LEGEND

Note: This legend is common to National Geochemical Reconnaissance Map 9-1976, Open File 413; Map 53-1976, Open File 414 and Map 11-1976, Open File 415

MESOZOIC	
19	Gabbro, meta-gabbro and diabase dykes [DBRO]
PALEOZOIC	
18	Granite, quartz monzonite and granodiorite (Seattle Lake granite) [GSAT]
PROTEROZOIC	
20	Granite, quartz monzonite and granodiorite [GSMC]
19	Lithic greywacke to subgreywacke [GRDU]
18	Conglomerate [CGLM]
17	Arkose to subgreywacke [ARKO]
16	Lattice, quartz lattice,dacite and tuff [DCIT]
15	Paragneiss, semaschist, calc-silicate bands derived from 14 [GNBU]
14	Undivided 11, 12 and 13 [UNDI]
13	Dolomite, limestone and other argillite, phyllite [DMSL]
12	Sedmi silts [SEDM]
11	Argillite, phyllite, greywacke, some other dolomite [SMDU]
10	Quartzite, orthoquartzite [QRZI]
9	Greywacke-conglomerate, greywacke, protoquartzite [CGWC]
ARCHEAN	
8	Meta-gabbro dykes [MGBR]
7	Quartz monzonite, granodiorite and granite; massive to foliated [GSMC]
6	Diorite and gabbro [DORT]
5	Grey biotite granodiorite gneiss [GGNS]
4	Paragneiss, minor pervasive [PGNI]
3	Hornblende, amphibolite gneiss [AMPH]
2	Greywacke, greywacke-conglomerate, argillite, phyllite, other tuff [GADU]
1	Meta-ultramafic rocks [MAUC]

Drift-covered areas.....
Geological contact.....
Fault.....
Limit of geological mapping.....
Eskers (direction of flow known).....
Mineral occurrence.....

Legend modified and geology derived for the geochemical map by R.G. Garrett from maps 24-1970, 3-1972 and 6-1972 and G.S.C. Paper 76-16 by R.E. Lode

Geological cartography by the Geological Survey of Canada

Base-map at the same scale published by the Mapping and Charting Establishment, M.C.E., 1966

Mean magnetic declination 1977, 9°01'E decreasing 2.4' annually. Readings vary from 7°02.0' in the SE corner to 11°01.4' in the NW corner of the map area

Elevation in feet above mean sea-level

Geochemical Symbol and Data Presentation

The concentration of an element at a sample site is graphically represented as one of 15 symbols. If a sample was collected but there is no data available a dot is plotted. The symbols are symmetrically arranged so that they first increase in size and then in blackness from the smallest symbol to the fifteenth. The two small crosses at the low end of the scale are used to respectively denote concentrations below the analytical detection limit and at the limit. The data are grouped on a semi-logarithmic scale, i.e. 1,2,5,10,20,50,100 etc. Five decades can be spanned and this arbitrary division of the data was chosen by the Canada wide series of maps constituting the National Geochemical Reconnaissance.

The choice of symbols and the data groups they represent for any specific element is based on the histogram and cumulative frequency plot for the total survey data from one, or more contiguous, open file sheets covered in one field season. The histogram is used to display the background as defined by the histogram, this group usually includes the median of the data as defined by the 0.5 (50%) point on the cumulative frequency plot. Some, or all of the following data parameters are chosen so as to achieve an appropriate graphical impact. An example of all 15 symbols is given below.

The class intervals, being based on the total survey data distributions, are unaffected by the availability of ever increasing levels of knowledge in bedrock and surficial geology, and other environmental factors. Therefore the selected symbol maps are only intended to assist the rapid interpretation of the data for gross regional features. To fulfill the needs of a more specific and thorough interpretation, the reader must refer to the numerical values in the statistical data provided in the data listings and any other knowledge available. Assistance in the appraisal and modification of the data in terms of the symbol map being used is a table of summary statistics and proposed threshold values for drainage samples dominantly derived from each mapped bedrock unit, or broad lithologic unit, again provided in the data listings. Comparison of the symbol maps with this table can be used alone, or in conjuction, with the sample location maps and data listings to indicate above threshold samples where they occur on the map. In many instances, the table will also illustrate, more clearly than the map, the dependence of that geochemical levels on bedrock type. It may often be also observed from the table that the threshold selected for a specific rock unit may appear to be too low for individual rock or lithologic units appears to approximate a normal distribution. The proposed thresholds presented are believed to be useful in the interpretation of data from a mineral exploration viewpoint. Localities of samples with concentrations in excess of the threshold for the rock unit they appear to be derived from, may be of significance in that the above threshold concentration can be due to a wide range of geological and environmental factors, but one of these could be the presence of abnormal concentrations of the element in a form of interest to the exploration geochemist.

To comprehensively study any area, all available geological, environmental and recorded data should be considered. Areas of coincident, above threshold concentration can be due to a wide range of geological and environmental factors, but one of these could be the presence of abnormal concentrations of the element in a form of interest to the exploration geochemist.

To comprehensively study any area, all available geological, environmental and recorded data should be considered. Areas of coincident, above threshold concentration can be due to a wide range of geological and environmental factors, but one of these could be the presence of abnormal concentrations of the element in a form of interest to the exploration geochemist.

The following table and error value chart appears below the table is an estimate of the reliability of the geochemical map. On the basis of duplicate sampling 51 of all lakes sampled to date, the error value for any lake sample if any lake is resampled and identical methods of sample preparation and analysis are used the new value will lie between X / EF and X x EF where F is the original error value used. This factor takes into account variability due to both heterogeneity of the centre-lake bottom sediments and sample preparation and analytical causes.

Canada
Department of Energy, Mines and Resources
Geological Survey of Canada

65 A

NATIONAL
TRANSCONTINENTAL
Drift-covered
Drift-covered
TRANSCONTINENTAL
Seattle Lake
Ramon Lake
Lake
Lake