 107
108
        set fld
                     ex
        set con [expr 3.14159 * 2.0 * $RAD * $RAD * $RAD * $SIGMA]
109
110
     if { $type == "iex" } {
111
        set ANG
set SIN
set DIP
                     0.0
112
113
114
        set fld
115
                     ex
        set con [expr 3.14159 * $RAD * $RAD * $RAD * $SIGMA]
116
117
     }
118
119
     proc main {} {
        global FRQ FRH RAD ANG SDP RDP COPY DIP SAZ SIN amp_file pha_file fld
120
        global SIGMA
121
122
     #
123
        set com { 0 0.3 1.0 3.0 10.0 30.0 100.0 }
124
125
        set amp_file [ open spies.amp w ]
126
        set pha_file [ open spies.pha w ]
        foreach fact $com {
   run $SIGMA $fact
127
128
129
          read $fld $SIGMA
130
        }
     }
131
\tilde{1}\tilde{3}\tilde{2}
133 proc run { SIGMA fact } {
       global ANG RAD FRQ FRH SDP RDP DIP SAZ SIN METHOD PROGRAM
134
135
136
     # write a data file with the appropriate parameters
     #
137
        set SIGMA2 [expr $SIGMA*$fact]
138
139
        set f [ open dipole.dat w ]
         puts $f "1.0e-10 $METHOD"
140
         puts $f "$DIP"
141
         puts $f "0.0 0.0 $SDP"
142
         puts $f "1.0 $SAZ $SIN 1.0"
143
         puts $f "$FRQ $FRH 5"
144
          puts $f "$RAD $RAD 10 $RDP $ANG $ANG 5"
145
146
147
     # specify the layered earth model (layers + two terminating halfspaces)
148
     #
         puts $f "1"
149
150
     #
#
151
       -- air
     # -- first layer (repeat as necessary)
152
     # -- lower halfspace
153
154
     #
          puts $f "
155
                        1.0e-14 1.0e-14 1.0 1.0 0.0"
         puts $f "250 $SIGMA $SIGMA 1.0 1.0 0.0"
156
         puts $f "
                        $SIGMA2 $SIGMA2 1.0 1.0 0.0"
157
158
        close $f
159
       exec $PROGRAM >& /dev/null
     }
160
     proc read { fld SIGMA } {
161
162
       global DIP RAD amp_file pha_file con
163 \\ 164
165
        set f [ open $fld.fld r ]
166
       while { [gets $f line ] >= 0 } {
167
         if { [string match @* $line] || \
168
                [string match # [lindex $line 0]] || \
169
                [string match >
                                  [lindex $line 0]] } {
170
             puts $amp_file $line
171
             puts $pha_file $line
          } else {
172
```

```
173
             regsub D [lindex $line 0] e freq
174
             regsub D [lindex $line 1] e re
             regsub D [lindex $line 2] e im
175
             puts $amp_file [format "%10.3e %10.3e"\
176
                    $freq [expr sqrt($re*$re+$im*$im)*$con]]
177
             puts $pha_file [format "%10.3e %10.3e"\
178
                    $freq [expr atan2($im,$re)*180/3.14159]]
179
           }
180
181
       7
       close $f
182
     }
183
\frac{184}{185}
     main
exit
```

5 Numerical Considerations

Although the exact expressions for the EM fields in a layered earth from a dipole source can always be written explicitly, there are some numerical limitations in evaluating these expressions. Some care should be used in computing fields with this program. In particular, numerical problems arise when the source or receiver are situated exactly on the same horizontal plane. (with the air/earth boundary presenting the worst case). It is generally prudent to separate the source and receiver by a millimeter or more to help ensure stability of the numerical calculation. This vertical offset is only important at very high wavenumber (very short distances) where it damps the diverging kernel functions. Such damping is important for the FHT which implicitly assumes a band-limited input kernel function. As long as the vertical separation of the source and receiver is quite small relative to the horizontal offset, the vertical offset should not be deleterious. In some cases, the direct integration method of Chave (1983) is able to properly evaluate formally divergent Hankel transform integrals.

There are certainly many other cases where the fields may be calculated correctly, but result in the wrong answer because of numerical instabilities. For most purposes of sources on or below the air/earth interface there should be few problems. It is important to be careful, and to perform simple tests when uncertain abou the calculation. This code has certainly not been optimized for stability, it is only to show the method of calculation. By examining certain scenarios in details, it may be possible to develop more robust codings of the EM field calculation described here.

6 Summary

In this manuscript, we have presented both a description of, and means of calculating, the modal representation of Maxwell's equations for the EM fields in a layered earth. The purpose was to illustrate the underlying simplicity of the complicated stratified earth Green's functions and to present a generalized representation of all EM methods for layered earths. The specific problem of EM sounding was generalized to give the representation arbitrary source/receiver configurations. Two scalar kernel functions are sufficient to describe the electric kernels of the halfspace sounding problem, a notion consistent with the theoretical development in terms of toroidal and poloidal magnetic modes. A further advantage of the factorization is that it isolates the geometrical portion of the electromagnetic response, facilitating studies of the source-receiver geometry of the observed fields.

References

- Backus, G.E., 1986. Poloidal and toroidal fields in geomagnetic field modeling, *Rev. Geophysics*, 24, 75-109.
- Boerner, D.E. & West, G.F., 1989. A generalized representation of the electromagnetic fields in a layered earth, *Geophysical Journal*, **97**, 529–547.
- Chave, A.D., 1983. Numerical integration of related Hankel transforms by quadrature and continued fraction expansion, *Geophysics*, 48, 1671-1686.
- Christensen, N.B., 1990. Optimized Fast Hankel Transform Filters, *Geophysical Prospecting*, **38**, 545-568.
- Gilbert, F. & Backus, G.E., 1966. Propagator matrices in elastic wave and vibration problems, *Geophysics*, **31**, 326-332.
- Johansen, H.K. and Sørensen, K., 1979. Fast Hankel Transforms, Geophysical Prospecting, 27, 876-901.
- Kennett, B.L.N., 1983, Seismic Wave Propagation in Stratified Media, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Morse, P.M. & Feshbach, H., 1953, Methods of Theoretical Physics, Parts I and II, McGraw-Hill Inc., New York.
- Nobes, D.C., 1984. The inclusion of anisotropy in Maxwell's equations, *Geophys. J. R.* astr. Soc., 85, 655-662.
- Spies, B.R., and Frischknecht, F., 1991, Electromagnetic Sounding, in Electromagnetic Methods in Applied Geophysics - Applications, Part A. Edited by Misac N. Nabighian, Society of Exploration Geophysicists, Tulsa, p 285-425..
- Ursin, B., 1983. Review of elastic and electromagnetic wave propagation in horizontally layered media, *Geophysics*, **48**, 1063-1081.

Wait, J.R., 1982, Geoelectromagnetism, Academic Press, New York, 268 pages.

Weaver, J.T., 1970. The general theory of electromagnetic induction in a conducting half space, *Geophys. J. R. astr. Soc.*, **22**, 83-100.