



LEGEND

Concentration of heavy metal, 9 to 4 ppm
in stream sediments..... 1

Concentration of heavy metal, 5 to 9 ppm
in stream sediments..... 2

Concentration of heavy metal, 10 ppm or greater
in stream sediments..... 3

Location of known mineral occurrences
(symbols indicate principal metals)

Mining properties (see index below)..... 4

Index to Mining Properties and Prospects

1. Anacosta Co. (Canada), Ltd.
2. Great Northern Development Corp., Ltd.
3. Tetagouche Exploration Co., Ltd. (Orvan Brook)
4. Anacosta Co. (Canada), Ltd. (Rocky Turn Group)
5. Anacosta Co. (Canada), Ltd. (Armstrong 'A' deposit)
6. Anacosta Co. (Canada), Ltd. (Armstrong 'B' deposit)
7. Quebec-Burgundy River Mines, Ltd. (Hatchery and Shub deposits)
8. Millstream iron deposit
9. Berthelot copper deposit
10. Nigado River Mines, Ltd.
11. Keynote mine
12. East Vancouver, Ltd.

Field work by: W. M. Tupper, M. Ziauddin, G. Friedrich, M. Carter,
K. Ingram, M. Shafiqullah, B. Bourassa, D. Puckly,
L. W. LeRoy, P. Martel, W. Warren, W. Taylor,
R. Cormier, and R. T. Lever

Geological cartography by the Geological Survey of Canada, 1965

Roads, all weather..... 5
Other roads..... 6
Cart track..... 7
Trail or portage..... 8
Railway..... 9
Station and stop..... 10
Post Office..... 11
Lighthouse..... 12
Power transmission line..... 13
Horizontal control point..... 14
Survey monument..... 15
County or district boundary..... 16
Township or parish boundary..... 17
Indian reserve boundary..... 18
Intermittent stream..... 19
Stream (position approximate)..... 20
Rapids, falls..... 21
Flooded, tidal flats..... 22
Reef, rock or small island..... 23
Marsh..... 24
Wharf or pier..... 25
Sand or gravel..... 26
Height in feet above mean sea-level..... 27

**Base-map compiled and drawn by the Survey and Mapping Branch,
1954, 1956**

Approximate magnetic declination, 24° 00' West, decreasing 1.7" annually

DESCRIPTIVE NOTES

Geological

South of a line following the Millstream River and westward through Tetagouche Lakes, the area is underlain mainly by the Ordovician Tetagouche Group comprising a series of complexly folded and sheared metasediments, metavolcanics, and metadiabase intrusives. These are intruded south of Bathurst by a granitic mass.

North of the Millstream River the rocks are mainly of Ordovician, Silurian, and Devonian age. The Elmtree Group, of probable Ordovician age, is composed of folded and contorted metasediments and some metavolcanics which are intruded by a granitic stock in the vicinity of Antimont Lake. The Silurian and Devonian rocks comprise both sediments and volcanics that are faulted in places, gently folded, and on the whole are less metamorphosed than the older rocks in the district. In the Nicholas Days area the Silurian rocks are intruded by a granitic stock that has an associated metamorphic aureole in which the rocks are mainly hornfels and slates. Another granitic stock intrudes Silurian volcanic rocks along South Benjamin River.

East of Saint-Jacquet River the area is underlain by the Pennsylvanian Bathurst Formation. These rocks are mainly allstones, sandstones, grits, and conglomerates that dip gently eastward.

Flat-lying conglomerates and sandstones (Bonavent-ure Formation), possibly of Triassic age, underlie Heron Island and fringe the coast in the Jacquet River area.

Glacial till, sand, and gravel mantle the whole district, and recent post-glacial sands and clays cover much of the area around Bathurst Harbour and occur in the shore section at Jacquet River.

The principal mineral deposits in the area are massive, vein, and disseminated deposits containing essentially iron, zinc, lead, and copper sulphides. Molybdenite occurrences are associated with the Bathurst, Nicholas Days, and Antimont Lake granitic bodies.

The text of the paper accompanying this map should be consulted for further details on the geology and economic geology of the district.

Geochemical

The analyses recorded on this map were done on samples of sediment collected from the channels of rivers and streams and from rivulets flowing from springs. Where possible the active channels were sampled, but in a few cases the residual sediment of dried-up streams was used. In making areas and in streams where heavy workings are present, the sediment contained abundant organic matter. The wet sediment was analyzed at the sample site for cold extractable heavy metals using the method described by Smith (1964). Values are expressed as total heavy metals in parts per million. Samples were not filtered above 70 ppm. The subdivisions used on the map are arbitrary and based on experience in the district. The loadable subdivisions can be taken to represent the background.

All streams and rivers were traversed on foot, and the stream sediments were tested, where possible at intervals of 1,500 feet.

Most of the known sulphide deposits in the district are marked by higher than normal contents of heavy metals in the neighbouring stream sediments. Examples are the higher than average contents of heavy metals in Saint-Jacquet River (see Anacosta deposit), south of the map-area, Armstrong Brook (Armstrong Brook deposit), Orvan Brook (Orvan Brook deposit), and Elmtree River (Keynote mine). The dispersion trains from these and other known deposits vary from 1/4 mile to 4 miles in length.

Numerous examples of streams with sediment containing higher than average amounts of heavy metals occur in virgin areas and are unrelated to known deposits or contaminating agencies. A few of the more important of these that should receive further investigation are Eddy Brook, Cherry Brook, some of the tributaries of Armstrong Brook, a number of the tributaries of the Rocky Brook - Millstream system, Wild Cat Brook, a number of the tributaries draining south into the South Tetagouche River, North Nigado River, Eel Brook, Ellis Brook, Gutter Brook, Lake Brook, the north tributary of Fournier Brook, South Nigado Creek and tributary, and a number of the streams draining southeast into Jacquet River. The last group of anomalies appears to be related to the north-east trending faults west of Jacquet River.

The stream sediment anomalies outlined by the cold extractable technique are generally coincident with anomalies in the water. In South Little River, however, the metal content of the sediments is low whereas the content of heavy metal in the water is high. This is obviously due to the low pH (2.5) of the water in this stream. There is a general correlation of heavy metal anomalies outlined by the cold extractable technique with those obtained by more rigorous methods for zinc, copper, lead, arsenic, antimony, and molybdenum in most streams.

The distribution of heavy metal values in the stream sediments is not as uniform as those in water.

The presence of abundant manganese hydroxides and oxides (MnO₂·nH₂O) may be a factor in the localization of the heavy metals in some of the anomalous streams. Manganese hydroxides and hydrated oxides strongly adsorb many of the heavy metals and hence may give false anomalies. This feature should be carefully considered when evaluating all anomalies on this map. The coincidence of heavy metal water anomalies with many of the stream sediment anomalies seems to suggest, however, that many of the latter are valid anomalies and are only enhanced by the presence of manganese.

The heavy metal content of the stream and spring sediments shown on this map should be compared with the heavy metal content of stream and spring waters shown on Map 32-1965 and with the contents of specific elements in stream sediments recorded on Maps 34-1965 to 44-1965 inclusive.

Smith, A. Y. Field and laboratory methods used by the Geological Survey of Canada in geochemical surveys, No. 5, Cold extractable "heavy metals" in soil and alluvium; Geol. Surv. Can., Paper 63-45 (1964).

